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chapter 1

Theory of governance

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• define and explain the meaning of corporate governance
• explain, and analyse, the issues raised by the development of the joint stock company as the dominant form of business organisation and the separation of ownership and control over business activity
• analyse the purposes and objectives of corporate governance
• explain, and apply in the context of corporate governance, the key underpinning concepts
• explain and assess the major areas of organisational life affected by issues in corporate governance
• compare, and distinguish between public, private and non-governmental organisation (NGO) sectors with regard to the issues raised by, and scope of, governance
• explain and evaluate the roles, interests and claims of the internal parties involved in corporate governance
• explain and evaluate the roles, interests and claims of the external parties involved in corporate governance
• define agency theory
• define and explain the key concepts in agency theory
• explain and explore the nature of the principal-agent relationship in the context of corporate governance
• analyse and critically evaluate the nature of agency accountability in agency relationships
• explain and analyse the other theories used to explain aspects of the agency relationship.
1 Company ownership and control

SHAREHOLDERS  DELEGATION OF CONTROL  DIRECTORS

COMPANY

OWNERSHIP  CONTROL

OBJECTIVES  ≠  OBJECTIVES
• A ‘joint stock company’ is a company which has issued shares.
• Since the formation of joint stock companies in the 19th century, they have become the dominant form of business organisation within the UK.
• Companies that are quoted on a stock market such as the London Stock Exchange are often extremely complex and require a substantial investment in equity to fund them, i.e. they often have large numbers of shareholders.
• Shareholders delegate control to professional managers (the board of directors) to run the company on their behalf. The board act as agents (see later).
• Shareholders normally play a passive role in the day-to-day management of the company.
• Directors own less than 1% of the shares of most of the UK’s 100 largest quoted companies and only four out of ten directors of listed companies own any shares in their business.
• Separation of ownership and control leads to a potential conflict of interests between directors and shareholders.
• This conflict is an example of the principal-agent (discussed later in this chapter).

2 What is ‘corporate governance’?

The Cadbury Report 1992 provides a useful definition:

• 'the system by which companies are directed and controlled'.

An expansion might include:

• 'in the interests of shareholders' highlighting the agency issue involved
• 'and in relation to those beyond the company boundaries' or
• 'and stakeholders' suggesting a much broader definition that brings in concerns over social responsibility.

To include these final elements is to recognise the need for organisations to be accountable to someone or something.

Governance could therefore be described as:

• 'the system by which companies are directed and controlled in the interests of shareholders and other stakeholders'.
3 The business case for governance

Providing a business case for governance is important in order to enlist management support. There are a number of purported benefits of corporate governance:

- It is suggested that strengthening the existing architecture increases accountability and maximises sustainable wealth creation.
- Institutional investors believe that better financial performance is achieved through better management, and better managers pay attention to governance, hence the company is more attractive to such investors.

There is also:

- a governance dividend in share price
- a social responsibility dividend

both of which provide real returns for the company.

The harder point to prove is how far this business case extends and what the returns actually are.

4 Purpose and objectives of corporate governance

Corporate governance has both purposes and objectives.

- The basic purpose of corporate governance is to monitor those parties within a company which control the resources owned by investors.
- The primary objective of sound corporate governance is to contribute to improved corporate performance and accountability in creating long-term shareholder value.
The foundation to governance is the action of the individual. These actions are guided by a person’s moral stance.

**Fairness**

- A sense of equality in dealing with internal stakeholders.
- A sense of even-handedness in dealing with external stakeholders.
- An ability to reach an equitable judgement in a given ethical situation.
Openness/transparency

- The creation of a transparent relationship with shareholders to reduce agency costs (see later in this chapter), and the development of accounting systems and standards to facilitate this openness.
- Lack of withholding relevant information unless necessary, leading to a default position of information provision (rather than concealment).
- Transparency in strategic decision making to assist in the development of an appropriate culture within the company.

Independence

- Independence from personal influence of senior management for non-executive directors (NEDs).
- Independence of the board from operational involvement.
- Independence of directorships from overt personal motivation since the organisation should be run for the benefit of its owners.

Probity/honesty

- Honesty in financial/positional reporting.
- Perception of honesty of the finance from internal and external stakeholders.
- A foundation ethical stance in both principles- and rules-based systems.

Illustration 1 – Sibir Energy

In 2008 Russian oil giant Sibir Energy announced plans to purchase a number of properties from a major shareholder, a Russian billionaire. These properties included a Moscow Hotel and a suspended construction project originally planned to be the world’s tallest building.

This move represented a major departure from Sibir Energy’s usual operations and the legitimacy of the transactions was questioned. The company was also criticised for not considering the impact on the remaining minority shareholders.

The Sibir CEO’s efforts to defend the transactions were in vain and he was suspended when it emerged that the billionaire shareholder owed Sibir Energy over $300m. The impact on the company’s reputation has been disastrous. The accusations of ‘scandal’ led to stock exchange trading suspension in February 2009 and a fall in the share price of almost 80% since its peak in 2008.
Responsibility

- Willingness to accept liability for the outcome of governance decisions.
- Clarity in the definition of roles and responsibilities for action.
- Conscientious business and personal behaviour.

Accountability

- Accounting for business position as a result of acceptance of responsibility.
- Providing clarity in communication channels with internal and external stakeholders.
- Development and maintenance of risk management and control systems.

Reputation

- Developing and sustaining personal reputation through other moral virtues.
- Developing and sustaining the moral stance of the organisation.
- Developing and sustaining the moral stance of the accounting profession.

Illustration 2 – BP Chief Executive

Lord Browne resigned from his position as CEO of oil giant BP in May 2007 due to media stories regarding his private life.

His resignation was to save BP from embarrassment after a newspaper had won a court battle to print details of his private life. Lord Browne apologised for statements made in court regarding a four year relationship with Jeff Chevalier that he described as being ‘untruthful’ (he had actually lied, this relationship had existed).

Due to this ‘untruthfulness’ Lord Browne gave up a formidable distinguished 41 year career with BP, and did the honourable thing by resigning as the damage to his reputation would have impacted adversely on BP.
Judgement

• The ability to reach and communicate meaningful conclusions.
• The ability to weigh numerous issues and give each due consideration.
• The development of a non-judgemental approach to business and personal relationships.

Integrity

• Steadfast adherence to a strict moral or ethical code, high moral virtue.
• The highest standards of professionalism and probity.
• A prerequisite within agency relationships.

Fred is a certified accountant. He runs his own accountancy practice from home, where he prepares personal taxation and small business accounts for about 75 clients. Fred believes that he provides a good service and his clients generally seem happy with the work Fred provides.

At work, Fred tends to give priority to his business friends that he plays golf with. Charges made to these clients tend to be lower than others – although Fred tends to guess how much each client should be charged as this is quicker than keeping detailed time-records.

Fred is also careful not to ask too many questions about clients affairs when preparing personal and company taxation returns. His clients are grateful that Fred does not pry too far into their affairs, although the taxation authorities have found some irregularities in some tax returns submitted by Fred. Fortunately the client has always accepted responsibility for the errors and Fred has kindly provided his services free of charge for the next year to assist the client with any financial penalties.

Required:

Discuss whether the moral stance taken by Fred is appropriate.
6 Operational areas affected by issues in corporate governance

Further detail of the impact on these areas will be covered in chapters 3 - 7.

7 Internal corporate governance stakeholders

Within an organisation there are a number of internal parties involved in corporate governance. These parties can be referred to as internal stakeholders.

Stakeholder theory will be covered again later in this chapter, and in more detail in chapter 8. A useful definition of a stakeholder, for use at this point, is "any person or group that can affect or be affected by the policies or activities of an organisation".

Each internal stakeholder has:

- an operational role within the company
- a role in the corporate governance of the company
- a number of interests in the company (referred to as the stakeholder 'claim').
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder</th>
<th>Operational role</th>
<th>Corporate governance role</th>
<th>Main interests in company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Directors</td>
<td>Responsible for the actions of the corporation.</td>
<td>Control company in best interest of stakeholders.</td>
<td>• pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• performance-linked bonuses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• share options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• reputation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• power.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company secretary</td>
<td>Ensure compliance with company legislation and keep board members informed of their legal responsibilities.</td>
<td>Advise board on corporate governance matters.</td>
<td>• pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-board management</td>
<td>Run business operations. Implement board policies.</td>
<td>• Identify and evaluate risks faced by company</td>
<td>• performance-linked bonuses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Enforce controls</td>
<td>• job stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Monitor success</td>
<td>• career progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Report concerns</td>
<td>• status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• working conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>Carry out orders of management.</td>
<td>• Comply with internal controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Report breaches.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Employee representatives, e.g. trade unions | Protect employee interests. | Highlight and take action against breaches in governance requirements, e.g. protection of whistleblowers. | • power • status.

8 External corporate governance stakeholders

A company has many external stakeholders involved in corporate governance.

Each stakeholder has:

• a role to play in influencing the operation of the company
• its own interests and claims in the company.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External party</th>
<th>Main role</th>
<th>Interests and claims in company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditors</td>
<td>Independent review of company's reported financial position.</td>
<td>• fees • reputation • quality of relationship • compliance with audit requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulators</td>
<td>Implementing and monitoring regulations</td>
<td>• compliance with regulations • effectiveness of regulations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Government** | Implementing and maintaining laws with which all companies must comply. | • compliance with laws  
• payment of taxes  
• level of employment  
• levels of imports/exports |
| **Stock exchange** | Implementing and maintaining rules and regulations for companies listed on the exchange. | • compliance with rules and regulations  
• fees. |
| **Small investors** | Limited power with use of vote. | • maximisation of shareholder value |
| **Institutional investors** | Through considered use of their votes can (and should) beneficially influence corporate policy. | • value of shares and dividend payments  
• security of funds invested  
• timeliness of information received from company  
• shareholder rights are observed. |

**Expandable text - Institutional investors**

**9 What is agency theory?**

Agency theory is a group of concepts describing the nature of the agency relationship deriving from the separation between ownership and control.
Agency theory examines the duties and conflicts that occur between parties who have an agency relationship.

Agency relationships occur when one party, the principal, employs another party, the agent, to perform a task on their behalf.

Shareholders (principal) are trusting the directors (agents) to run the company in their best interests. A fiduciary relationship exists between the principal and the agent.

Agency theory can help to explain the actions of the various interest groups in the corporate governance debate.

**Agency theory and corporate governance**

- Companies owned and managed by same people
- Expansion required investors (shareholders – limited liability)
- Delegated running of company to managers (agents)
- Separation of goals
- Agency problems
10 Key concepts of agency theory

A number of key terms and concepts are essential to understanding agency theory.

- An **agent** is employed by a **principal** to carry out a task on their behalf.
- **Agency** refers to the relationship between a principal and their agent.
- **Agency costs** are incurred by principals in monitoring agency behaviour because of a lack of trust in the good faith of agents.
- By accepting to undertake a task on their behalf, an agent becomes accountable to the principal by whom they are employed. The agent is **accountable** to that principal.
- Directors (agents) have a **fiduciary responsibility** to the shareholders (principal) of their organisation (usually described through company law as 'operating in the best interests of the shareholders').
- **Stakeholders** are any person or group that can affect or be affected by the policies or activities of an organisation.
- Agent **objectives** (such as a desire for high salary, large bonus and status for a director) will differ from the principal’s objectives (wealth maximisation for shareholders).

11 Principal-agent relationships and corporate governance

**Key Principal-Agent Relationships in Corporate Governance**

- **Shareholders** → **Directors**
- **Shareholders** → **Auditors**

**Expandable text - Examples of principal-agent relationships**
The nature of the relationship could be described as one of trust.

- Fiduciary responsibilities are those which derive from a trusting relationship.
- This relationship is unique to directors since everyone below this level is monitored by those above.
- In a practical sense this trust is developed through incentivisation and monitoring, which results in certain costs, and a need for shareholder activism if problems arise.

**The cost of agency relationships**

**Agency cost**

Agency costs arise largely from principals monitoring activities of agents, and may be viewed in monetary terms, resources consumed or time taken in monitoring. Costs are borne by the principal, but may be indirectly incurred as the agent spends time and resources on certain activities. Examples of costs include:

- incentive schemes and remuneration packages for directors
- costs of management providing annual report data such as committee activity and risk management analysis, and cost of principal reviewing this data
- cost of meetings with financial analysts and principal shareholders
- the cost of accepting higher risks than shareholders would like in the way in which the company operates
- cost of monitoring behaviour, such as by establishing management audit procedures.

**Residual loss**

This is an additional type of agency cost and relates to directors furnishing themselves with expensive cars and planes etc. These costs are above and beyond the remuneration package for the director, and are a direct loss to shareholders.
Agency problem resolution measures

- Meetings between the principal and key institutional investors.
- Voting rights at the AGM in support of, or against, resolutions.
- Proposing resolutions for vote by shareholders at AGMs.
- Accepting takeovers.
- Divestment of shares is the ultimate threat.

Agent accountability

Accountability relates to:

- the need to act in shareholders' interests
- the need to provide good information such as audited accounts and annual reports
- the need to operate within a defined legal structure.

Need for corporate governance

If the market mechanism and shareholder activities are not enough to monitor the company then some form of regulation is needed.

There are a number of codes of conduct and recommendations issued by governments and stock exchanges. Although compliance is voluntary, (in the sense it is not governed by law) the fear of damage to reputation arising from governance weaknesses and the threat of delisting from stock exchanges renders it difficult not to comply.

These practical elements make up the majority of the rest of governance issues discussed in subsequent chapters.

Expandable text - Examples of codes

Expandable text - Agent accountability
Test your understanding 3

For each of the following scenarios, decide which kind of principal-agent conflict exists.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Conflict</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The CEO of a frozen food distributor decides that the company should buy the car manufacturing company Ferrari, because he is a big fan of the car.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An employee discovers that one of the key financial controls in his area is not operating as it should, and could potentially result in losses to the company. He has not said anything because he does not want to get into trouble.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The financial director decides to gamble £1 million of company money, obtained from a bank loan, on a football match result.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12 Transaction cost theory

Transaction cost theory is an alternative variant of the agency understanding of governance assumptions. It describes governance frameworks as being based on the net effects of internal and external transactions, rather than as contractual relationships outside the firm (i.e. with shareholders).

External transactions

TYPES OF COST

SEARCH AND INFORMATION
BARGAINING AND DECISION
POLICING AND ENFORCEMENT

Expandable text - Transaction costs
Transaction costs can be further impacted

- Bounded rationality: our limited capacity to understand business situations, which limits the factors we consider in the decision.
- Opportunism: actions taken in an individual's best interests, which can create uncertainty in dealings and mistrust between parties.

The significance and impact of these criteria will allow the company to decide whether to expand internally (possibly through vertical integration) or deal with external parties.

Possible conclusions from transaction cost theory

- Opportunistic behaviour could have dire consequences on financing and strategy of businesses, hence discouraging potential investors. Businesses therefore organise themselves to minimise the impact of bounded rationality and opportunism as much as possible.
- Governance costs build up including internal controls to monitor management.
- Managers become more risk averse seeking the safe ground of easily governed markets.
**Transaction cost theory vs agency theory**

- Transaction cost theory and agency theory essentially deal with the same issues and problems. Where agency theory focuses on the individual agent, transaction cost theory focuses on the individual transaction.
- Agency theory looks at the tendency of directors to act in their own best interests, pursuing salary and status. Transaction cost theory considers that managers (or directors) may arrange transactions in an opportunistic way.
- The corporate governance problem of transaction cost theory is, however, not the protection of ownership rights of shareholders (as is the agency theory focus), rather the effective and efficient accomplishment of transactions by firms.

**13 Stakeholder theory**

The basis for stakeholder theory is that companies are so large and their impact on society so pervasive that they should discharge accountability to many more sectors of society than solely their shareholders.

As defined in an earlier section, stakeholders are not only are affected by the organisation but they also affect the organisation.
Stakeholder theory may be the necessary outcome of agency theory given that there is a business case in considering the needs of stakeholders through improved customer perception, employee motivation, supplier stability, shareholder conscience investment.

Agency theory is a narrow form of stakeholder theory.

More will be covered on stakeholders in chapter 8.

Test your understanding 4

Founded in 1983 as a long distance phone operator, GlobeLine has relied heavily on acquisitions to fund its growth. In the last decade it has made over 60 acquisitions, extending its reach around the planet and diversifying into data and satellite communications, internet services and web hosting. Almost all acquisitions have been paid for using the company’s shares.

This high fuelled 'growth through acquisition' strategy has had a number of outcomes. One is the significant management challenge of managing diversity across the world, straining manpower resources and systems. In particular, the internal audit department has been forced to focus on operational matters simply to keep up with the speed of change.

Shareholders have, on the whole, welcomed the dramatic rise in their stock price, buoyed up by the positive credit rating given by SDL, GlobeLine’s favoured investment bank, who have been heavily involved in most of the acquisitions, receiving large fees for their services. Recently, some shareholders have complained about the lack of clarity of annual reports provided by GlobeLine and the difficulty in assessing the true worth of a company when results change dramatically period to period due to the accounting for acquisitions.

Ben Mervin is the visionary, charismatic CEO of GlobeLine. Over the course of the last three years his personal earning topped $77 million with a severance package in place that includes $1.5 million for life and lifetime use of the corporate jet. He is a dominant presence at board meetings with board members rarely challenging his views.

Recently, a whistleblower has alleged financial impropriety within GlobeLine and institutional shareholders have demanded meetings to discuss the issue. The Chairman of the audit committee (himself a frequent flyer on the corporate jet) has consulted with the CEO over the company’s proposed response.
Required:

(a) Discuss agency costs that might exist in relation to the fiduciary relationship between shareholders and the company and consider conflict resolution measures.

(b) Assess the CEO’s position using transaction cost theory and consider the negative impact of shareholder action taken to reduce this cost.
14 Chapter summary

Corporate issues of separation of ownership and control
- Shareholders are the owners of a company.
- Control usually delegated to directors.
- Large company may have many shareholders.
- Interests of shareholders and directors may conflict.
- Directors may not act in the best interests of the shareholders.

Corporate governance
Largely concerned with governing the relationship between shareholders and directors.

Definition
"A system by which organisations are directed and controlled."

Purposes and objectives
- Monitor those who control the assets owned by investors.
- Contribute to improved corporate performance and accountability in creating long-term shareholder value.

Roles, interests and claims of stakeholders
Internal stakeholders
- Directors
- Company secretary
- Managers
- Employees
- Employee representatives.

External stakeholders
- Auditors
- Regulators
- Shareholders
- Stock exchange
- Government.

Impact on organisation
- Duties of directors and functions of the board
- Composition and balance of the board (and board committees)
- Reliability of financial reporting and external auditing
- Directors’ remuneration and rewards
- Risk management systems and internal control
- Rights and responsibilities of shareholders.

Issues and scope of governance on public, private and NGO sectors
Influenced by the size, ownership, model and objectives of organisation.

KEY UNDERPINNING CONCEPTS

AGENCY RELATIONSHIPS AND THEORIES
See diagram on next page
AGENCY RELATIONSHIPS AND THEORIES

AGENCY THEORY

Definition
- Examines duties and conflicts that occur between parties who have an agency.

Relationship with corporate governance
Key function of corporate governance is to protect the principal-agent relationship between shareholders and directors.

Agent accountability
By undertaking to perform a task on their behalf, agents become accountable to the principals for their actions.

Transaction costs and stakeholder theories
Economic theories useful in explaining some aspects of the agency relationship.

Key concepts
- Agent
- Principal
- Agency
- Agency costs
- Accountability
- Fiduciary responsibility
- Stakeholders.
Test your understanding answers

Test your understanding 1

The role of corporate governance is to protect shareholder rights, enhance disclosure and transparency, facilitate effective functioning of the board and provide an efficient legal and regulatory enforcement framework.

Test your understanding 2 - Key concepts

Overall, it can be argued that Fred is providing a professional service in accordance with the expectations of his clients.

However, the moral stance taken by Fred can be queried as follows.

• The guessing of the amounts to charge clients implies a lack of openness and transparency in invoicing and has the effect of being unfair. Friends may be charged less than other clients for the same amount of work. If other clients were aware of the situation, they would no doubt request similar treatment.

• The lack of questioning of clients about their affairs appears to be appreciated. However, this can be taken as a lack of probity on the part of Fred – without full disclose of information Fred cannot prepare accurate taxation returns. It is likely that Fred realises this and that some errors will occur. However, Fred does not have to take responsibility for those errors; his clients do instead.

• While Fred does appear to be acting with integrity in the eyes of his clients, the lack of accuracy in the information provided to the taxation authorities eventually will affect his reputation, especially if more returns are found to be in error. In effect, Fred is not being honest with the authorities.

• Fred may wish to start ensuring that information provided to the taxation authorities is of an appropriate standard to retain his reputation and ensure that clients do trust the information he is preparing for them.
### Test your understanding 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Conflict</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The CEO of a frozen food distributor decides that the company should buy</td>
<td><strong>Shareholder – director</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the car manufacturing company Ferrari, because he is a big fan of the</td>
<td>Director is acting in his own interests, not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>car.</td>
<td>those of the shareholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An employee discovers that one of the key financial controls in his</td>
<td><strong>Management – employee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>area is not operating as it should, and could potentially result in</td>
<td>Employee is acting in his own interests, not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>losses to the company. He has not said anything because he does not</td>
<td>those of the company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>want to get into trouble.</td>
<td>(<strong>Shareholder – director</strong> is also</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>potential, as directors are responsible for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ensuring risk and control are managed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>within the organisation on behalf of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>shareholders.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The financial director decides to gamble £1 million of company money,</td>
<td><strong>Bank – directors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obtained from a bank loan, on a football match result.</td>
<td>It is the directors’ responsibility to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>manage funds lent to it by the bank without</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>taking excessive risks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Shareholders – directors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It is the directors’ responsibility to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>manage the company’s assets in the best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>interests of the shareholders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agency costs exist due to the trust placed by shareholders on directors to operate in their best interests. These costs will rise when a lack of trust exists, although misplaced trust in a relationship will have hidden costs that may lead to poor management and even corporate failure.

Residual costs are a part of agency costs. These are costs that attach to the employment of high calibre directors (outside of salary) and the trappings associated with the running of a successful company. The corporate jet and possible proposed severance pay could be seen as residual costs of employment. Ensuring incentives exist to motivate directors to act in the best interests of shareholders is important. These incentives typically include large salaries such as the multi-million dollar remuneration of the CEO. Stock options will also be used to assist in tying remuneration to performance.

Agency costs also include costs associated with attempts to control or monitor the organisation. The most important of these will be the annual reports with financial statements detailing company operations. Shareholders have complained about the opaqueness of such reports and the costs of improving in this area will ultimately be borne by them.

Large organisations are required, usually as part of listing rules, to communicate effectively with major shareholders. Meetings arranged to discuss strategy, possibly involving the investment bank, and certainly involving the CEO, will take time and money to organise and deliver.

A hidden cost associated with the agency relationship, and one of particular significance here, relates to the increased risk taken on by shareholders due inevitably through relying on someone else to manage an individual's money, and specifically due to the acquisitive strategy employed by the company and the difficulty in gauging the financial performance and level of internal control within the corporation.

Conflict resolution

The market provides a simple mechanism for dealing with unresolved conflict, that of being able to divest shareholding back into the market place. This option is always available to shareholders if they consider the risks involved too great for the return they are receiving.
A less drastic measure might be to pursue increased communication and persuasion possibly via the largest shareholders in order to ensure the organisation understands shareholders concerns and is willing to act upon their recommendations. The threat of a wide scale sale of shares should have an impact since this will affect directors share options and the ability to continue its acquisitive strategy.

Since acquisition is a two-way street it might be possible for shareholders to persuade another company to bid to takeover the organisation should the situation become desperate, although this seems unlikely in this scenario since, although the situation is dire, it does not appear to be terminal.

Shareholder activism may simply require interested parties to propose resolutions to be put to the vote at the next AGM. These might include a reluctance to reappoint directors who may have a conflict of interest in supporting the management or the owners of the company. Such a conflict may exist between the CEO and the Chairman of the audit committee.

(b) **Transaction cost theory**

Transaction cost theory relates to the costs that occur when transacting with a party outside of the organisation. These include information, contract and control costs. In its true form transaction cost theory can be seen in the acquisitive strategy of the organisation and the way in which it purchases companies rather than growing organically. In this case there will be premiums paid for goodwill and current performance of the target.

The CEO’s position is one of evaluating these costs and making decisions regarding possible acquisitions. A large proportion of his salary could be considered to be made up of these costs since the majority of his time may be involved in seeking out, negotiating and purchasing such companies. His obvious expertise in this field may limit the effect of bounded rationality, the ability of any individual to understand a situation fully, although this may be countered by the global nature of the corporate market place and an inability to fully appreciate the diversity of operating cultures of proposed acquisitions around the world.

Success in this field often relies on opportunistic behaviour, being able to grasp opportunities as they arise. The financing of the company through its own shares and the assistance of the investment bank in facilitating such purchases assist in this opportunistic behaviour.
Transaction cost theory can also be considered from an internal perspective in relation to the motives and factors that influence the CEO within GlobeLine. At this level bounded rationality may be considered problematic with his inability to take advice (see boardroom rules) operating against shareholders interests through balanced, informed decision making. Opportunism may relate to self ingratiation through financial rewards and providing himself with a powerful position within which he is not accountable to anyone, including the owners of the company. This is likely to be of some concern to shareholders.

Transaction cost theory also suggests that the size of the reward (asset specificity), the frequency with which the transaction occurs (60 takeovers in recent years) and the prevalent certainty of success (through the powerbase culture in the company) may heighten the potential for poor decision making. These are key factors that are of some concern to shareholders.

### Shareholder action

In seeking to redress these problems through actions mentioned in part (a), shareholders are faced with a number of counterbalancing considerations. Firstly, stifling the brilliance and initiative of the CEO may affect his future performance and willingness to stay within the company. This in turn affects share price.

Secondly, shareholder pressure may have a negative impact on his risk seeking strategy should he decide to stay. This may dampen performance and returns and make the company less competitive.

Finally, within the organisational structure, improvements in internal control and reporting are overheads, raising costs and limiting the essential flexibility and speed that has made the company successful over a number of years. Corporate governance is always a careful balancing act between these opposing forces.
Development of corporate governance

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• describe and critically evaluate the reasons behind the development and use of codes of practice in corporate governance (acknowledging national differences and convergence)

• explain and briefly explore the development of corporate governance codes in principles-based jurisdictions.
1 Influences on corporate governance

Governance theory concludes that there are two major factors affecting organisational operation:

- Agency theory leads to shareholder pressure and shareholder activism.
- Stakeholder theory leads to stakeholder lobbying and concerns over social responsibility.

In addition:

- company law provides a framework within which operations occur
- audit and auditors impact on governance and are covered in depth in internal control and risk sections of the syllabus
- codes of governance are developed by government, operate as a prerequisite to membership of stock exchanges, maybe grounded in legislation, and guide individual professional bodies.

This chapter is about the development of these codes of best practice.

2 Development of corporate governance codes

There is no requirement under the syllabus to be conversant with any particular country’s codes of governance, except for that of Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) from the US. However, the development of such codes is closely associated with the UK and hence this is a good model to use in discussing general, global best practice requirements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cadbury (1992)</td>
<td>Board of directors</td>
<td>Chairman/CEO role should be split, and Chairman independence necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Institutional investors</td>
<td>Need for greater dialogue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Audit and accountability</td>
<td>Good communication and disclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Formed part of stock exchange listing rules - <strong>comply or explain</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampel (1998)</td>
<td>Deal with criticisms of previous reports</td>
<td>Consolidation in a <a href="#">Combined Code</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnbull (1999)</td>
<td>Need for directors to review internal control systems and report on them</td>
<td>Framework for establishing systems of internal control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higgs (2003)</td>
<td>Role of non-executive directors (NEDs)</td>
<td>Specific guidelines regarding NEDs and their role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyson (2003)</td>
<td>Recruitment and development of NEDs</td>
<td>Additional guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith (2003)</td>
<td>Auditors and audit committee</td>
<td>Relationship between auditors and the company and the role of the audit committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 The UK Combined Code

Since Hampel's work in 1998 the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) has issued several editions of the Combined Code to incorporate the findings from subsequent reports and reviews. The latest edition was issued in 2008.

The Code divides into two areas:

**Section 1: Companies:**

- directors
- directors’ remuneration
- accountability and audit
- relations with shareholders.

**Section 2: Institutional shareholders:**

- dialogue with companies
- evaluation of governance disclosures
- shareholder voting.

Each area has a set of principles of good governance followed by a series of provisions that detail how the principle might be achieved.

These will be discussed in more detail in subsequent chapters, as shown below.
4 Governance codes

Reasons for developing a code

- It should reduce instances of fraud and corruption improving shareholder perception and market confidence.
- There is statistical evidence that poor governance equates to poor performance.
- Management consultancy, McKinseys, found that global investors were willing to pay a significant premium for companies that are well governed.
- The existence of good governance is a decision factor for institutional investors.
- Even if it does not add value, it reduces risk and huge potential losses to shareholders.

Practical problems with a governance code

- The process is reactionary rather than proactive, responding to major failures in governance rather than setting the agenda.
- The impact varies depending on the nature of the company and the global viewpoint.
- Directors complain that it restricts or even dilutes individual decision-making power.
- It adds red tape and bureaucracy in the use of committees and disclosure requirements.
- Adherence to governance requirements harms competitiveness and does not add value.
- It cannot stop fraud.
5 Chapter summary

- **Development of Corporate Governance**
  - Influences on Corporate Governance
    - Agency theory
    - Stakeholder theory
  - Development of Corporate Governance Codes
    - Response to high profile corporate failures
    - Result of numerous reports and recommendations
  - UK Combined Code
    - Directors
    - Directors’ remuneration
    - Accountability and audit
    - Relations with shareholders
    - Institutional shareholders
  - Governance Codes
    - Reasons for Development
      - Reduce fraud and corruption
      - Investors pay premium
      - Reduces risk
    - Problems in Practice
      - Reactionary rather than proactive
      - Impact varies
      - Restricts decision-making power
      - Bureaucracy
      - Harms competitiveness
      - Cannot stop fraud
The board of directors

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• explain and evaluate the roles and responsibilities of boards of directors
• describe, distinguish between, and evaluate the cases for and against, unitary and two-tier board structures
• describe the characteristics, board composition and types of directors, including defining executive directors and non-executive directors (NEDs)
• describe and assess the purposes, roles and responsibilities of NEDs
• describe and analyse the general principles of legal and regulatory frameworks within which directors operate on corporate boards:
  – legal rights and responsibilities
  – time-limited appointments
  – retirement by rotation
  – service contracts
  – removal
  – disqualification
  – conflict and disclosure of interests
  – insider dealing/trading
• define, explore and compare the roles of the chief executive officer (CEO) and company chairman
• describe and assess the importance and execution of induction and continuing professional development (CPD) of directors on boards of directors
explain and analyse the frameworks for assessing the performance of boards and individual directors (including NEDs) on boards

explain and assess the importance, roles and accountabilities of board committees in corporate governance

explain and evaluate the role and purpose of the following committees in effective corporate governance:

- nominations committees.
1 Development of corporate governance regarding the board of directors

As discussed in chapter 2 the development of corporate governance codes is closely associated with the UK. Here we will look at the three reports that contributed to the existing code with regards to the board of directors.

Illustration 1 – Maxwell and Mirror Group Newspapers

In 1991 the body of Robert Maxwell was found off the Canary Islands. The investigation that followed his death led to one of the most shocking revelations to hit British business for many years, and the collapse of several high profile listed companies.
The key to the ‘success’ of Maxwell’s deception was his unrivalled position on the boards of his many companies (he combined the role of chairman and chief executive at Mirror Group Newspapers, which placed him in an extremely powerful position; his companies had no non-executive directors, which silenced any potential dissenting voices; he also had single authority over the pension fund, which allowed him to use the fund as collateral for ever increasing borrowings), allowing him to make decisions that were in his own interests rather than those of shareholders.

In response, the Cadbury Report highlighted a wide range of measures that companies should take, including splitting the role of chairman and chief executive to prevent one individual having ‘unfettered power’, and having a board consisting of executive and non-executive directors, resulting in the birth of Corporate Governance as we see it today.

**Cadbury Report (1992)**

This report concluded that the board required constant monitoring and assessment.

Recommendations were:

- there was a need to split the chairman/CEO role
- necessary to ensure the chairman is an independent person at the time of appointment.

**Higgs Report (2003)**

This report came about post-Enron and focused on the role of non-executive directors (NEDs). It is the role of NEDs to represent the needs of shareholders and operate as a cautionary voice on the board.

Conclusions included:

- at least half the board should be made up of NEDs
- they should be remunerated appropriately for taking on a functional role
- they should act as a link between the board and shareholders to reduce the agency problem
- they should communicate regularly to important shareholders.
**Tyson Report (2003)**

This developed from the Higgs Report. It dealt with the recruitment and development of NEDs.

Conclusions included:

- the need to expand the gene pool of NEDs beyond reciprocal arrangements between top PLCs
- diversity in background, skills and experience enhanced board effectiveness (agency issue)
- diversity improved communication and relationships with stakeholders including shareholders
- stakeholders on the board improved board understanding of stakeholder issues.

2 Board of directors – roles and responsibilities

In relation to corporate bodies:

- a director is an officer of the company charged by the board of directors with the conduct and management of its affairs
- the directors of the company collectively are referred to as a board of directors
- the shareholders appoint the chairman of the board and all other directors (upon recommendations from the nominations committee)
- directors, individually and collectively, as a board of directors, have a duty of corporate governance.
From the principles in the Combined Code, the key roles and responsibilities of directors are to:

- provide entrepreneurial leadership of the company
- represent company view and account to the public
- decide on a formal schedule of matters to be reserved for board decision
- determine the company’s mission and purpose (strategic aims)
• select and appoint the CEO, chairman and other board members
• set the company’s values and standards
• ensure that the company’s management is performing its job correctly
• establish appropriate internal controls that enable risk to be assessed and managed
• ensure that the necessary financial and human resources are in place for the company to meet its objectives
• ensure that its obligations to its shareholders and other stakeholders are understood and met
• meet regularly to discharge its duties effectively
• for listed companies:
  – appoint appropriate NEDs
  – establish remuneration committee
  – establish nominations committee
  – establish audit committee
• assess its own performance and report it annually to shareholders
• submit themselves for re-election at regular intervals (maximum of three years).

The Combined Code has been developed as a source of good practice. Although it is not global in its application it remains a useful guide for examination purposes.

Potential problems for boards

Sometimes achieving all of this in practice can be difficult due to ‘barriers’.

• Most boards largely rely on management to report information to them (and may not have the time or the skills to understand the details of company business), thus allowing management to obscure problems and the true state of a company.
• A board that meets only occasionally may be unfamiliar with each other. This can make it difficult for board members to question management.
• CEOs often have forceful personalities, sometimes exercising too much influence over the rest of the board.
• The current CEO’s performance is judged by the same directors who appointed him/her making it difficult for an unbiased evaluation.

3 Board meetings

Practical suggestions for board meetings include:

• Agenda should strike a balance between long- and short-term issues and every director should have the opportunity to place items on the agenda.
• All topics should have informative supportive information, risks and alternatives identified. Information must be distributed in good time.
• Meetings should be regular and attendance expected.
• Chairmen should direct proceedings allowing ample time for discussion and input from everyone prior to decisions being made.
• Where necessary board away-days to strategic sites, or supportive strategy briefing meetings should be used.

4 Board structures

There are two kinds of board structure, unitary and two-tier (dual) boards.
**Two-tier boards**

These are predominantly associated with France and Germany. Using Germany as an example, there are two main reasons for their existence:

- Codetermination: the right for workers to be informed and involved in decisions that affects them. This is enshrined in the Codetermination Act (Germany) 1976.
- Relationships: banks have a much closer relationship with German companies than in the UK. They are frequently shareholders, and other shareholders often deposit their shares and the rights associated with them with their banks.

This creates a backdrop to creating structures where these parties are actively involved in company affairs, hence the two-tier structure.

**Lower tier: management (operating) board**

- responsible for day-to-day running of the enterprise
- generally only includes executives
- the CEO co-ordinates activity.

**Upper tier: supervisory (corporate) board**

- appoints, supervises and advises members of the management board
- strategic oversight of the organisation
- includes employee representatives, environmental groups and other stakeholders’ management representatives
- the chairman co-ordinates the work
- members are elected by shareholders at the annual general meeting (AGM)
- receives information and reports from the management board.

**Advantages of a two-tier board**

- Clear separation between those that manage the company and those that own it or must control it for the benefit of shareholders.
- Implicit shareholder involvement in most cases since these structures are used in countries where insider control is prevalent.
- Wider stakeholder involvement implicit through the use of worker representation.
- Independence of thought, discussion and decision since board meetings and operation are separate.
Problems with a two-tier board

- Dilution of power through stakeholder involvement.
- Isolation of supervisory board through non-participation in management meetings.
- Agency problems between the two boards.
- Added bureaucracy and slower decision making.
- Reliant upon an effective relationship between chairman and CEO.

Additional advantages of a unitary board

Issues specific to the unitary board tend to relate to the role of NEDs.

- NED expertise: the implied involvement of NEDs in the running of the company rather than just supervising.
- NED empowerment: they are as responsible as the executives and this is better demonstrated by their active involvement at an early stage.
- Compromise: less extreme decisions developed prior to the need for supervisory approval.
- Responsibility: a cabinet decision-making unit with wide viewpoints suggests better decisions.
- Reduction of fraud, malpractice: this is due to wider involvement in the actual management of the company.
- Improved investor confidence: through all of the above.

5 Non-executive directors (NEDs)
Independence

The Code states as a principle that the board should include a balance of NEDs and executives. This is to reduce an unfavourable balance of power towards executives.

The board should consist of half independent directors excluding the chair.

One NED should be the senior independent director who is directly available to shareholders if they have concerns which cannot or should not be dealt with through the appropriate channels of chairman, CEO or finance director.

Reasons for NED independence

- To provide a detached and objective view of board decisions.
- To provide expertise and communicate effectively.
- To provide shareholders with an independent voice on the board.
- To provide confidence in corporate governance.
- To reduce accusations of self-interest in the behaviour of executives.

Threats to independence

- Material business relationship with company in last 3 years
- Employee in last 5 years
- Cross-directorship in other companies
- Situations in which NEDs are likely not to be independent
- Close family ties with director
- Significant shareholder
- Served on board for more than 9 years
NEDs on the board

Advantages

- Monitoring: they offer a clear monitoring role, particularly on remuneration committees to dampen the excesses of executives.
- Expertise: to expand this resource available for management to use.
- Perception: institutional and watchdog perception is enhanced because of their presence.
- Communication: the implied improvement in communication between shareholders interests and the company.
- Discipline: NEDs may have a positive influence on the success or otherwise of takeovers.

Disadvantages

- Unity: lack of trust and needless input can affect board operations.
- Quality: there may be a poor gene pool of NEDs willing to serve.
- Liability: the poor remuneration with the suggested (Higgs) removal of stock options from the package coupled with the equal liability in law for company operations might lead some to question whether they want the job or not.

6 Chairman and CEO

Responsibilities

It is vital for good corporate governance to separate the roles of CEO and chairman.

The division of responsibilities between the chairman and CEO should be clearly established, set out in writing and agreed by the board.
The importance of the appointments of CEO and chairman are further underlined by the fact that the CEO frequently has most say over the appointment of executive directors to the board, while the chairman will frequently have a great deal of influence over the appointment of NEDs.

**Chairman’s responsibilities**

The overall responsibility of the chairman is to:

- ensure that the board sets and implements the company’s direction and strategy effectively, and
- act as the company’s lead representative, explaining aims and policies to the shareholders.

**CEO’s responsibilities**

The overall responsibility of the CEO is to:

- take responsibility for the performance of the company, as determined by the board’s strategy
- report to the chairman and/or board of directors.

**Splitting the role**

The Combined Code is unequivocal with regard to the separation of the chairman and CEO roles:

'A clear division of responsibilities must exist at the head of the company. No individual should have unfettered power of decision.'

Chairman should be independent in the same way that NEDs are designated as being independent. If not, reasons must be clearly disclosed to major shareholders.

**Reasons for splitting the role**

- Representation: the chairman is clearly and solely a representative of shareholders with no conflict of interest having a role as a manager within the firm.
- Accountability: the existence of the separate chairman role provides a clear path of accountability for the CEO and the management team.
Reasons against splitting the role

- Temptation: the removal of the joint role reduces the temptation to act more in self-interest rather than purely in the interest of shareholders.
- Unity: the separation of the role creates two leaders rather than the unity provided by a single leader.
- Ability: both roles require an intricate knowledge of the company. It is far easier to have a single leader with this ability rather than search for two such individuals.
- Human nature: there will almost inevitably be conflict between two high-powered executive offices.

Three years ago, the outgoing CEO/chairman of BrightCo decided to retire having served in the combined role for over ten years of a full 30 year BrightCo career. Succession was not an issue since Dan Bolowsk had been operating as second in command for a number of years and had recently stepped firmly into “the old man’s” shoes.

What followed was a roller coaster ride for investors, where the minor dips were more that compensated by the exhilarating rise in share price. Bolowsk trebled the size of the company through his aggressive “slash and burn” acquisitive strategy, taking the company into uncharted markets around the globe where he bought, stripped and resold huge companies, reaping profits in the process.

The board of directors are rightfully pleased with their CEO's performance and the part they played in that success, seven out of ten board members being company executives. The remaining three were drafted in by the ex-CEO/chairman due to their key expertise in BrightCo’s traditional markets. None have regular contact with shareholders. The board meets irregularly and (by their own admission) do not tend to do more than simply review current performance. Mr Bolowsk has complete freedom to act and this is widely seen as the reason for the company’s positive trading position.

Shareholders are also pleased with performance. However, some institutional investors have aired their concerns as to the sustainability of the current strategy, whether finances exist within BrightCo to support it and whether risks associated with unknown markets make the company overexposed and vulnerable.
At the last board meeting Mr Bolowski brushed aside any criticism stating that he was going to take the firm to new heights, a pronouncement met with loud applause from all those in attendance.

Required:

(a) With reference to the scenario, discuss changes to governance structure that you would recommend for this company.

(b) Assuming the changes recommended in part (a) are carried out, describe the possible role of a new board of directors.

7 Directors’ induction and CPD
Induction

- Although aimed at NEDs, the principles of an induction programme will be the same for new executive directors coming to the company from another organisation.
- For an internally-promoted director, it will depend on the person’s background as to which aspects of the programme must be undertaken.
- It is important, for effective participation in board strategy development, not only for the board to get to know the new NED, but also for the NED to build relationships with the existing board and employees below board level.

Objectives of induction

- To communicate vision and culture.
- To communicate practical procedural duties.
- To reduce the time taken for an individual to become productive in their duties.
- To assimilate an individual as a welcome member of the board.
- To ensure retention of individuals for future periods.

Induction package

The company secretary is generally responsible for directors’ induction. The Institute of Chartered Secretaries and Administrators (ICSA) induction package suggests the following items for immediate provision to the director.

Director’s duties:

- Brief outline of director’s role and responsibilities under codes of best practice.
- Advice on share dealing and disclosure of price sensitive information.
- Company information on matters reserved for the board, delegated authority, policy for obtaining independent advice.
- Fire drill procedures.

Company strategies:

- Current strategies, plans and budgets/ forecasts.
Board operations:

- Annual accounts, interims and KPIs.
- Company structures, subsidiaries and joint ventures.
- Treasury issues such as financing and dividend policy.
- Company brochures, mission statements.

Three months later:

- Company’s history plus products and services brochures.
- Details of advisors and contacts (lawyers, auditors, banks).
- Details of major shareholders and shareholder relations policy.
- Copies of AGM circulars from 3 previous years.
- Copies of management accounts.
- Details of risk management procedures and disaster recovery plans.
- Policies: health and safety, whistleblower, environmental, ethics and charitable.
- Recent press releases, reports, articles, cuttings.
- Details of five largest customers and suppliers.
- Full details of the code of compliance and company policy in relation to it.

**Continuing Professional Development (CPD)**

The following offers guidance on directors’ CPD requirements:

- To run an effective board, companies need to provide resources for developing and refreshing the knowledge and skills of their directors, including the NEDs.
- The chairman should address the developmental needs of the board as a whole with a view to enhancing its effectiveness as a team.
- The chairman should also lead in identifying the development needs of individual directors, with the company secretary playing a key role in facilitating provision.
- NEDs should be prepared to devote time to keeping their skills up to date.
**Objectives of CPD**

- To ensure directors have sufficient skills and ability to be effective in their role.
- To communicate challenges and changes within the business environment effectively to directors.
- To improve board effectiveness and, through this, corporate profitability.
- To support directors in their personal development.

---

**8 Legal and regulatory framework governing the board of directors**

**Legal rights and responsibilities**

The legal duties of a director are a baseline for directorial action and a concern since breach can leave a director open to criminal prosecution and imprisonment (e.g. corporate manslaughter).

**Objective**

The law is there to protect the owners of the company. It exists because of the nature of a fiduciary relationship where one person acts on behalf of another. The law provides a framework for directors’ actions in upholding the best principles in this owner/manager relationship.

**Power**

Directors do not have unlimited power.

- Articles of association: the articles of association provide a framework for how directors operate including the need to be re-elected on a 3-year rotation.
Directors do however have unlimited liability in the sense that even though they may delegate actions to management below, in a legal sense they cannot delegate liability for the outcome.

**Fiduciary duties**

Being aware of the objective and the power vested in directors leads to consideration of the nature of the fiduciary relationship.

- The duty to act in good faith: as long as directors' motives are honest and they genuinely believe they are acting in the best interests of the company they are normally safe from claims that they should have acted otherwise.
- The duty of skill and care: this care is a specific fiduciary duty. The law requires a director to use reasonable skill and care in carrying out their tasks.

**Penalties**

Directors who breach duties may face civil action by the company. If the director is in breach:

- any contract made by the director may be void
- they may be personally liable for damages in compensation for negligence
- they may be forced to restore company property at their own expense.

In the UK (for example purposes only) offences occur under the Companies Acts 1985 and 2006.

There are over 250 offences with penalties ranging from fines to imprisonment. Most are dealt with at magistrates' courts and relate to:

- administrative and compliance issues such as those for filing accounts
- restrictions and disclosure requirements such as insider trading and disclosure of share interests.

Expandable text - Example of directors' duties
Appointment, retirement and removal of directors

Retirement by rotation

• At the first AGM all the directors retire.
• At each subsequent AGM, one-third of the directors are subject to retirement by rotation or, if their number is not three or a multiple of three, the number nearest to one-third retires.
• The directors to retire by rotation are those who have been longest in office since their last appointment or reappointment.
• Directors should be re-elected at least every three years.

Director's service contract

This is a legal document covering the terms of service (employment) of a company director. It includes:

• key dates
• duties
• remuneration details
• termination provisions
• constraints
• other ‘ordinary’ employment terms.

The notice or contract periods should be set at one year or less. If longer notice or contract periods to new externally-recruited directors need to be offered, such periods should reduce after the initial period.

Removal of directors

NEDs should be appointed for specified terms subject to re-election and to Companies Act provisions relating to the removal of a director, and reappointment should not be automatic.

The office of director may be vacated by:

• death
• resignation from office by notice to the company
• personal bankruptcy
• statute: under a provision in either the articles of association of the company or through shareholder resolution such as failure to be re-elected by rotation
• absence for more than six consecutive months, without permission of the directors, from meetings of directors held during that period and the directors resolve that the office be vacated.

**Disqualification of directors**

Potential causes of disqualification include:

• allowing the company to trade while insolvent (wrongful trading/fraudulent trading)
• not keeping proper accounting records
• failing to prepare and file accounts
• being guilty of three or more defaults in complying with companies’ legislation regarding the filing of documents with Companies House during the preceding five years
• failing to send tax returns and pay tax
• taking actions that are deemed to be unfit in the management of a company.

The courts handle disqualification proceedings and if the courts find against the director, he/she could be disqualified for between two and 15 years.

While disqualified, a director cannot:

• be a director of any company
• act like a director, even if there is no formal appointment
• influence the running of a company through the directors
• be involved in the formation of a new company.

Ignoring a disqualification order is a criminal offence and a director could be fined and sent to prison for up to two years.
Conflict and disclosure of interests

The fiduciary duty of directors is to act in the best interests of shareholders. i.e. the directors may not put themselves in a position where their own personal interests conflict with the duties that they owe to the company as director.

A conflict of interest is a breach of this duty. The breach is in relation to the existence of the conflict and not in relation to the outcome of a situation where a breach exists.

Disclosure

The Companies Act 1985 (CA 1985) s232 states that companies are required, in the form of notes in the annual accounts, to disclose any information concerning transactions involving the directors. This includes any transaction or arrangement that is a material interest.

Insider dealing/trading

Insider trading is the illegal purchase or sale of shares by someone (usually a director) who possesses inside information about a company’s performance and prospects which, if publicly available, might affect the share price.

- Inside information is information which is not available to the market or general public and is supposed to remain confidential.
- These types of transactions in the company’s own shares are considered to be fraudulent.
• The ‘director insider’, simply by accepting employment, has made a contract with the shareholders to put the shareholders’ interests before their own, in matters related to the company.
• When the insider buys or sells based upon company-owned information, he is violating his contract with, and fiduciary duty to, the shareholders.

Test your understanding 2

Are these scenarios examples of insider trading?

Scenario 1
The chairman of Company ZZ knows (prior to any public announcement) that Company ZZ is to be taken over, and then buys shares in Company ZZ knowing that the share price will probably go up.

Scenario 2
While in a bar, an individual hears the CEO of Company ZZ at the next table telling the sales director that the company is to be taken over. That individual then buys the shares.

Expandable text - Combined Code

9 Directors – performance evaluation

Guidance on performance evaluation

At least once a year, the performance of the board as a whole, its committees and its members should be evaluated.

• Companies should tailor the evaluation to suit their own needs and circumstances.
• Companies should disclose in their annual reports whether such performance evaluation is taking place.
• The chairman is responsible for selection of an effective process and for acting on its outcome.
It is suggested that the use of an external third party to conduct the evaluation will bring objectivity to the process.

The evaluation should consist of a number of pertinent questions and answers, designed to assess performance and identify how certain elements of performance could/should be improved.

The evaluation process will be used constructively as a mechanism to:
- improve board effectiveness
- maximise strengths
- tackle weaknesses.

The results of board evaluation should be shared with the board as a whole.

The results of individual assessments should remain confidential between the chairman and the executive/NED concerned.

10 Board committees
Importance of committees

Board sub-committees are a generally accepted part of board operations.

Positives that come out of the creation and use of such structures are:

- Reduces board workload and enables them to improve focus on other issues.
- Creates structures that can use inherent expertise to improve decisions in key areas.
- Communicates to shareholders that directors take these issues seriously.
- Increase in shareholder confidence.
- Communicates to stakeholders the importance of remuneration and risk.
- Satisfy requirements of the Combined Code (or other governance requirements).

11 Nominations committee

The need for nominations committee is identified in many codes of best practice.

As an example, the Combined Code requires that there should be a formal, rigorous and transparent procedure for the appointments of new directors to the board:

- Creation of a nominations committee.
- This should have a majority of NEDs, the chairman should chair except when considering his successor.
- Evaluation of candidate’s skills, knowledge and expertise is vital.
- Chairman’s other commitments should be noted in the annual report.
- NED terms and conditions available for inspection, other commitments stated.
- Executives should not be members of any other FTSE 100 company board.
- A separate section of the annual report should describe the work of the committee.
Responsibilities of nominations committee

The main responsibilities and duties of the nominations committee are to:

- Review regularly the structure, size and composition of the board and make recommendations to the board.
- Consider the balance between executives and NEDs on the board of directors.
- Ensure appropriate management of diversity to board composition.
- Provide an appropriate balance of power to reduce domination in executive selection by the CEO/chairman.
- Regularly evaluate the balance of skills, knowledge and experience of the board.
- Give full consideration to succession planning for directors.
- Prepare a description of the role and capabilities required for any particular board appointment including that of the chairman.
- Identify and nominate for the approval by the board candidates to fill board vacancies as and when they arise.
- Make recommendations to the board concerning the standing for reappointment of directors.
- Be seen to operate independently for the benefit of shareholders.

CEO/chairman succession

The search for a potential replacement CEO begins immediately after a new CEO is appointed:

- for the nomination committee to have access to senior managers to gauge performance
- to have some idea of a successor in case the new CEO dies or leaves
- to monitor senior managers and cultivate possible successors over time
- for a search firm ('head-hunters') to be retained for this and other directorship identification
- to think very carefully as to whether the company wants a visionary at the helm or someone who can execute strategy effectively.
12 Chapter summary

UNITARY STRUCTURE
- a single board structure is simply one board of directors accountable directly to the shareholders.
- companies in countries like the US and the UK have unitary boards.

TWO-LEVEL STRUCTURE
- consists of a supervisory board and a management board.
- companies in France, Germany, Finland and the Netherlands are among those with twolvel board structures.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
- meeting agenda balances annual and shareholder issues.
- supportive information to members and attendance.
- chairmen chair proceedings.

SOLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES
- act in good faith in the interests of the company as a whole.
- display a certain amount of skill and exercise reasonable care.
- ensure company maintains full and accurate accounting records.
- produce, present and the proper annual accounts and directors' report.
- obey other laws.

CHARACTERISTICS AND COMPOSITION
- balance of executive directors and NEDs.
- not be dominated by a single powerful individual.
- role of chair and the chief executive should be different people.

CHAIRMAN
- runs the board.
- ensures that the board works and implements the company's direction and strategy effectively.
- acts as the company's lead representative.

INDUCTION PROGRAMME
- gives incoming director an understanding of the nature of the company, its business and the markets in which it operates.
- a link with the company's people.
- an understanding of the company's main relationships.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS
- members of a board of directors who are also senior managers of the company.
- usually paid or remunerated as full-time employees for that work.

NEDS
- members of the board of directors of a company who do not form part of the executive management team.
- not full-time employees of the company or affiliated to it in any other way.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE
- runs the company.
- has responsibility for the performance of the company, as determined by the board's strategy.
- reports to the chairman and/or board of directors.

INDEPENDENCE
- requires a certain detachment from the company.
- should be independent in judgement and have an unswerving interest.

LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK
- appointment and retirement.
- service contracts.
- removal.
- disqualification.
- conflicts of interest.
- insider dealing.

CPD
- companies need to provide resources for developing and refreshing the knowledge and skills of their directors, including the NEDs.

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
- At least once a year, the performance of the board as a whole, its committees and its members should be evaluated.
The board of directors

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

COMMITTEES

GENERAL IMPORTANCE
- reduce board workload
- use expertise for key decisions
- communication to shareholders
- satisfy governance requirements

NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE

COMPOSITION
- majority of NEDS

ROLE
- selects and recommends new board and other senior positions
- needs to be seen as impartial and objective
(a) Governance structure

Changes to governance structure will emerge from failures manifest in current operations. Whilst BrightCo is an extremely successful company there is no assurance that this will continue. The concerns of institutional investors (assuming they are a substantial element within the overall shareholding of the firm) must be addressed since the company is their company and what they want is what the financial vehicle (company) must deliver.

Taking the governance issues as they are presented in the scenario, the first concerns the lack of separation between CEO and chairman. This is a contentious issue although the Combined Code in the UK is unequivocal in its recommendation that both functions should be performed by separate individuals. It is the role of the chairman to represent shareholders and the role of the CEO to run the company. It would appear that, at present, the CEO/chairman is more interested in the latter and ignoring shareholders wishes/needs/concerns.

The Code also recommends that the chairman role be independent in the sense that the individual chosen has no prior role within the company. This should lead to a greater likelihood of independence of thought and action outside of executive management influence. The succession of an “insider” into the role can potentially create a conflict in the actions of a joint role holder. This seems evident in the incumbents’ pursuit of a strategy that may increase shareholders risk exposure beyond a level that is acceptable to them.

Perhaps the most important issue to address is the lack of adequate non executive director membership on the board. Such individuals bring with them great expertise as well as operating in a monitoring capacity for shareholders. The Combined Code states that for UK companies the balance of non executives to executives should be at least 50/50 with the chairman operating as a casting vote in favour of shareholder opinion should conflict arise. The current number of NED’s is inadequate to achieve this purpose.
In addition, current non executives do not have regular contact with shareholders. Provision A3 of the Combined Code calls for the creation of a senior independent role which provides a communication channel for shareholder contact should this be necessary. Recognition of this role could be part of the governance restructuring although the inclusion of more non executives is a necessary first step.

More subtle points mentioned in the scenario include the lack of appropriate skills on the board and, in particular, in relation to the non executives. Recently, the nature of the company has changed dramatically and there is clearly a need for expertise in relation to its new business ventures in order to reduce the inherent risk and advise management accordingly. Induction and training were recommended in the Higgs report for NED’s and this should become part of governance operations at BrightCo.

Finally, the lack of regular board meetings is of some concern. Regular meetings of the board is the first provision of the Combined Code, to ensure they are continually involved in strategic decision making and are well informed of the company’s position. The scope and structure of such meetings will depend on the changing role of the board as discussed below.

(b) **Board Role**

There is no single or simple definition as to the nature of the role of the board of directors. The scenario does however give some indication of likely areas of concern in the monitoring function associated with board operation.

Fundamentally, the role of the board is to represent shareholder interests, offering a duty of care and loyalty to the owners of the organisation. This duty does not seem to fully exist at present, with allegiance seemingly towards the CEO/chairman rather than those outside of strategic management. The board must be clear as to its position in this critical area since it impacts on every aspect of their decision making detailed below.

The most obvious role of a board is to monitor performance, particularly financial performance, of the entity and to offer appropriate advice to executive management in order to improve in this area if possible. Current success may have dampened interest in the counselling element associated with this function as directors simply operate as bystanders applauding the CEO in his efforts. A more enquiring and critical stance should be adopted.
Advice regarding strategic direction is another key aspect, especially when the strategic direction of the firm is changing rapidly. This general function could embrace a variety of more detailed considerations such as the need to assess risks and the availability of finance to support future operations. These are specifically mentioned and are good examples of key strategic management concerns that the board should be involved in.

Since the company’s strategy revolves around new markets and purchasing corporations the advice offered by the board in this area could be invaluable, especially with new, expert non executive directors.

A key role of the board is to ensure the continued operation of the organisation. This will include the need to consider succession just as the succession issue arose three years ago in this scenario. If the new CEO was to become ill this would leave the company with a major void in strategic leadership that is bound to affect share price. Succession must be planned for in order to ensure contingency exists and to plan for long term future retirements etc. The board is in a unique position to consider this issue since it is above all executive operations and vested interest.

Finally, the degree to which the board is merely a watchdog or an active participant in decision making must be considered as should the scope and formality of their operation (possible creation of committees). This is true of all boards and especially in this scenario since, at present, there is no useful purpose being served by this board of directors.

**Test your understanding 2**

**Scenario 1:** Yes

**Scenario 2:** No

The individual that buys the shares is not guilty of insider trading unless there was some closer connection between him/her, Company ZZ or Company ZZ’s directors.
Directors' remuneration

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• explain and evaluate the role and purpose of the following committees in effective corporate governance:
  – remuneration committees

• describe and assess the general principles of remuneration:
  – purposes
  – components
  – links to strategy
  – links to labour market conditions

• explain and assess the effect of various components of remuneration packages on directors’ behaviour:
  – basic salary
  – performance related
  – shares and share options
  – loyalty bonuses
  – benefits in kind

• explain and analyse the legal, ethical, competitive and regulatory issues associated with directors’ remuneration.
1 Development of corporate governance regarding directors’ remuneration

As discussed in chapter 2 the development of corporate governance codes is closely associated with the UK. The Greenbury Report (1995) contributed to the existing code with regards to directors’ remuneration.

This committee was formed to investigate shareholder concerns over director’s remuneration. The report focused on providing a means of establishing a balance between salary and performance in order to restore shareholder confidence.

2 Remuneration committee

The role of the remuneration committee

The role of the remuneration committee is to have an appropriate reward policy that attracts, retains and motivates directors to achieve the long-term interests of shareholders.
This definition creates a good balance between the opposing viewpoints of stakeholders.

**Objectives of the committee**

- The committee is, and is seen to be, independent with access to its own external advice or consultants.
- It has a clear policy on remuneration that is well understood and has the support of shareholders.
- Performance packages produced are aligned with long-term shareholder interests and have challenging targets.
- Reporting is clear, concise and gives the reader of the annual report a bird’s-eye view of policy payments and the rationale behind them.

The whole area of executive pay is one where trust must be created or restored through good governance and this is exercised through the use of a remuneration committee.

**Responsibilities of the remuneration committee**

The overall responsibilities of the remuneration committee are to:

- Determine and regularly review the framework, broad policy and specific terms for the remuneration and terms and conditions of employment of the chairman of the board and of executive directors (including design of targets and any bonus scheme payments).
- Recommend and monitor the level and structure of the remuneration of senior managers.
- Establish pension provision policy for all board members.
- Set detailed remuneration for all executive directors and the chairman, including pension rights and any compensation payments.
- Ensure that the executive directors and key management are fairly rewarded for their individual contribution to the overall performance of the company.
- Demonstrate to shareholders that the remuneration of the executive directors and key management is set by individuals with no personal interest in the outcome of the decisions of the committee.
- Agree any compensation for loss of office of any executive director.
- Ensure that provisions regarding disclosure of remuneration, including pensions, as set out in the Directors’ Remuneration Report Regulations 2002 and the Code, are fulfilled.
3 Directors' remuneration

**Remuneration** is defined as payment or compensation received for services or employment and includes base salary, any bonuses and any other economic benefits that an employee or executive receives during employment.

**Behavioural impact on directors of remuneration components**

Whatever remuneration package is determined, it is essential to ensure that the directors have a stake in doing a good job for the shareholder.

- Each element of a remuneration package should be designed to ensure that the director remains focused on the company and motivated to improve performance.
- A balance must be struck between offering a package:
  - that is too small and hence demotivating and leading to potential underachievement, and
  - that is too easily earned.

The company, following the work of the remuneration committee, should:

- Provide a package needed to attract, retain and motivate executive directors of the quality required, but avoid paying more than is necessary.
- Judge where to position the remuneration package relative to other companies.
- Be aware of what comparable companies are paying and should take account of relative performance.
- Be sensitive to the wider scene, including pay and employment conditions elsewhere in the company (especially when determining annual salary increases).
**Components of directors’ remuneration package**

Companies set salary levels according to:

- the job itself
- the skills of the individual doing the job
- the individual’s performance in the job
- the individual’s overall contribution to company strategy
- market rates for that type of job.

The setting of base salary in relation to peer groups may give some indication of expectation of director performance since upper quartile salaries generally suggest the individual is being paid a premium for a premium effort over the future period.

**Performance-related elements of remuneration**

Defined as those elements of remuneration dependent on the achievement of some form of performance-measurement criteria.

- Performance-related element should form a significant part of the total remuneration package.

A short-term bonus may be paid to the director at the end of the accounting year. This could be based on any number of accounting measures.

** Expandable text - Bases for short-term bonus**

Executive stock options are the most common form of long-term market-orientated incentive scheme.
Directors' remuneration

- Share options are contracts that allow the executive to buy shares at a fixed price or exercise price.
- If the stock rises above this price the executive can sell the shares at a profit.

Executives treat share options as part of their compensation and almost always exercise the option when it becomes available.

- Share options give the executive the incentive to manage the firm in such a way that share prices increase, therefore share options are believed to align the managers’ goals with those of the shareholders.
- This alignment should, in theory, overcome the agency problem of the separation between ownership and control since the executive in effect becomes the owner.
- The actual shares or share option incentives should be:
  - approved by shareholders
  - preferably replace any existing schemes or at least form part of a well-considered overall plan, incorporating existing schemes
  - rewarding but should not be excessive.
- Payouts (or grant of options) should be:
  - subject to challenging performance criteria reflecting the company’s objectives and performance relative to a group of comparator companies in some key variables such as total shareholder return
  - phased rather than awarded in one large block.

**Expandable text - Share options**

**Pension contributions**

- In general, only basic salary should be pensionable.
- The remuneration committee should consider the pension consequences and associated costs to the company of basic salary increases and any other changes in pensionable remuneration, especially for directors close to retirement.

**Benefits in kind**

- Benefits in kind (also referred to as perks) are various non-wage compensations provided to directors and employees in addition to their normal wages or salaries.
The remuneration committee should provide whatever other ancillary benefits would either be expected with the position of executive director or would increase their loyalty and motivation (examples of these would be a company car, health insurance, etc.).

### Illustration 1 – Tesco CEO’s remuneration package

The following information is summarised from Tesco plc’s 2008 Annual Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>£000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic salary</td>
<td>To attract and retain talented people</td>
<td>Determined by responsibilities, skills and experience</td>
<td>1,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Benchmarked against other large FTSE 100 retailers and international equivalents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term performance related pay</td>
<td>Cash bonus Motivates year-on-year earnings growth and delivery of strategic business priorities</td>
<td>Based on specific objectives and EPS (earnings per share) targets e.g. development of international and non-food businesses</td>
<td>1,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deferred share bonus Generates focus on medium-term targets and, by incentivising share price and dividend growth, ensures alignment with shareholder interests</td>
<td>Based on total shareholder return targets</td>
<td>1,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term performance related pay</td>
<td>Performance share plans and share options Assures a focus on long-term business success and shareholder returns</td>
<td>Based on a mix of ROCE (return on capital employed), EBIT (earnings before interest and tax) and EPS</td>
<td>1,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>£5,472,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr Smith, an executive director of Company XCX, is paid a salary of £100,000. In addition, he receives the use of a company car. He is reimbursed all his travel expenses to and from all the places he has to visit in the course of his work. If the company’s share price rises above £5 he is entitled to 10,000 share options at a price of £1 each. He will also receive a bonus of 20% of his salary if company profit before tax rises above £2.5 million. His wife also receives a company car, paid for by the company. He has permanent health insurance paid for by the company and has death-in-service benefits as well.

All of this is contained within his service contract.

What elements in the above paragraph constitute the director's remuneration?

There are a number of other issues relating to directors’ remuneration which a company should consider. These are:

• legal: what are the legal implications of the company/director relationship in terms of remuneration, especially when things go wrong?
• ethical: what ethical considerations should a company have in setting directors’ remuneration?
• competitive: how does a company remain competitive and ensure that they attract good quality directors?
regulatory: what are the regulatory requirements that a company should adhere to in relation to its directors’ remuneration?

5 Non-executive directors’ remuneration

To avoid the situation where the remuneration committee (consisting of NEDs) is solely responsible for determining the remuneration of the NEDs, the Combined Code states that the board and shareholders should determine the NED’s remuneration within the limits set out in the company’s constitution.

NED remuneration consists of a basic salary – no performance related element is awarded.
6 Chapter summary

Directors' remuneration

- **COMPOSITION**: 100% NEDs
- **ROLE**:
  - devises appropriate remuneration packages
  - decisions on executive remuneration seem to be taken by those who do not stand to benefit directly

**COMPONENTS**

- **BASIC SALARY** = periodic payment from employer specified in employment contract
- **PENSION** = form of non-wage compensation
- **SHARE OPTIONS** = options to acquire equity shares, awarded in return for given level of performance
- **BENEFITS IN KIND** = various non-wage compensations provided to directors and employees in addition to their normal wages or salaries

**LINK TO STRATEGY**

**PERFORMANCE-RELATED**

**BONUS** = payment for achievement of short-term target
## Test your understanding answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test your understanding 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All of it apart from the expenses reimbursement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relations with shareholders and disclosure

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• analyse and discuss the role and influence of institutional investors in corporate governance systems and structures, e.g. the roles and influences of pension funds, insurance companies and mutual funds

• explain and analyse the purposes of the annual general meeting (AGM) and extraordinary general meetings (EGMs) for information exchange between board and shareholders

• describe and assess the role of proxy voting in corporate governance

• explain and assess the general principles of disclosure and communication with shareholders

• explain and analyse ‘best practice’ corporate governance disclosure requirements

• define and distinguish between mandatory and voluntary disclosure of corporate information in the normal reporting cycle

• explain and explore the nature of, and reasons and motivations for, voluntary disclosure in a principles-based reporting environment (compared to, for example, the reporting regime in the USA).
1 Development of corporate governance regarding shareholders and disclosure

As discussed in chapter 2 the development of corporate governance codes is closely associated with the UK.

The Cadbury Report (1992) first recognised the importance and role of the institutional shareholders. It was noted that there is a need for greater director dialogue and engagement with this group. From this dialogue would emerge a greater understanding of the need to appreciate and respond to the needs of other stakeholders.

2 Institutional Investors

Institutional investors manage funds invested by individuals.

In the UK there are four types of institutional investor:

• pension funds
• life assurance companies
• unit trust
• investment trusts.

Importance of institutional investors

The key issue is the increasing dominance of this investor class and its potentially positive contribution to governance by concentrating power in a few hands.

Fund managers and other professionals working for the institutions have the skills and expertise to contribute towards the direction and management of a company.
Potential problems

In the separation between ownership and control there are a number of intermediaries, creating a complex web of agency relationships:

- investor
- pension fund trustee
- pension fund manager
- company.

Solution: shareholder activism

The advent of the Cadbury report and the Code (UK example only) has seen a marked change in institutional investor relationships with organisations. This is from simply being a trader to one of responsible ownership, from a passive role to one of shareholder activism.

This activism can be in the form of:

- making positive use of voting rights
- engagement and dialogue with the directors of investee companies
- paying attention to board composition/governance of investee companies (evaluation of governance disclosure)
- presenting resolutions for voting on at the AGM (rarely used in UK)
- requesting an EGM and presenting resolutions.
Institutional shareholder intervention

Intervention by an institutional investor in a company whose stock it holds is considered to be a radical step. There are a number of conditions under which it would be appropriate for institutional investors to intervene:

- **Strategy**: this might be in terms of products sold, markets serviced, expansion pursued or any other aspect of strategic positioning.
- **Operational performance**: this might be in terms of divisions within the corporate structure that have persistently under-performed.
- **Acquisitions and disposals**: this might be in terms of executive decisions that have been inadequately challenged by NEDs.
- **Remuneration policy**: this might relate to a failure of the remuneration committee to curtail extreme or self-serving executive rewards.
- **Internal controls**: might relate to failure in health and safety, quality control, budgetary control or IT projects.
- **Succession planning**: this might relate to a failure to adequately balance board composition or recommendation of replacement executives without adequate consideration of the quality of the candidate.
- **Social responsibility**: this might relate to a failure to adequately protect or respond to instances of environmental contamination or other areas of public concern.
- **Failure to comply with relevant codes**: consistent and unexplained non-compliance in a principles-based country will be penalised by the market. In a rules-based country it would have been penalised as a matter of law.

Illustration 1 – Current need for institutional shareholder dialogue

According to the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), the 2008 crash has wiped a total of $5 trillion off the value of private pension funds in rich countries over the course of a single year.

Almost half of the total loss has been sustained by US investors although seismic shockwaves have affected all those whose wealth is intrinsically tied with the movements of the market.

The OECD calculates that UK pension funds have declined by more than 15% ($300 billion) during 2008 and warns of far worse if the cost of falling property values were factored in. Among 28 countries covered in the study, Ireland’s workers have been worse hit with their retirement fund falling by more than 30%.
In the light of these findings the OECD has called for a strengthening of governance regulation through bodies such as the Financial Services Authority in order to ensure that institutional shareholders such as the large pension funds carry out adequate risk management of all portfolio organisations and do not simply rely on index tracking as a basis for investment decisions.

3 General meetings

A general meeting of an organisation is one which all shareholders or members are entitled to attend.

- **Annual General Meeting**
  - Must be held once every calendar year.
  - Legally required.
  - Separate resolutions for each issue.
  - Not less than 21 days' notice required.
  - First must be held no more than 18 months after date of incorporation, and thereafter no more than 15 months between meetings.
  - All shareholders must be notified and entitled to attend.
  - Annual accounts and appointment of auditors (if appropriate) approved at this meeting.

- **Extraordinary General Meeting**
  - No set timetable – held on an 'as required' basis.
  - No legal obligation to have any.
  - Separate resolutions for each issue.
  - Not less than 14 days' notice required.
  - All shareholders must be notified and entitled to attend.
  - Agenda dictated by need for meeting.
4 Proxy voting

Proxy voting systems are implemented to ensure that shareholders who are unable to attend general meetings where resolutions will be proposed and voted on can still make their opinions heard.

The UK Combined Code requires that:

- for each resolution proposed at a general meeting, proxy appointment forms should provide shareholders with the option to direct their proxy to vote either for or against the resolution or to withhold their vote
- the proxy form and any announcement of the results of a vote should make it clear that a ‘vote withheld’ is not a vote in law and will not be counted in the calculation of the proportion of the votes for and against the resolution
- the company should ensure that all valid proxy appointments received for general meetings are properly recorded and counted
- for each resolution, after a vote has been taken, except where taken on a poll, the company should ensure that the following information is given at the meeting and made available as soon as reasonably practicable on a website which is maintained by or on behalf of the company:
  - number of shares in respect of which proxy appointments have been validly made
  - number of votes for the resolution
  - number of votes against the resolution, and
  - number of shares in respect of which the vote was directed to be withheld.

5 Disclosure – general principles

Shareholders are the legal owners of a company and therefore entitled to sufficient information to enable them to make investment decisions.

- The AGM is seen as the most important, and perhaps only, opportunity for the directors to communicate with the shareholders of the company.
- As the only legally-required disclosure to shareholders, the annual report and accounts are often the only information shareholders receive from the company.
General principles of disclosure relate to the need to create and maintain communication channels with shareholders and other stakeholders. This disclosure becomes the mechanism through which governance is given transparency.

Principles of mandatory disclosure discuss the target for disclosure (particularly shareholders) and the mechanism for disclosure (annual report or meetings).

**Expandable text - Combined Code**

**6 Disclosure: best practice corporate governance requirements**

The issue of governance and disclosure are closely intertwined. Disclosure is the means by which governance is communicated and possibly assured since it leads to stakeholder scrutiny and shareholder activism.

Codes such as the UK Combined Code provide best practice governance. Adherence can only be communicated through transparency of Code implementation, and in its detailed inclusion in the annual report.
7 Mandatory versus voluntary disclosure

Organisations disclose a wide range of information, both mandatory and voluntary.

Test your understanding 1

Suggest examples of the following types of disclosure:

(a) Mandatory disclosure
(b) Voluntary disclosure
Annual report

The annual report becomes the tool for 'voluntary disclosure'. The report includes:

(1) **Chairman and CEO statements regarding company position** - This is voluntary in the sense that it is a requirement of the Code but obviously to not include this would be unimaginable.

(2) **Business review** (formerly OFR) - This detailed report is written in non-financial language in order to ensure information is accessible by a broad range of users, not just sophisticated analysts and accountants.

(3) **The accounts** - Including income statement, balance sheet and cash flow statements plus notes and compliance statements.

(4) **Governance** - A section devoted to compliance with the Code including all provisions shown above.

(5) **AOB (any other business)** - Shareholder information including notification of AGM, dividend history and shareholder taxation position.

Expansion of disclosure beyond the annual report

Since disclosure refers to the whole array of different forms of information produced by the company it also includes:

- press releases
- management forecasts
- analysts' presentations
- the AGM
- information on the corporate web site such as stand-alone social and environmental reporting.

Improvements in disclosure result in better transparency, which is the most important aim of governance reform worldwide.

'The lifeblood of the markets is information and any barriers to the flow represents imperfection. The more transparent the activities of the company, the more accurately securities will be valued.' (Cadbury Report)
Relations with shareholders and disclosure

**Motivations behind voluntary disclosure**

- **Weak disclosure and non-transparent practices** can contribute to unethical behavior and loss of market integrity.
- **Strong disclosure regime** can help to attract capital and maintain confidence in company.
- **May be made to promote the company in a positive light, and act as a marketing tool.**
- **VOLUNTARY DISCLOSURES** made by the company when no legal obligation to do so exists.
- **Helps improve public understanding of the structure, activities, corporate policies and performance.**
- Shareholders and potential investors require access to regular, reliable, comparable information for decision making.
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SHAREHOLDERS
- Company owners entitled to information from the directors

INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS
- Manage funds invested by individuals
- Create complex ownership and control issues

SHAREHOLDER ACTIVISM
- Use of voting rights
- Dialogue with directors
- Evaluating governance disclosure
- Resolutions for voting at AGM

GENERAL MEETINGS
- Meetings which all shareholders are entitled to attend and vote
- AGM and EGM

DISCLOSURE
- Usually via Annual reports and General meetings

BEST PRACTICE
- Guidance provided by governance codes

VOLUNTARY
- Company decides what to report

MANDATORY
- Required by law or other rules

PROXY VOTING
- Means of allowing shareholders to register vote when absent
Test your understanding answers

Test your understanding 1

(a) **Mandatory disclosure examples:**

- statement of comprehensive income (income or profit and loss statement)
- statement of financial position (balance sheet)
- statement of cash flow
- statement of changes in equity
- operating segmental information
- auditors’ report
- corporate governance disclosure such as remuneration report and some items in the directors’ report (e.g. summary of operating position)
- in the UK, the business review is compulsory.

(b) **Voluntary disclosure examples:**

- risk information
- operating review
- social and environmental information
- chief executive’s review.
Accountability, audit and controls in corporate governance

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• explain and evaluate the role and purpose of the following committees in effective corporate governance:
  – risk committees
• explain and explore the importance of internal control and risk management in corporate governance
• explain and evaluate the importance of compliance and the role of the internal audit committee in internal control
• describe and analyse the work of the internal audit committee in overseeing the internal audit function
• explain and explore the importance and characteristics of the audit committee’s relationship with external auditors
• explain and evaluate the role of the risk committee in identifying and monitoring risk (also in chapter 12).
1 Development of corporate governance regarding accountability, audit and controls

Cadbury Report (1992)

The audit and accountability section of the Cadbury Report recognised the importance of corporate transparency and ensuring good communication and disclosure with shareholders and stakeholders.

The report confirmed that directors should establish a sound system of internal control and review this system on a regular basis.

Illustration 1 – Barings Bank

Barings Bank was founded in 1762. Despite surviving the Napoleonic Wars and two World Wars, Barings was brought down in 1995 due to unauthorised trading by its head derivatives trader in Singapore, Nick Leeson.

At the time of the massive trading loss, Leeson was supposed to be arbitraging, seeking to profit from differences in the prices of Nikkei 225 futures contracts listed on the Osaka Securities Exchange in Japan and the Singapore International Monetary Exchange.

Under Barings Futures Singapore's management structure Leeson acted as both the floor manager for Barings' trading on the Singapore International Monetary Exchange, and head of settlement operations. In effect, he was able to operate with no supervision from London (lack of segregation of duties).

Leeson traded to cover losses that he claims started when one of his colleagues bought contracts when she should have sold them, costing Barings £20,000. Using the hidden ‘five-eights’ account, by 23 February 1995, Leeson’s activities had generated losses totalling £827 million (US$1.4 billion), twice the bank’s available trading capital.

ING, a Dutch bank, purchased Barings Bank in 1995 for the nominal sum of £1 and assumed all of Barings’ liabilities.

The Turnbull report states the need for directors to review their systems of internal control and report these to shareholders.

- Turnbull represented an attempt to formalise an explicit framework for establishing internal control in organisations.
- This framework can be used to help establish systems of internal control without being overly prescriptive. It provides guidance as to how to develop and maintain internal control systems and thus reduce risk.
- Work done by the Committee of Sponsoring Organisations (COSO) in 1992 was referred to within this report.

**Smith Report (2003)**

This report dealt with:

- the relationship between the auditor and the companies they audit
- the role and responsibilities of the audit committee.

The report stopped short of a prescriptive approach that would ban all auditors from carrying out consultancy work for their clients in keeping with the spirit of the law approach characterised by UK compliance codes.

---

**Illustration 2 – Société Générale**

In January 2008 Société Générale lost approximately €4.9 billion closing out positions on futures contracts over three days of trading during a period in which the market was experiencing a large drop in equity prices.

The bank claimed that Jérôme Kerviel, a trader with the company, "had taken massive fraudulent directional positions in 2007 and 2008 far beyond his limited authority".

Société Générale characterises Kerviel as a rogue trader and claims Kerviel worked these trades alone, and without its authorisation. Kerviel, in turn, told investigators that such practices are widespread and that getting a profit makes the hierarchy turn a blind eye.

Establishing board committees who are responsible for these areas is one method of ensuring that the requirements of these reports are implemented.
These committees will be discussed in this chapter, along with an outline of the areas referred to in the three reports. Further details of these topics will follow in later chapters, as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Committees</th>
<th>Further detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cadbury (1992)</td>
<td>Internal control and risk management</td>
<td>Risk committee</td>
<td>Chapters 9, 11 and 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSO (1992)</td>
<td>Internal control systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnbull (1999)</td>
<td>Internal controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith (2003)</td>
<td>Audit and auditors</td>
<td>Audit committee</td>
<td>Chapter 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Expandable text - Combined Code

#### 2 Internal control and risk management in corporate governance

- Internal control and risk management are fundamental components of good corporate governance.
- Good corporate governance means that the board must identify and manage all risks for a company.
- In terms of risk management, internal control systems span finance, operations, compliance and other areas, i.e. all the activities of the company.

**Risk management and Cadbury**

The UK Combined Code recommends that *the board should maintain a sound system of internal control to safeguard shareholders' interests and the company's assets*.

The Cadbury Report noted that risk management should be systematic and also embedded in company procedures. Furthermore there should be a culture of risk awareness.

The report's initial definition of risk management was *the process by which executive management, under board supervision, identifies the risk arising from business and establishes the priorities for control and particular objectives*.

While Cadbury recognised the need for internal control systems for risk management, detailed advice on application of those controls was provided by the Committee of Sponsoring Organisations, (COSO) and the Turnbull Report.
**Internal controls and COSO**

COSO was formed in 1985 to sponsor the national commission on fraudulent reporting. The 'sponsoring organisations' included the American Accounting Association and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. COSO now produces guidance on the implementation of internal control systems in large and small companies.

In COSO, internal control is seen to apply to three aspects of the business:

1. Effectiveness and efficiency of operations – that is the basic business objectives including performance goals and safeguarding resources.
3. Compliance with applicable laws and regulations to which the company is subject.

The elements of an effective control system recommended by COSO in 1992 are covered in chapter 9.

**Internal controls and Turnbull**

The Turnbull committee was established after the publication of the 1998 Combined Code in the UK to provide advice to listed companies on how to implement the internal control principles of the code.

The overriding requirement of their report was that the directors should:

(a) implement a sound system of internal controls, and
(b) that this system should be checked on a regular basis.

---

**3 Risk committee**

- Though corporate governance codes do not specifically require a risk committee to be established, many companies will set up a separate risk committee or establish the audit committee as a ‘risk and audit committee’.
- The risk committee is sometimes referred to as a **risk management committee**.
- Where no risk committee is formed, the audit committee will usually perform similar duties.
Roles of the risk committee

More will be seen on the concept of risk appetite in chapter 12.

Composition of risk committee

The committee will include both executive and non-executive directors, with the majority being NEDs.

Executive directors are involved as they are responsible for the day-to-day operations and therefore have a more detailed understanding of the associated risks.

Responsibilities of the risk committee

Detailed tasks of the risk committee are to:

- Assess risk management procedures (for the identification, measurement and control of key risk exposures) in accordance with changes in the operating environment.
- Emphasise and demonstrate the benefits of a risk-based approach to internal control.
- If appropriate, consider risk audit reports on key business areas to assess the level of business risk exposure.
- Assess risks of any new ventures and other strategic initiatives.
- If appropriate, review credit risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk and operational risk exposures with regard to full board risk appetite.
- Consider whether public disclosure of information regarding internal control and risk management policies and key risk exposures is in accordance with the statutory requirement and financial reporting standards.
- Make recommendations to the full board on all significant matters relating to risk strategy and policies.
Some of these tasks may be directed toward the audit committee, especially the areas of internal control where there already is an internal audit function.

4 Audit committee

The audit committee is a committee of the board of directors consisting entirely of independent non-executive directors (NEDs) (at least three in larger companies), of whom at least one has had recent and relevant financial experience.

Roles of the audit committee

- The key roles of the audit committee are ‘oversight’, ‘assessment’ and ‘review’ of other functions and systems in the company.
- Most of the board objectives relating to internal controls will be delegated to the audit committee.

EXPANDABLE TEXT - Smith guidance

EXPANDABLE TEXT - Factors affecting the role of the audit committee

EXPANDABLE TEXT - Audit committee and compliance
5 The audit committee and internal control

The board is responsible for the total process of risk management, which includes ensuring that the system of internal control is adequate and effective.

The audit committee should:

- review the company’s internal financial controls
- review all the company’s internal control and risk management systems, unless the task is taken on by a separate risk committee or the full board
- give its approval to the statements in the annual report relating to internal control and risk management
- receive reports from management about the effectiveness of the control systems it operates
- receive reports on the conclusions of any tests carried out on the controls by the internal or external auditors.

6 The audit committee and internal audit

As part of their obligation to ensure adequate and effective internal controls, the audit committee is responsible for overseeing the work of the internal audit function.
The Smith Guidance on audit committees recommends that the committee meet with internal auditors at least once a year, without management present, to discuss audit-related matters.

If the company does not have an internal audit function:

- the committee should consider annually whether there is a need for an internal audit function and make a recommendation to the board, and
- the reasons for the absence of an internal audit function should be explained in the relevant section of the annual report.

7 The audit committee and external auditors

The audit committee is responsible for oversight of the company’s relations with its external auditors. The audit committee should:

- have the primary responsibility for making a recommendation to the board on the appointment, re-appointment or removal of the external auditors
- ‘oversee’ the selection process when new auditors are being considered
- approve (though not necessarily negotiate) the terms of engagement of the external auditors and the remuneration for their audit services
- have annual procedures for ensuring the independence and objectivity of the external auditors
• review the scope of the audit with the auditor, and satisfy itself that this is sufficient
• make sure that appropriate plans are in place for the audit at the start of each annual audit
• carry out a post-completion audit review.

Expandable text - Post-completion audit review

Expandable text - Independence of external auditors
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ACCOUNTABILITY AND AUDIT

RESPONSIBILITIES REGARDING RISK AND INTERNAL CONTROL
- Internal control and risk management are fundamental concepts of good corporate governance

RISK COMMITTEE

COMPOSITION
- Executive directors & NEDs

ROLE
- Raise risk awareness
- Establish policies
- Processes to monitor and report risks
- Update company risk profile and appetite

AUDIT COMMITTEE

COMPOSITION
- 100% NEDs

ROLE
- Review of internal control systems
- Oversee work of internal audit
- Review work of external audit
- Monitor integrity of financial statements
Corporate governance approaches

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• describe and compare the essentials of ‘rules-’ and ‘principles-’ based approaches to corporate governance, and discuss the meaning of ‘comply or explain’
• describe and analyse the different models of business ownership that influence different governance regimes (e.g. family firms versus joint stock company-based models)
• explain and explore the Sarbanes-Oxley Act 2002 (SOX) as an example of a rules-based approach to corporate governance
• describe and explore the objectives, content and limitations of, corporate governance codes intended to apply to multiple national jurisdictions.
1 Rules and principles based approaches to corporate governance

There are different approaches to the communication, management and monitoring of codes.

- A rules-based approach instills the code into law with appropriate penalties for transgression.
- A principles-based approach requires the company to adhere to the spirit rather than the letter of the code. The company must either comply with the code or explain why it has not through reports to the appropriate body and its shareholders.

The UK model is a principles-based one, although since adherence is part of stock exchange listing requirements it cannot be considered to be voluntary for large companies.

The US model is enshrined into law by virtue of SOX. It is, therefore, a rules-based approach.
**Choice of governance regime**

The decision as to which approach to use for a country can be governed by many factors:

- dominant ownership structure (bank, family or multiple shareholder)
- legal system and its power/ability
- government structure and policies
- state of the economy
- culture and history
- levels of capital inflow or investment coming into the country
- global economic and political climate.

**Comply or explain**

A principles-based code requires the company to state that it has complied with the requirements of the code or to explain why it could not do so in its annual report. This will leave shareholders to draw their own conclusions regarding the governance of the company.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illustration 1 – Marks &amp; Spencer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On 10 March 2008, Marks &amp; Spencer announced Board and senior management changes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The announcement stated that “Lord Burns will stand down as Chairman with effect from 1 June 2008” and that “Sir Stuart Rose is appointed Executive Chairman from the same date”.

This action meant that Sir Stuart Rose would become CEO and chairman and, in allowing one individual to hold both positions, Marks & Spencer would not be in compliance with the UK Combined Code (A.2.2). Furthermore (and also in contravention of the code), the directors had not fully consulted major shareholders in advance of this announcement.

In their corporate governance statement for the year ended 29 March 2008, Marks & Spencer stated that they had complied with all the provisions of the code with the exception of the two noted above and went on to explain the non compliance. A letter was also written to the shareholders (dated 3 April 2008) explaining in full the reasons for the departure.
Arguments in favour of a rules-based approach (and against a principles-based approach)

Organisation’s perspective:

- Clarity in terms of what the company must do – the rules are a legal requirement, clarity should exist and hence no interpretation is required.
- Standardisation for all companies – there is no choice as to complying or explaining and this creates a standardised and possibly fairer approach for all businesses.
- Binding requirements – the criminal nature makes it very clear that the rules must be complied with.

Wider stakeholder perspective:

- Standardisation across all companies – a level playing field is created.
- Sanction – the sanction is criminal and therefore a greater deterrent to transgression.
- Greater confidence in regulatory compliance.

Arguments against a rules-based approach (and in favour of a principles-based approach)

Organisation’s perspective:

- Exploitation of loopholes – the exacting nature of the law lends itself to the seeking of loopholes.
- Underlying belief – the belief is that you must only play by the rules set. There is no suggestion that you should want to play by the rules (i.e. no ‘buy-in’ is required).
- Flexibility is lost – there is no choice in compliance to reflect the nature of the organisation, its size or stage of development.
- Checklist approach – this can arise as companies seek to comply with all aspects of the rules and start ‘box-ticking’.

Wider stakeholder perspective:

- ‘Regulation overload’ – the volume of rules and amount of legislation may give rise to increasing costs for businesses and for the regulators.
- Legal costs - to enact new legislation to close loopholes.
- Limits – there is no room to improve, or go beyond the minimum level set.
- ‘Box-ticking’ rather than compliance – this does not lead to well governed organisations.
2 Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX)

In 2002, following a number of corporate governance scandals such as Enron and WorldCom, tough new corporate governance regulations were introduced in the US by SOX.

- SOX is a rules-based approach to governance.
- SOX is extremely detailed and carries the full force of the law.
- SOX includes requirements for the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to issue certain rules on corporate governance.
- It is relevant to US companies, directors of subsidiaries of US-listed businesses and auditors who are working on US-listed businesses.

Illustration 2 – Enron

On 2 December 2001, Enron, one of US top 10 companies filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. The size of the collapse sent shock waves around the world and 'Enronitus’ spread through investors and boards of directors shaking confidence in the markets and continued global economic prosperity.

Expandable text - Measures introduced by SOX

- **Auditor independence**
  
  Auditors are restricted in the additional services they can provide to an audit client.

- **Audit partner**
  
  Senior partner must be changed every five years.

- **Restrictions on dealing**
  
  Directors prohibited from dealing in shares at 'sensitive times'.

- **Audit committee**
  
  Company must have an audit committee – will be disallowed from trading if it does not have one.

- **Internal control report**
  
  Annual report must include statements concerning the internal control systems in the company. (Section 404)

- **Accuracy of financial statements**
  
  Must be vouched for by CEO and CFO.

- **Increased financial disclosures**
  
  Financial reports to detail off balance sheet financing.
Key effects of SOX

- personal liability of directors for mismanagement and criminal punishment
- improved communication of material issues to shareholders
- improved investor and public confidence in corporate US
- improved internal control and external audit of companies
- greater arm's length relationships between companies and audit firms
- improved governance through audit committees.

Negative reactions to SOX

- Doubling of audit fee costs to organisations
- Onerous documentation and internal control costs
- Reduced flexibility and responsiveness of companies
- Reduced risk taking and competitiveness of organisations
- Limited impact on the ability to stop corporate abuse
- Legislation defines a legal minimum standard and little more.

Test your understanding 1

The ASD company is based in a jurisdiction which has strong principles of corporate governance. The directors realise that if the rules of governance are broken, then there are financial penalties on them personally. However, the rules that must be followed are clear and the directors follow those rules even though they may not agree with them.

Recently, one director has noted that if one of the reports required under corporate governance is simply placed into the postal system, then it is deemed to have been received by the shareholders. However, with a significant percentage of items being ‘lost in the mail’ this provides the company with a good excuse for non-receipt of the report – the director even went so far as to suggest privately that the report should not be produced.

Required

(a) Briefly explain the principles - and rules - based approaches to corporate governance.

(b) Contrast the advantages and problems of the system of corporate governance in ASD company’s jurisdiction with the alternative approach to governance.
3 Divergent governance

The committees and codes of practice in the UK are implemented through the FSA and adherence is a requirement of listing on the stock exchange.

This raises the issue of how governance impacts on other types of organisational structure:

- non-governmental organisations (NGOs)
- smaller listed companies
- US companies
- private or family companies
- global organisations.

**NGOs**

Governance issues for NGOs are similar to those raised for public sector organisations since both are not-for-profit (NFP) structures (discussed in chapter 1).

In general, there is a need for increased commercialisation in operations, the need to run the charity as a business for the benefit of all.

**Smaller limited companies**

There is a duty on all organisations to operate within the law and, for those of any given size, to produce audited accounts. In governance terms, the agency problem does not tend to arise in private limited companies since shareholding is restricted and those with shares tend to have a direct involvement with the running of the firm.

Particular problems arise due to the limited size of such concerns:

- role and numbers of NEDs
- size of the board
- use of audit and nomination committees.

Despite this there is generally a perception that all companies should comply and, like all other companies, in order to foster the key need for improved communication, should either comply or explain through the Business Review.
4 Governance structures

A wider world view of governance requires consideration of the nature of ownership, power and control.

Illustration 3 – Share ownership analysis

La Porta 1999 analysed company structures in 49 countries and found that 24% of large companies have a wide share ownership compared to 35% being family controlled (Walmart, Barclays, Cadbury).

• Families tend to have control rights in excess of their cash flow rights in terms of preferential share voting rights.
• Controlling families tend to participate in the management of their firms.
• Other large shareholders are usually not there to monitor controlling shareholders.

Family structures (as opposed to joint stock)

A family structure exists where a family has a controlling number of shares in a company. This has potential benefits and problems for the company, and the other shareholders involved.

Benefits that arise include:

• Fewer agency costs – since the family is directly involved in the company there are fewer agency costs.
• Ethics – it could be said that threats to reputation are threats to family honour and this increases the likely level of ethical behaviour.
• Fewer short-term decisions – the longevity of the company and the wealth already inherent in such families suggest long-term growth is a bigger issue.

Problems include:

• Gene pool – the gene pool of expertise in owner managers must be questionable over generations.
• Feuds – families fight, and this is an added element of cultural complexity in the business operation.
• Separation – families separate and this could be costly in terms of buying out shareholding and restructuring.
Insider-dominated structures (as opposed to outsider-dominated)

This is an extension of the same idea. Insider-dominated structures are where the listed companies are dominated by a small group of shareholders. These:

- may be family owned
- may be banks, other companies or governments
- predominate in Japan and Germany.

The close relationship suggests benefits including:

- fewer agency problems and costs
- lower cost of capital
- greater access to capital
- less likelihood of suffering short-termism
- greater, stable expert input to managerial decisions.

Problems include:

- lack of minority shareholder protection (unlike protection in law in outsider-dominated structures)
- opaque operations and lack of transparency in reporting
- misuse of power
- the market does not decide or govern (shareholders cannot exit easily to express discontent).

5 International convergence

The competitiveness of nations is a preoccupation for all governments.

- Harmonisation and liberalisation of financial markets mean that foreign companies now find it easy to invest in any marketplace.
- This has led to a drive towards international standards in business practices to sit alongside the global shift in applying International Accounting Standards (IASs).
Two organisations have published corporate governance codes intended to apply to multiple national jurisdictions. These organisations are:

- the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and
- the International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN).

**Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)**

**What is it?**

- Established in 1961, the OECD is an international organisation composed of the industrialised market economy countries, as well as some developing countries, and provides a forum in which to establish and co-ordinate policies.

The OECD principles were updated and republished in 2004.

**Content of the OECD principles:**

- ensuring the basis for an effective corporate governance framework
- the rights of shareholders and key ownership functions
- the equitable treatment of shareholders
- the role of stakeholders in corporate governance
- disclosure and transparency
- the responsibilities of the board.
International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

What is it?

- ICGN, founded in 1995 at the instigation of major institutional investors, represents investors, companies, financial intermediaries, academics and other parties interested in the development of global corporate governance practices.

Content of the ICGN principles:

- corporate objective – shareholder returns
- disclosure and transparency
- audit
- shareholders’ ownership, responsibilities, voting rights and remedies
- corporate boards
- corporate remuneration policies
- corporate citizenship, stakeholder relations and the ethical conduct of business
- corporate governance implementation.

Limitations

- All codes are voluntary and are not legally enforceable unless enshrined in statute by individual countries.
- Local differences in company ownership models may mean parts of the codes are not applicable.
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**Corporate governance approaches**

**Rules-based**
- Prescribed set of requirements
- Can pull organisations into line very quickly
- ‘Checklist’ approach
- Discretion reduced
- Inflexible to new ways of working
- May not be interpreted
- Same rules apply to all companies.

**Principles-based**
- Business to address principles set out in code
- ‘Not sick in the box’
- Broad in scope
- Can be interpreted
- Best elements of company should be considered
- Flexible.

**US Sarbanes-Oxley Act**
- Extremely detailed
- Has full force of law
- Relevant to UK directors and auditors of US subsidiaries.
- Key points
  - Auditor independence
  - Audit committees
  - Audit partner
  - Restrictions on dealing
  - Internal control report
  - Increased financial disclosures
  - Accuracy of financial statements

**UK Combined Code**
- Code of best practice
- Incorporated in Listing Rules
- Listed companies required to ‘comply or explain’.

**Impact of ownership Models**
- Balance of ownership and control
- Number of shareholders
- Business risk and therefore CG focus will differ.

**Convergence of governance codes**
- Multiple jurisdictions

**OECD Principles**

**IFCGN Principles**
Test your understanding answers

Test your understanding 1

(a) Rules- and principles-based approaches to corporate governance

A rules-based approach to corporate governance instils the code into law with appropriate penalties for transgression. The code therefore has to be followed, and if it is not followed then the directors are normally liable to a fine, imprisonment or both.

A principle-based approach requires the company to adhere to the spirit rather than the letter of the code. The company must either comply with the code or explain why it has not through reports to the appropriate body and its shareholders. However, in many principles-based jurisdictions, the code has to be followed in order to obtain a listing on the relevant stock exchange. This means that the code is not quite ‘voluntary’.

(b) In the example, the ASD company is in a rules based jurisdiction.

Benefits of the rules based approach

There is clarity in terms of what the company and directors must do to comply with the corporate government regulations. In this instance, clarity simply means that the requirements must be followed; there is no option to comply or explain why the requirements have not been followed as there is in a principles-based system.

Even though ASD is a medium-sized company, there is one set of rules to be followed. This has the effect of limiting uncertainty regarding the standard of corporate governance which can be a problem with a principles-based approach (which rules were actually complied with?).

There are criminal sanctions for non-compliance which means that there is a greater likelihood that the regulations will be followed. In a principles-based approach, although there may be the threat of delisting, there is no penalty on the directors meaning that there can be less incentive to actually follow the code.

Problems with the rules based approach

The fact that the regulations are statutory tends to lead to methods of avoiding the ‘letter of the law’ – that is loopholes will be found and exploited. A principles-based approach provides the guidelines which can then be applied to any situation, effectively avoiding this problem.
The rules are simply there; agreement with the rules is not required, only compliance. In principles-based systems, there is the underlying belief that the principles are accepted. In other words compliance is more likely simply because companies and directors want to follow them to show good corporate governance.

Companies and directors must follow the rules that have been set – there is no incentive to improve on the basic minimum standard, for example, in terms of providing additional disclosure. A principles-based system allows interpretation of the minimum standards and in effect encourages additional disclosure where necessary as this complies with the ‘spirit’ of the regulations.
Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• explain and explore social responsibility in the context of corporate governance
• discuss and critically assess the concept of stakeholders and stakeholding in organisations and how this can affect strategy and corporate governance
• analyse and evaluate issues of ‘ownership’, ‘property’ and the responsibilities of ownership in the context of shareholding
• explain the concept of the organisation as a corporate citizen of society with rights and responsibilities.
1 Corporate social responsibility (CSR)

**A corporation:**

- Is an artificial person in law. It has the same rights and responsibilities as human beings.
- Is notionally owned by shareholders but exists independently of them. The shareholder has a right to vote and be paid a dividend but the company owns its assets.
- Managers have a fiduciary right to protect shareholder investment.
Milton Friedman argued that, in relation to this definition, a corporation has no responsibility outside of making profit for shareholders:

- Only human beings have moral responsibility for their actions.
- It is the managers' duty to act solely in the interest of shareholders: this is a point of law. Any other action is shareholder betrayal.
- Social issue are the province of the state and not corporations.

The argument against this viewpoint needs to provide the organisation with an alternative view that leads to the same outcome of profit.

**Enlightened self-interest**

- Corporations perceived as ethically sound are rewarded with extra customers.
- Corporations which are ethically unsound are boycotted.
- Employees are more attracted to work for, and are more committed to, socially responsible companies.
- Voluntarily committing to social actions and programmes may forestall legislation and promote independence from government.
- Positive contribution to society may be a long-term investment in a safer, better educated and more equitable community creating a more stable context in which to do business.

**The nature of CSR**

Carroll devised a four-part model of CSR: economic responsibility, legal responsibility, ethical responsibility and philanthropic responsibility.

True CSR requires satisfying all four parts consecutively.

From this, Carroll offers the following definition of CSR:

'**CSR encompasses the economic, legal, ethical and philanthropic expectations placed on organisations by society at a given point in time.**'

**Economic responsibility**

- Shareholders demand a reasonable return.
- Employees want safe and fairly paid jobs.
- Customers demand quality at a fair price.
Legal responsibility

- The law is a base line for operating within society.
- It is an accepted rule book for company operations.

Ethical responsibility

- This relates to doing what is right, just and fair.
- Actions taken in this area provide a reaffirmation of social legitimacy.
- This is naturally beyond the previous two levels.

Philanthropic responsibility

- Relates to discretionary behaviour to improve the lives of others.
- Charitable donations and recreational facilities.
- Sponsoring the arts and sports events.

Social responsiveness

This refers to the capacity of the corporation to respond to social pressure, and the manner in which it does so.

Carroll suggests four possible strategies: reaction, defence, accommodation and proaction.

Reaction

The corporation denies any responsibility for social issues.

Defence

The corporation admits responsibility but fights it, doing the very least that seems to be required.

Accommodation

The corporation accepts responsibility and does what is demanded of it by relevant groups.

Proaction

The corporation seeks to go beyond industry norms.
2 Stakeholders and their claims

As already stated in chapter 1 Freeman defines stakeholders as *any person or group that can affect or be affected by the policies or activities of an organisation*.

- The definition is important since it shows the bidirectionality of stakeholder claims inasmuch as they can impact on the corporation as well as being the recipient of the actions of the firm.

The traditional model of capitalism provides us with:

- customers, suppliers, shareholders and employees.

The stakeholder model extends this to include:

- government, civil society and competitors.

**Stakeholder claims**

These are the demands that the stakeholder makes of an organisation. They essentially ‘want something’ from an organisation.

- The stakeholders may seek to influence the organisation to act in a certain way, or may want it to increase or decrease certain activities that affect them.

- **Direct** stakeholder claims are usually unambiguous, and are often made directly between the stakeholders and the organisation.

- Stakeholders typically making direct claims will include trade unions, employees, shareholders, customers and suppliers.

- **Indirect** claims are made by those stakeholders unable to express their claim directly to the organisation. They have no ‘voice’.

- This lack of expression may arise from the stakeholder being powerless (an individual customer of a large organisation), not existing yet (future generations), having no voice (natural environment) or being remote from the organisation (producer groups in distant countries).

- The claim of an indirect stakeholder will need to be interpreted by someone else in order to be expressed.

Refer to the Examiner’s article published in Student Accountant in January 2008 “All about stakeholders – part 1”
3 Stakeholder classifications and relations

Classifications of stakeholders

There are a number of ways of classifying stakeholders according to criteria based on how stakeholders relate to organisational activities.

Internal and external stakeholders

This is the distinction between stakeholders inside the organisation and those outside.

- Internal: includes employees and management, and possibly trade unions.
- External: includes customers, competitors and suppliers.

Narrow and wide stakeholders

This is the extent to which the stakeholder group is affected by organisational activity.

- Narrow: those most affected or who are dependent on corporation output, shareholders, employees, management, customers, suppliers.
- Wide: those less affected or dependent on company output such as government, the wider community and non-dependent customers.

Primary and secondary stakeholders

This focuses on the opposing view in Freeman’s definition, that stakeholders affect organisations as well as being affected by organisations.

- Primary: those that have a direct affect on the company and without whom it would be difficult to operate, government, shareholders and customers.
- Secondary: those that have a limited direct influence on the organisation and without whom the company would survive, the community and management.

Active and passive stakeholders

This categorisation distinguishes between those that seek to participate in organisational activity and those that do not.

- Active: those that wish to participate of course includes management and employees, but may also include regulators, environmental pressure groups and suppliers.
• Passive: those that do not wish to participate may include shareholders, local communities, government and customers.

Voluntary and involuntary stakeholders

This categorisation removes the element of choice associated with active and passive participation, subdividing the active group into two elements.

• Voluntary: those stakeholders that choose to be involved in organisational decision making such as management, employees' environmental groups and active shareholders. These stakeholders can withdraw their stakeholding in the short-term.

• Involuntary: those stakeholders that do not choose to be involved in organisational decisions, but become involved for a variety of reasons. This could include regulators, key customers, suppliers, government, natural environment and local communities. They cannot withdraw in the short- to medium-term.

Legitimate and illegitimate stakeholders

This is the extent to which the claim of the stakeholder is considered a valid claim. It can be a subjective classification with debate surrounding certain group’s claims, and can lead into the concept of whether stakeholders are recognised by the organisation or not.

• Legitimate: those with an active economic relationship with an organisation, such as customers and suppliers.

• Illegitimate: those without such a link, such as terrorists, where there is no case for taking their views into account when making decisions.

Managing stakeholder relations

Stakeholder mapping: The Mendelow model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of interest</th>
<th>Power</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Minimum effort</th>
<th>Keep informed</th>
<th>Keep satisfied</th>
<th>Key players</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The model provides a framework for assessing the general nature of action to be taken following classification of stakeholders according to power and interest.
Assessing stakeholder importance

Customers, shareholders and employees may be the most important stakeholders but continual assessment helps to focus in on those that require immediate action.

Three attributes may be assessed:

- **Power**: the perceived ability of the stakeholder to affect organisational action.
- **Legitimacy**: whether the company perceives the stakeholder action to be legitimate.
- **Urgency**: whether the stakeholder claim calls for immediate action.

**Definitive** stakeholders (possessing all three) require immediate action, the others are **latent** stakeholders.

Organisational motivations regarding stakeholders

Donaldson and Preston draw a distinction between two motivations as to why organisations act in relation to the concerns of stakeholders.

The **instrumental** view of stakeholders:

- This relates to motivation stemming from the possible impact of stakeholder action on the objectives of the organisation.
- The organisation reacts to stakeholder input because it believes that not to do so would have an impact on its primary objectives (which may be profit, but could be other objectives for organisations such as charities).
- Such a view of stakeholders is therefore devoid of any moral obligation.

The **normative** view of stakeholders:

- This relates to motivation stemming from a moral consciousness that accepts a moral duty towards others in order to sustain social cohesion (the good of society).
- Such an altruistic viewpoint appreciates the need to act in a general sense of what is right rather than in a narrow interpretation of what is right for the company to achieve its profit targets.
Refer to the Examiner’s article published in Student Accountant in February 2008 “All about stakeholders – part 2”

4 Impact of stakeholders on corporate governance

A key area of impact is in relation to the increased need for, and existence of, social accounting. There are various forms of social accounting produced for inclusion in the Business Review as part of annual accounting reports.

- Ethical accounting: tends to focus on internal management systems or codes of practice at an individual level and how the company audits and complies with this.
- Environmental accounting: tends to focus exclusively on the organisation’s impact on the natural environment.
- Social accounting: has a broader remit to incorporate employee conditions, health and safety, equal opportunities, human rights, charity work.
- Sustainability accounting: is a grand title that incorporates the triple bottom line of the first three with possible emphasis on environmentalism.

These areas will be discussed further in chapter 16.

Expandable text - Effective social accounting

5 The organisation as a corporate citizen

Corporate citizenship (CC) suggests an expanded viewpoint of the corporate role, moving beyond the boundaries of direct stakeholder relationships.

It is linked to the concept of corporate accountability.

- Corporate accountability refers to whether the organisation is in some way answerable for the consequences of its actions beyond its relationship with shareholders.

The demands for corporations to be more accountable and step up to their new role as valid members of society comes from two main sources: government failure and corporate power.
Government failure

One consequence of a modern society with an abundance of products and services is the failure of governments to deal with risks that accompany these rapid changes.

- Sometimes the risks are beyond the control of a single government.
- Sometimes electoral impact dampens political will.
- Sometimes they are part of the problem.
- Sometimes it is simply too difficult to change lifestyles.
- Sometimes sub-political activism such as Greenpeace impedes political will.

Corporate power

Corporations can shape lives in many ways:

- Liberalisation and deregulation of markets increase market power and restrict the ability of governments to intervene.
- Privatisation of many previous state monopolies places greater power in the corporate hand.
- Countries struggle with unemployment and yet the decision to locate and support societies is often not theirs but that of corporations.
- The pressure on low-wage economies to maintain low wages (and hence low costs to attract customers) is vast.
- Complex cross-border legal agreement is very difficult and so corporations are encouraged to self-regulate.

Expandable text - Scope of corporate citizenship

Test your understanding 1

JV Limited manufactures cleaning chemicals at its factory in a small town in the Lake District. It employs 300 people, and is the largest employer within a 20-mile radius.

The factory is located on the side of a lake, at the end of a single track road.

Identify five social responsibilities of this company.
6 Shareholder ownership, property and responsibilities

It is worth considering the nature of shareholder ownership in order to determine the extent to which this responsibility exists.

Ownership and property generally have three elements:

- Owner (O) has the right to use property (P) as he wishes. If it is food he can eat it, if it is land he can build on it.
- O has the right to regulate anyone else’s use of P. If it is food he can share it or not, if it is land he decides who crosses the boundary.
- O has the right to transfer rights of P on whatever terms he wishes. He can sell the food or the land.

A generally agreed fourth point is:

- O is responsible for making sure that his use of P does not damage others. If P is a dog then O must make sure it does not bite others.

Ownership of a share in a large corporation is different:

- In a legal sense, because you do not own the organisation. It is a separate legal entity. The shareholder owns a right to participate in the risks and rewards of ownership but only to a limited degree.
- Risk is limited by liability and reward to the value of the share and dividends, both organised by those outside of individual control.

It would seem reasonable to afford the shareholder some protection against misuse of their money.

Shareholders have the following rights:

- The right to sell their stock.
- The right to vote in general meeting.
- The right to certain information about the company.
- The right to sue for misconduct
- Certain residual rights in the case of liquidation.

Shareholders have responsibilities

The unique nature of the ownership of a share may suggest that shareholders have a limited responsibility for corporate action.
However, this responsibility still exists and can be seen in:

- **Shareholder democracy:** the concern here is whether shareholders, particularly institutional shareholders, can use their position to influence greater corporate accountability.

- **Shareholder activism:** buying shares in a company gives you the right to have a voice at the AGM and so make other shareholders aware of company policies and challenges.

- **Ethical investment:** is the use of ethical, social and environmental criteria in the selection and management of investment portfolios’ of company shares.

**Expandable text - Investment selection criteria**

**Test your understanding 2**

The LKJ company is a distributor of electricity in a large country. In effect, LKJ purchases electricity from companies making electricity and then distributes this through a network of cables to companies and private individuals throughout the country. Electricity is generated from a variety of sources including burning coal and natural gas, nuclear power and a small amount from renewable resources such as wind and wave power.

LKJ’s shares are owned by three other companies, who take an active interest in the profitability of LKJ. There are three other electricity distribution companies in the country LKJ operates in.

The board of LKJ are currently considering the proposal to purchase electricity from another country. This source of supply is quoted as being cheaper from those within LKJ’s home country, although the electricity is generated by burning coal. If this supply is taken, LKJ will stop purchasing electricity from an old nuclear power station and some of the expensive wind power plants. The Clean-Earth environmental group has learnt of the proposal and is currently participating in a media campaign in an attempt to block the change by giving LKJ bad publicity.

The board, managers and employees in LKJ appear indifferent, although changing the source of supply will provide a price advantage over LKJ’s competitors, effectively guaranteeing their jobs for the next few years.
Required

Identify the stakeholder groups who will be interested and/or affected by the decision of the LKJ company to change electricity suppliers, evaluating the impact of that decision on the group.

Discuss the actions the board can take with respect to each stakeholder group.
7 Chapter summary

DEFINITION AND NATURE OF CSR

CARROLL
- Economic responsibility
- Legal responsibility
- Social responsibility
- Philanthropic responsibility

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY
- Is how an organisation manages the impact of their operations on the wider environment, including consideration for stakeholder groups

STAKEHOLDER THEORY

CORPORATE CITIZENSHIP
- Expanded view of the corporate role

SHAREHOLDER OWNERSHIP
- Brings rights and responsibility

STAKEHOLDERS
- May have claims on the organisation

STAKEHOLDER CLASSIFICATION
- Internal/external
- Primary/secondary
- Active/passive
- Voluntary/involuntary
- Legitimate/ illegitimate

STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS
- Mendelow model

STAKEHOLDER MOTIVATIONS
- Instrumental view
- Normative view

IMPACT OF STAKEHOLDERS ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE
- Increased need for forms of social accounting to provide information to stakeholders.
Test your understanding answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test your understanding 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Many points can be included:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• not polluting the lake with waste chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• making sure employees use adequate protection when working with the chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• complying with legislation regarding the use of hazardous chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• minimising the impact of traffic on local roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• minimising the visual impact of the factory on the area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Large institutional investors

The main strategy of the board regarding a large institutional investor is communication with the need for change followed by participation in strategy determination. Most codes of corporate governance indicate the bi-lateral approach to be taken. The large investor is interested in the success of the organisation while at the same time having the ability to adversely affect the organisation if their shareholding is sold. The organisation must therefore keep the stakeholder informed regarding important strategic decisions. Similarly, there is a responsibility on the part of the stakeholder to take an interest in the activities of the organisation and to use their influence responsibly.

The three investors in LKJ are likely to be keen for the electricity to be purchased from the different country as this will increase the return on their investment.

A dialogue should be established between the chairman and large shareholders, as a minimum by discussion at the annual general meeting. However, more frequent meetings throughout the year are also expected. The chairman needs to ensure that the expectations of return from LKJ are congruent with the investing companies.

Environmental pressure group

The pressure group will attempt to influence other groups with high power to change the strategy of the organisation. The board of LKJ therefore need to communicate with the group with the aim of explaining and educating them in respect of the actions being taken by LKJ.

Currently Clean-Earth are attempting to influence the strategy of LKJ by the media campaign. The basis of this campaign is likely to be the fact that obtaining electricity from coal is more harmful to the environment than renewable sources and possibly nuclear generation. Explanation of the reason for change in terms of increased profit may not, however, be acceptable.

However, the board must be prepared to learn from the pressure. Many pressure groups do have responsible and knowledgeable people within the group. Not to listen may mean that valuable advice and assistance is rejected on grounds of prejudice against this type of stakeholder. While it is likely that advice from the group will be biased towards renewable resources, they may have ideas regarding cost efficiency that LKJ can use.
**Directors/managers/employees of LKJ**

The directors of LKJ are stakeholders in the organisation. In terms of corporate governance, they have the responsibility to act in the best interests of the company and its shareholders. In this sense, there is no conflict in the decision to source electricity supplies from another country; LKJ profits are forecast to increase while there is job security for the directors. While the directors have high power and interest in LKJ, this power appears to be being used correctly.

Similarly, the actions of the directors appears to meet the requirements of the managers and employees of LKJ in that their jobs are protected.

However, the environmental impact of their action may be a cause for concern. If LKJ, and therefore the directors, are considered not to be acting ethically then customers may choose alternative suppliers. This action will mean that the profit forecasts are incorrect and the directors may need to consider alternative courses of action.
Internal control systems

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

- define and explain internal management control
- describe the objectives of internal control systems
- identify, explain and evaluate the corporate governance and executive management roles in risk management
- identify and assess the importance of the elements or components of internal control systems
- explore and evaluate the effectiveness of internal control systems
- explain and assess the need for adequate information flows to management for the purposes of the management of internal control and risk
- evaluate the qualities and characteristics of information required in internal control and risk management and monitoring.
An introduction to the significance of internal control systems in corporate governance was provided in chapter 6. This chapter builds upon those ideas and moves into the detail of this topic.

1 Internal control definitions

- **Controls** attempt to ensure that risks, those factors which stop the achievement of company objectives, are minimised.
- An **internal control system** comprises the whole network of systems established in an organisation to provide reasonable assurance that organisational objectives will be achieved.
- **Internal management control** refers to the procedures and policies in place to ensure that company objectives are achieved.
- The control procedures and policies provide the detailed controls implemented within the company.

2 Objectives of internal control systems

A popular misconception is that the internal control system is implemented simply to stop fraud and error. As the points below show, this is not the case.

A lack of internal control implies that directors have not met their obligations under corporate governance. It specifically means that the risk management strategy of the company will be defective.

The main objectives of an internal control system are summarised in the Auditing Practices Board (APB) and the COSO guidelines (detail provided below and in expandable text).
Objectives of an internal control system

An internal control system is to ensure, as far as practicable:

- the orderly and efficient conduct of its business, including adherence to internal policies
- the safeguarding of assets of the business
- the prevention and detection of fraud and error
- the accuracy and completeness of the accounting records, and
- the timely preparation of financial information.

Benefits of an internal control system are therefore:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations.
- Reliability of financial reporting.
- Compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

These may further give rise to improved investor confidence.

Limitations of internal control systems

Warnings should be given regarding over-reliance on any system, noting in particular that:

- A good internal control system cannot turn a poor manager into a good one.
- The system can only provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives – all internal control systems are at risk from mistakes or errors.
- Internal control systems can be by-passed by collusion and management override.
- Controls are only designed to cope with routine transactions and events.
- There are resource constraints in provision of internal control systems, limiting their effectiveness.

In other words, it is good corporate governance to establish the system, risks within the company will be minimised, but those risks can never be entirely eliminated.
3 Sound control systems

- It is not sufficient to simply have an internal control system since a system can be ineffective and fail to support the organisation and serve the aim of corporate governance.

- The Turnbull guidance described three features of a sound internal control system.

4 Roles in risk management and internal control

- Responsibility for internal control is not simply an executive management role.

- All employees have some responsibility for monitoring and maintaining internal controls.

- Roles in monitoring range from the CEO setting the 'tone' for internal control compliance, to the external auditor, reporting on the effectiveness of the system.
5 Review effectiveness of internal control

In respect of reviewing the internal control system, the Turnbull Report (principle 2) stated:

- the review is a normal responsibility of management
The COSO framework identifies five main elements of a control system against which the review should take place.

These range from the board setting the overall philosophy of the company in terms of applying internal controls to the detail of the control environment.

**Elements of an effective internal control system**

- **Information and Communication**
  - Gathering the correct information and communicating it to the correct people.

- **Risk Assessment**
  - Determining the risk associated with each objective of the company and then how each risk should be managed.

- **Control Environment**
  - The overall ‘tone’ or approach to internal control set by management. Includes commitment by the board to establish and maintain a control system.

- **Control Activities**
  - The policies and procedures in place to ensure that instructions of management are carried out.

- **Monitoring**
  - Checking the internal control system (normally by internal audit) to ensure that it is working.
6 Information flows for management

To enable management to identify and manage risks and monitor internal controls within an organisation, they need adequate information flows from within the business.

- There should be effective channels of communication within the organisation, so that all managers receive timely information that is relevant to the performance of their tasks and duties.
- Information should be provided regularly to management so that they can monitor performance with respect to efficiency, effectiveness in achieving targets, economy and quality.
- Managers need both internal and external information to make informed business decisions and to report externally.
- The actual information provided to management varies depending on the different levels of management.
- Different information systems are available to provide the required information.

7 Information characteristics and quality

The information received by management needs to be of a certain standard to be useful in internal control and risk management and monitoring.

There should be an adequate, integrated, information system, supplying internal financial, operational and compliance data and relevant external data.

The information should meet the criteria of 'good' information:

- **Accurate**
- **Complete**
- **Cost-beneficial**
- **User-targeted**
- **Relevant**
The characteristics of that information will change depending on the management level using that information.

The table below shows the characteristics of information and how their quality varies depending on what is made available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Strategic</th>
<th>Operational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time period</td>
<td>Forecast</td>
<td>Historical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>Immediately available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectivity</td>
<td>Subjective</td>
<td>Objective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantifiability</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Approximate</td>
<td>Accurate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certainty</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>Certain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>Broad</td>
<td>Specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detail</td>
<td>Little detail</td>
<td>Highly detailed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test your understanding 1

Why is it important for the board to have accurate information for the management of internal controls?
8 Chapter summary

**Internal control systems**

- Whole network of systems established to provide reasonable assurance that objectives will be achieved

**Objectives**
- Orderly and efficiency conduct of business
- Safeguarding assets
- Prevention and detection of fraud
- Accuracy and completeness of accounting records
- Timeliness preparation of financial information

**Limitations**
- Risks will be minimised but never entirely eliminated

**Sound control systems**
- Embedded within organisation
- Capable of responding quickly to evolving risks
- Procedures for reporting control failings

**Roles**
- Board of directors
- Executive management

**Review effectiveness**
- Responsibility of management

**COSO**
- 5 elements:
  - Control environment
  - Risk assessment
  - Control activities
  - Information and communication
  - Monitoring

**Management information flows**
- Perform tasks
- Monitor performance
- Make informed decisions

**Characteristics of information**
- Accurate
- Characteristics vary with user of information
Test your understanding answers

Test your understanding 1

The board have to meet their corporate governance responsibility to ensure that an effective internal control system exists within the organisation. In order to do this they will require accurate reports from auditors and managers within the company regarding the current controls, and any weaknesses identified.

Good information will enable the board to confirm that the monitoring activities, undertaken by auditors and critical to the internal control system, are being carried out in an effective and efficient manner.

Information regarding the costs and benefits of internal controls will enable the board to ensure that resources are not wasted on ineffective, or unnecessary controls.

Accurate information regarding the risks facing the organisation will enable the board to be aware of any critical issues that may arise in the near future, and hence take action accordingly to mitigate any problems.

Board can provide the appropriate direction to the management of the company if they are fully aware of all the facts relating to an given situation. If the facts are distorted, the direction provided may be inappropriate.
Audit and compliance

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• describe the function and importance of internal audit
• explain, and discuss the importance of auditor independence in all client-auditor situations (including internal audit)
• explain and assess the nature and sources of risks to audit independence and assess the hazard of auditor capture
• describe and assess the need to report on internal controls to shareholders
• describe the content of a report on internal control and audit.
1 Function and importance of internal audit

- Internal audit is a management control. The department reviews the effectiveness of other controls within a company.
- It is part of the control systems of a company, with the aim of ensuring that other controls are working correctly.
- In some regimes, it is a statutory requirement to have internal audit. In others, codes of corporate governance strongly suggest that an internal audit department is necessary.
- The work of internal audit is varied – from reviewing financial controls through to checking compliance with legislation.
- The department is normally under the control of a chief internal auditor who reports to the audit committee.

Roles of internal audit

- Reviewing accounting and internal control systems.
- Examining financial and operating information.
- Assisting with the identification of significant risks.
- Roles of internal audit department.
- Special investigations, e.g. into suspected fraud.
- Reviewing the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of operations.
- Reviewing compliance with laws and other external regulations.
Types of audit work

The internal audit department will carry out many different types of audit, as highlighted by the department’s varied roles. The detail of these has been covered in Paper F8 (Audit and Assurance).

Examples of audit types are:

• financial audit
• operational audit
• project audit
• value for money audit
• social and environmental audit
• management audit.

Using your existing knowledge, and common sense, suggest some practical features of a good internal audit department, structuring your answer in the areas of:

• Organisational status.
• Scope of function.
• Technical competence.
• Due professional care.

2 Factors affecting the need for internal audit

There are a number of factors that affect the need for internal audit.

• The scale, diversity and complexity of the company’s activities.
• The number of employees.
3 Auditor independence

- Internal audit is an independent objective assurance activity.
- To ensure that the activity is carried out objectively, the internal auditor must have his/her independence protected.
- Independence is assured in part by having an appropriate structure within which internal auditors work.
- Independence is also assured in part by the internal auditor following acceptable ethical and work standards.

**Risks if auditors are not independent**

- Fail to report control breaches.
- Ignore discrepancies.
- Back down on matters of principle.
- Accept explanations without checking.
- Turn a blind eye to unethical practices.
- Give undeserved positive feedback.

**Factors affecting the need for internal audit**

- Cost/benefit considerations.
- Changes in the organisational structures, reporting processes or underlying information systems.
- Changes in key risks (could be internal or external in nature).
- Problems with existing internal control systems.
- An increased number of unexplained or unacceptable events.
4 Potential ethical threats

- Auditor independence will be compromised where ethical threats are faced.
- A threat to independence is anything that means that the opinion of an auditor could be doubted.
- Threats can be real or perceived.
- The conceptual framework in the ACCA code of ethics provides examples of generic threats that affect auditors, which can be viewed as affecting both external and internal auditors.
- The code of ethics also provides examples of other threats that (normally) affect external auditors.

---

Which of the following are independence issues?

1. Working as an audit junior on the statutory audit of a major bank with whom you have your mortgage.
2. Taking on a large new client whose fees will make up 90% of your total revenue.
3. Taking on a large new client whose fees will make up 10% of your total revenue.
4. Working as an audit partner and accepting a gold Rolex as a ‘gift’.

---

Expandable text - Ethical threats: ACCA conceptual framework

Expandable text - External auditor ethical threat examples

Test your understanding 2
(5) Performing an internal audit review of controls that you put in place in your previous role.

(6) Working as an external auditor at a company where you have a close personal relationship with a person who has a junior role in the marketing department.

(7) Taking on the audit for a company with which your firm has recently been involved in a share issue.

**Protection of independence**

- The internal auditors should be independent of executive management and should not have any involvement in the activities or systems that they audit.

- The head of internal audit should report directly to a senior director or the audit committee. In addition, however, the head of internal audit should have direct access to the chairman of the board of directors, and to the audit committee, and should be accountable to the audit committee.

- The audit committee should approve the appointment and termination of appointment of the head of internal audit.

Further detail on the roles of the audit committee has been covered in chapter 6.
ECG is the world’s second largest arms exporter. It serves over 20 nations, fulfilling defence system contracts worth billions of dollars. These dealings require consent from its home government to ensure national security is maintained and that governmental embargos on sales to unfriendly countries are not breached.

ECG is currently serving the needs of a particular regime whose human rights record and hostile posturing may lead to such a ban on trade. ECG has already sold war planes and missile guidance systems to this country but is yet to receive payment.

ECG’s audit committee and external auditors have an unusually difficult task performing their duties due to the unique nature of the company and the need to maintain high levels of security and confidentiality over much of the organisation’s business. Because of this there is no line of communication to the committee other than through the CFO.

The committee and the external auditors work closely together, indeed one former audit partner now sits on the audit committee and is pleased that the firm has decided to retain his old company’s services for the 15th year in succession. The committee are content to accept the audit firm’s recommendation on the accounting treatment of all contracts due to their complexity and need for “hidden costs” to be removed. These include large payments to provide hospitality to would be clients.

There is also a high degree of informality between external auditors and internal auditors due to the complexity of large non-audit contracts served by the audit firm. These are so large the external auditor appears to discount its audit costs as a way of ensuring these services are retained. National security is always an issue and audits are time-pressured due to limited staff resource allocation, so the external audit firm is guided by internal auditors in terms of its proposed risk assessment and work plan. This seems appropriate since many ex-audit firm staff now work for the company and so understand audit issues from both viewpoints.

The audit committee will make no recommendations for change this year, especially since the internal audit manager assured them there were no real problems during their annual hourly meeting.

Required:

(a) Describe the role of the audit committee and discuss potential problems in its operation.
Consider the threats to auditor independence and propose actions to deal with these.

5 Reporting on internal controls to shareholders

The Combined Code states that a company’s board of directors should maintain a sound system of internal control to safeguard shareholders’ investment and the company’s assets.

- Shareholders, as owners of the company, are entitled to know whether the internal control system is sufficient to safeguard their investment.
- To provide shareholders with the assurance they require, the board should, at least annually, conduct a review of the effectiveness of the group’s system of internal controls and report to shareholders that they have done so.
- The review should cover all material controls, including financial, operational and compliance controls and risk management systems.
- This review should be conducted against COSO’s elements of an effective internal control system, as discussed in chapter 9 section 5.
- The annual report should also inform members of the work of the audit committee.
- The chair of the audit committee should be available at the AGM to answer queries from shareholders regarding their work.
- Additional reporting requirements apply under SOX.

Test your understanding 4

Give two reasons for reporting on internal controls to shareholders.
6 Chapter summary

**AUDIT AND COMPLIANCE**

**INTERNAL AUDIT**
- Performs an important function in testing and reporting on internal controls

**AUDIT COMMITTEE**
- (see Chapter 6)

**AUDITOR INDEPENDENCE**

**REPORTING TO SHAREHOLDERS**
- An important corporate governance requirement

**ROLES of INTERNAL AUDIT**
- Review of accounting and internal control system
- Examining information
- Assisting in risk identification
- Reviewing the 3 Es
- Special investigations
- Reviewing compliance

**NEED FOR INTERNAL AUDIT**
- Scale
- Diversity and complexity
- Number of employees
- Cost/benefit
- Changes in structures
- Changes in key risks
- Problems with existing control systems
- Unacceptable events

**FEATURES of AUDIT WORK**
- Organizational status
- Scope of function
- Technical competence
- Due professional care

**TYPES OF AUDIT WORK**
- Wide range of audit types

**IMPORTANCE of AUDIT WORK**
- Vital to ensure work is unbiased and so can be relied upon

**THREATS TO INDEPENDENCE**
- Self-interest
- Self-review
- Advocacy
- Familiarity
- Intimidation
Test your understanding answers

**Test your understanding 1 - Features of internal audit**

**Organisational status** – Direct access to the highest level of management.

- Free of operating responsibility.
- Few constraints (e.g. reporting to external auditor).

Internal audit is a key reviewing and monitoring activity that is undertaken by management. In large organisations the internal audit function will be a separate department, whereas in a small company it might be the responsibility of individuals to perform specific tasks even though there will not be a full-time position.

When establishing the internal audit function it is important that it is structured and operated in an appropriate way.

**Scope of function** – Nature/extent of assignments.

- Evidence of recommendations being actioned.

The internal audit department will typically have the following scope and objectives as prescribed by the management of the business. Do not treat this as a comprehensive list of all the areas that the internal auditor considers, as management may prescribe different functions to meet the needs of their company.

- Review of the accounting and internal control system.
- Detailed testing of transactions and balances.
- Review of the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of operations (value for money and best practice audits).
- Review of the implementation of corporate policies.
- Special investigations.
- Assisting in carrying out external audit procedures

**Technical competence** – Technical training/proficiency.

- Recruitment policy.
- Professional qualifications.

**Due professional care** – Evidence of planning, supervision, review and documentation.
It would be expected that:

- There is a formal plan of all audit work that is reviewed by the head of the audit and the board/audit committee.
- The audit plans should be reviewed at least annually.
- Each engagement should be conducted appropriately:
  - Planning should be performed.
  - Objectives should be set for the engagement.
  - The work should be documented, reviewed, and supervised.
  - The results should be communicated to management.
  - Recommendations for action should be made.

The progress of the audit should be monitored by the head of the internal audit, and if recommendations that the head feels are appropriate are not acted on, the matters should be brought to the attention of the board.

---

**Test your understanding 2**

1. No – not a material financial interest, unlikely that you could influence the outcome of the audit.
2. Yes – self-interest threat – pressure to keep this client may reduce levels of objectivity.
3. No – less pressure to keep important client. Losing them would not be the end of the world.
4. Yes – familiarity threat – difficult to tackle formidable issues and maintain independence if you feel beholden to a client.
5. Yes – self-review threat – difficult to independently review something you were responsible for.
6. No – they are not in a position to ‘exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the audit engagement’, therefore no familiarity threat.
7. Yes – advocacy threat – it would be difficult to maintain independence in the face of any ‘bad news’ arising during the audit.
(a) **Audit committee**

The role of the audit committee can be viewed with reference to the Combined Code where explicit mention is made of its operation and need for independence. ECG has major problems in relation to these issues which are dealt with in context of each code provision relating to the audit committee.

**Monitor the integrity of financial statements and announcements**

Emphasis is placed on the need to monitor as opposed to being directly involved in the preparation of financial statements, preparation being the responsibility of the CFO. Integrity is the central point, to ensure the records give a truthful reflection of company operations and adhere to appropriate GAAP or compliance requirements.

There must be some concern over the accounting treatment of contracts and hidden costs. Accepting the recommendation of the external auditor is not sufficient as a monitoring tool. Independent advice should be sought since the board as a whole is legally liable for errors and omissions in this area. The lack of control in this area can lead to a culture of secrecy that increases the risk of fraudulent activity.

**Review financial and internal controls**

The evaluation of the existence and worth of internal controls will have direct bearing on the quality of financial reporting. Internal controls may be evaluated using the COSO framework that includes consideration of the effectiveness of the control environment as well as control activities. The control environment is not supported by the inherent culture of secrecy and the presumed lack of communication across the organisation.

A specific failure is in relation to the direct exclusion of a whistleblower clause whereby concerns over internal control can be reported directly to the committee. The CFO’s insistence of the need to exclude this on security grounds should be very carefully considered with regard to the cost of such a measure in terms of a loss of internal control within the company.
Review the effectiveness of the internal audit function

The Combined Code makes a number of recommendations in this area, highlighting its importance in committee operation. These include the need for direct accountability of the internal auditor to the committee and the need to review annual work plans and managements responsiveness to internal audit findings and recommendations.

The hour long meeting carried out on an annual basis would seem insufficient to consider these issues in depth unless the audit committee carries out a number of functions independent of the audit managers involvement. In particular no mention is made of the need to assess the effectiveness of internal audit as a tool of internal control.

In a general sense there is an impression of a lack of concern over this critical issue raising the risk profile of this organisation. The internal auditor does not mention anything concerning the huge risks involved in potential misstatement of accounting results and the risk of exposure to non payment of contracts due to the company’s involvement with the country under investigation by the government. This risk may leave the company with substantial debts that remain unpaid and this in turn can affect shareholder wealth and risk. These are certainly issues that should be reported to the board.

External auditor engagement

The role of the committee is to review and recommend external auditor engagement for the company. This includes an assessment of the qualification, expertise, resources, effectiveness and independence of the external auditor.

There appear to be failings in relation to most of these roles in this scenario. The issue of independence will be discussed below in more detail. The existence of an ex-employee on the audit committee may seem inappropriate and does little to support the need for independence in committee operation for the benefit of shareholders.

Implement policy regarding external auditor non audit services.

The audit committee should consider whether, taken as a whole with regard to the views of the external auditor, management and the internal audit function, these relationships impair the auditors judgement and independence.
It is very likely that in this case the existence of large contracts for non-audit services do impair the judgement and integrity of the audit firm. In particular, the appearance of discounting audit costs because of these contracts is completely inappropriate since this threatens the integrity of the audit and the subsequent information upon which shareholders rely.

The lack of independence is the most serious issue raised and must be dealt with as a matter of urgency by the committee. The ex-employee should resign his position as non-executive director and a formal review of the role and responsibilities of the committee should take place as soon as possible.

(b) Independence

Auditor independence is important in maintaining the agency relationship between the shareholders and their company. The auditors work independently of the organisation in order to provide shareholders with information as to the financial position and level of control that exists within the company.

This independence is initially threatened due to the company selecting, recommending and paying the fees of the auditor. The existence of an audit committee filled with non-executive directors who take over these responsibilities is an attempt to create separation between the company and the auditors and so improve the level of independence that exists.

The fact that the ex-audit firm director sits on the audit committee does not necessarily impact on independence if it is assumed the non-executive directors operate independently of the board. However, the risk is that the audit committee are not truly independent, being employed by the company, and so in this sense it creates a problem. The audit firm non-executive should resign for this reason.

All audit firms must work closely with their customers. This outside/inside relationship creates the independence dilemma and it is a thin line between working with rather than for a client. The existence of large numbers of ex-employees within ECG does not assist in maintaining an air of independence and the audit committee should consider both its recruitment policy and replacing the audit firm with another for this reason.
The length of contract seems very high and beyond any recommendation likely to be made by governing bodies. Long relationships inevitably threaten the perception of independence if not independence itself and this should be understood by the audit committee and acted upon.

Specific threats are mentioned in relation to the undue influence the internal audit function has over external audit risk identification and audit focus. This is entirely inappropriate. A key aspect to the role of the external auditor must be independence in action, organising their own work without influence from the client. The lack of professionalism suggests a need for the external audit firm to re-evaluate its working procedures and the audit committee to consider the need for change in engagement.

Other concerns relate to volume of non-audit work and its impact on audit integrity and the lack of sufficient manpower devoted to the audit. These were mentioned above.

### Test your understanding 4

**Some answers might include:**

- Companies that are more open with their disclosures regarding internal controls may benefit from increased shareholder satisfaction as they know their assets are being well looked after.
- By reporting on their internal controls, a company opens itself to additional scrutiny by shareholders (and other interested parties) which may improve corporate governance.
- The knowledge that their work will be reported on externally may help regulate the work of the audit committee.
- By making the chair of the audit committee available for questions at the AGM, the company demonstrates that it has nothing to hide, therefore increasing shareholder confidence.
Risk and the risk management process

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• define and explain risk in the context of corporate governance
• define and compare (distinguish between) strategic and operational risks
• define and explain the sources and impacts of common business risks
• recognise and analyse the sector- or industry-specific nature of many business risks
• identify, and assess the impact upon, the stakeholders involved in business risk
• explain and analyse the concepts of assessing the severity and probability of risk events
• describe and evaluate a framework for board level consideration of risk
• explain and assess the necessity of incurring risk as part of competitively managing a business organisation.
1 Risk and corporate governance

- The issue of corporate governance and how to manage risk has become an important area of concern across the world.
- As was seen in chapter 6, reviews such as the UK Turnbull Committee have identified risk management as key to effective internal control.
- In turn, following good corporate governance procedures (including having sound internal control systems) will decrease the impact of many risks on an organisation.
- Risk analysis is best carried out in the context of the OECD principles of good corporate governance.
- An overriding risk is that an organisation fails to meet the appropriate corporate governance regulations.

2 Necessity of risk and risk management

- Risks are the opportunities and dangers associated with uncertain future events.
- Risks can have an adverse (‘downside exposure’) or favourable impact (‘upside potential’) on the organisation’s objectives.
Why incur risk?

- To generate higher returns a business may have to take more risk in order to be competitive.
- Conversely, not accepting risk tends to make a business less dynamic, and implies a ‘follow the leader’ strategy.
- Incurring risk also implies that the returns from different activities will be higher – ‘benefit’ being the return for accepting risk.
- Benefits can be financial – decreased costs, or intangible – better quality information.
- In both cases, these will lead to the business being able to gain competitive advantage.
- This is sometimes referred to as ‘entrepreneurial risk’.

Why manage risk?

Management needs to manage and monitor risk on an ongoing basis for a number of reasons:

- To identify new risks that may affect the company so an appropriate risk management strategy can be determined.
- To identify changes to existing or known risks so amendments to the risk management strategy can be made. For example, where there is an increased likelihood of occurrence of a known risk, strategy may be amended from ignoring the risk to possibly insuring against it.
- To ensure that the best use is made of opportunities.
Managing the upside of risk

Historically, the focus of risk management has been on preventing loss. However, recently, organisations are viewing risk management in a different way, so that:

- risks are seen as opportunities to be seized (as discussed above)
- organisations are accepting some uncertainty in order to benefit from higher rewards associated with higher risk
- risk management is being used to identify risks associated with new opportunities to increase the probability of positive outcomes and to maximise returns
- effective risk management is being seen as a way of enhancing shareholder value by improving performance.

3 Risk management

- Risk management is therefore the process of reducing the possibility of adverse consequences either by reducing the likelihood of an event or its impact, or taking advantage of the upside risk.
- Management are responsible for establishing a risk management system in an organisation.
- The process of establishing a risk management system is summarised in the following diagram:

Risk management process
Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)

- Risk management has transformed from a ‘department focused’ approach to a holistic, co-ordinated and integrated process which manages risk throughout the organisation.
- Drivers for this transformation include globalisation, the increased complexity of doing business, regulatory compliance/corporate governance developments, and greater accountability for the board and senior management to increase shareholder value.
- These drivers mean that an organisation and its board must have a thorough understanding of the key risks affecting the organisation and what is being done to manage them. ERM offers a framework to provide this understanding.

The COSO ERM Framework is represented as a three dimensional matrix in the form of a cube which reflects the relationships between objectives, components and different organisational levels.
The four objectives (strategic, operations, reporting and compliance) reflect the responsibility of different executives across the entity and address different needs.

The four organisational levels (subsidiary, business unit, division and entity) emphasise the importance of managing risks across the enterprise as a whole.

The eight components must function effectively for risk management to be successful.

**Benefits of effective ERM** include:

- enhanced decision-making by integrating risks
- the resultant improvement in investor confidence, and hence shareholder value
- focus of management attention on the most significant risks
- a common language or risk management which is understood throughout the organisation
- reduced cost of finance through effective management of risk.

### 4 Risk identification: Strategic and operational risks

**Strategic risks:**

- risks arising from the possible consequences of strategic decisions taken by the organisation
- also arise from the way that an organisation is strategically positioned within its environment
- should be identified and assessed at senior management and board or director level.
Operational risks:

• refer to potential losses that might arise in business operations
• include risks of fraud or employee malfeasance, poor quality production or lack of inputs for production
• can be managed by internal control systems.

5 Risk identification: Business risks

Businesses face risks from a number of different sources, including those shown below.

In the exam you may be required to identify risks, or types or risk, facing a business. The risks listed below are not exhaustive but illustrate many of the typical risks that affect a business.

• **Market risks.** Risks which derive from the sector in which the business is operating, and from its customers.

• **Product risk.** The risk that customers will not buy new products (or services) provided by the organisation, or that the sales demand for current products and services will decline unexpectedly.

• **Commodity price risk.** Businesses might be exposed to risks from unexpected increases (or falls) in the price of a key commodity.

• **Product reputation risk.** Some companies rely heavily on brand image and product reputation, and an adverse event could put its reputation (and so future sales) at risk.

• **Credit risk.** Credit risk is the possibility of losses due to non-payment, or late payment, by customers.

• **Currency risk.** Currency risk, or foreign exchange risk, arises from the possibility of movements in foreign exchange rates, and the value of one currency in relation to another.

• **Interest rate risk.** Interest rate risk is the risk of unexpected gains or losses arising as a consequence of a rise or fall in interest rates.
• **Gearing risk.** Gearing risk for non-bank companies is the risk arising from exposures to high financial gearing and large amounts of borrowing.

• **Political risk.** Political risk depends to a large extent on the political stability in the countries in which an organisation operates and the attitudes of governments towards protectionism.

• **Legal, or litigation risk** arises from the possibility of legal action being taken against an organisation.

• **Regulatory risk** arises from the possibility that regulations will affect the way an organisation has to operate.

• **Compliance risk** is the risk of losses, possibly fines, resulting from non-compliance with laws or regulations.

• **Technology risk** arises from the possibility that technological change will occur.

• **Economic risk** refers to the risks facing organisations from changes in economic conditions, such as economic growth or recession, government spending policy and taxation policy, unemployment levels and international trading conditions.

• **Environmental risk** arises from changes to the environment over which an organisation has no direct control or for occurrences for which the organisation might be responsible.

• **Business probity risk** is related to the governance and ethics of the organisation.

• **Derivatives risk** refers to the risks due to the use of financial instruments.

The list of risks is given above is fairly comprehensive.

The diagram below shows those risks mentioned in the ACCA study guide. Definitions of these risks may be required in the exam.
Risks Facing a Business

- **Market**
  - Sector trading in.

- **Legal**
  - Company does not follow relevant legislation.

- **Credit**
  - Inability to obtain funds required.

- **Reputation**
  - ‘Image’ of company suffers.

- **Liquidity**
  - Insufficient cash to purchase materials needed.

- **Business Proximity**
  - Company appears to act incorrectly.

- **Health and Safety Environmental**
  - Company does not comply with relevant legislation or follow social attitudes.

- **Derivatives**
  - Use of financial instruments to improve funds/appearance of balance sheet.

- **Technological**
  - Products do not incorporate latest ‘technology’.

Expandable text - Sources and impacts of business risks

Expandable text - Use of risk categories
The ZXC company manufactures aircraft. The company is based in Europe and currently produces a range of four different aircraft. ZXC’s aircraft are reliable with low maintenance costs, giving ZXC a good reputation, both to airlines who purchase from ZXC and to airlines’ customers who fly in the aircraft.

ZXC is currently developing the ‘next generation’ of passenger aircraft, with the selling name of the ZXLiner. New developments in ZXLiner include the following.

- Two decks along the entire aircraft (not just part as in the Boeing 747 series) enabling faster loading and unloading of passengers from both decks at the same time. However, this will mean that airport gates must be improved to facilitate dual loading at considerable expense.
- 20% decrease in fuel requirements and falls in noise and pollution levels.
- Use of new alloys to decrease maintenance costs, increase safety and specifically the use of Zitnim (a new lightweight conducting alloy) rather than standard wiring to enable the ‘fly-by-wire’ features of the aircraft. Zitnim only has one supplier worldwide.

Many component suppliers are based in Europe although ZXC does obtain about 25% of the sub-contracted components from companies in the USA. ZXC also maintains a significant R&D department working on the ZXLiner and other new products such as alternative environmentally friendly fuel for aircraft.

Although the ZXLiner is yet to fly or be granted airworthiness certificates, ZXC does have orders for 25 aircraft from the HTS company. However, on current testing schedules the ZXLiner will be delivered late.

ZXC currently has about €4 billion of loans from various banks and last year made a loss of €2.3 billion. ZXC’s chief executive has also just resigned taking a leaving bonus of around two years salary.

**Required:**

Identify and explain the sources of business risk that could affect ZXC.

For each of those risks evaluate the impact of the risk on ZXC and where necessary, discuss how that risk can be mitigated by ZXC.
Business risks can be either generic, that is the risk affects all businesses, or specific to individual business sectors.

- Examples of generic risks include changes in the interest rate, non-compliance with company law, or poor use of derivative instruments.
- Generic risks can also affect different businesses in different ways, a company with substantial borrowing will be affected more by an increase in interest rates than a company with little or no borrowings.
- Similarly, a company manufacturing computers will be more at risk from the possibility of changes in legislation affecting VDUs than a company providing legal services.

Expandable text - Examples of sector specific risks

Test your understanding 3

Identify FIVE examples of sector-specific risks that might affect a university.
7 The impact on stakeholders

Business risks initially affect the company subject to those risks. However, there will be a ‘knock-on’ effect of those risks on stakeholders:

- The amount of the effect will depend on how close the stakeholder is to the company.
- In many situations, the actual impact is to affect the company again; the stakeholders will mitigate the risk by distancing themselves from the company.
- Impact on stakeholders is likely to be more severe where they actually cause the business risk in the first place.

8 Assessing risks
A common qualitative way of assessing the significance of risk is to produce a ‘risk map’:

- The map identifies whether a risk will have a significant impact on the organisation and links that into the likelihood of the risk occurring.
- The approach can provide a framework for prioritising risks in the business.
- Risks with a significant impact and a high likelihood of occurrence need more urgent attention than risks with a low impact and low likelihood of occurrence.
- The significance and impact of each risk will vary depending on the organisation:
  - e.g. an increase in the price of oil will be significant for an airline company but will have almost no impact on a financial services company offering investment advice over the internet.
- The severity of a risk can also be discussed in terms of 'hazard'. The higher the hazard or impact of the risk, the more severe it is.
- Risks can be plotted on a diagram, as shown below.

![Risk Map Diagram]

Expandable text - Illustration of risk mapping

**Test your understanding 4**

Suggest a risk that could be included in each quadrant of a risk map for an accountancy tuition company.
The share price of Northern Rock plummeted by over 90% during the credit crunch crisis of 2007/2008. In the end it became the first UK bank to experience a run by its customers since 1866. State nationalisation followed shortly afterwards.

The reasons relate to the lack of risk management in its lending policy and its almost total reliance on other bank lending to fund its growth. In addition, the bank used investment products so complex that its own staff didn’t fully understand them, which meant that it was unable to adequately evaluate its own risk exposure or that of its customers.

In line with all major banks Northern Rock spends millions of dollars employing qualified individuals to assess its risks through risk management software, and yet despite all of this its shareholders were faced with receiving 5 pence per share in compensation after nationalisation (against a share price at the time of the company’s flotation in 2000 of around £5.00).
Chapter summary

**RISK**
- chance of exposure to the adverse consequences of future events.

**MANAGEMENT PERCEPTION OF RISK**
- Corporate governance
  - Main risk = failure to meet appropriate CG regulations
  - Carried out risk analysis in context of OECD Principles of Good CG
  - Following good CG procedures will decrease the impact of many risks on an organisation.

**IDENTIFICATION OF RISK**
- Strategic
  - affects the overall mission of the company versus Operational
  - affects the day-to-day activities of a company.

**ASSESSMENT OF RISK**
- Impact on stakeholders
  - depends how close they are to company
  - will mitigate risk by distancing themselves from company.

- Assessment by severity and probability
  - Severity = impact of risk on organisation
  - Probability = likelihood of risk actually occurring.

**Risk management responsibilities**
- Risk management is process of reducing possibility of risks occurring.
- Management are responsible for establishing a risk management system.

**General business risk affects all businesses**
- e.g.
  - Interest rates
  - Non-compliance with company law.

**Sector-specific risk**
- only affects businesses within a specific sector e.g.
  - particular legislation
  - density of competition.
Test your understanding answers

Test your understanding 1

Strategic risks
- Failure of strategic partner.
- Competitors make more technological advances.
- Major corporate customer decides to discontinue contract.
- Competitor launches a price war for Broadband supply.

Operational risks
- Poor service quality.
- Service outages.
- Network fraud.
- Inaccurate billing.
- Unauthorised system changes.
Product/market risk

This is the risk that customers will not buy new products (or services) provided by the organisation, or that the sales demand for current products and services will decline unexpectedly.

For ZXC, there is the risk that demand for the new aircraft will be less than expected, either due to customers purchasing the rival airplane or because airports will not be adapted to take the new ZXLiner.

Commodity price risk

Businesses might be exposed to risks from unexpected increases (or falls) in the price of a key commodity.

Part of the control systems of the ZXLiner rely on the availability of the new lightweight conducting alloy Zitnim. As there is only one supplier of this alloy, then there is the danger of the monopolist increasing the price or even denying supply. Increase in price would increase the overall cost of the (already expensive) ZXLiner, while denial of supply would further delay delivery of the aircraft. ZXC needs to maintain good relations with their key suppliers to mitigate this risk.

Product reputation risk

Some companies rely heavily on brand image and product reputation, and an adverse event could put its reputation (and so future sales) at risk.

While the reputation of ZXC appears good at present, reputation will suffer if the ZXLiner is delayed significantly or it does not perform well in test flights (which have still to be arranged). Airline customers, and also their customers (travellers) are unlikely to feel comfortable flying in an aircraft that is inherently unstable. ZXC must continue to invest in R&D and good quality control systems to mitigate the effects of this risk.

Credit risk

Credit risk is the possibility of losses due to non-payment by debtors or the company not being able to pay its creditors, which will adversely affect the company’s credit rating.

Given that the ZXLiner has not been sold at present, there are no debtors.

However, ZXC is heavily dependent on bank finance at present – any denial of funds will adversely affect ZXC’s ability to continue to trade. Credit risk is therefore significant at present.
Currency risk

Currency risk, or foreign exchange risk, arises from the possibility of movements in foreign exchange rates, and the value of one currency in relation to another.

ZXC is currently based in Europe although it obtains a significant number of parts from the USA. If the €/$ exchange rate became worse, then the cost of imported goods for ZXC (and all other companies) would increase. At present, the relatively weak US$ is in ZXC’s favour and so this risk is currently negligible.

Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is the risk of unexpected gains or losses arising as a consequence of a rise or fall in interest rates. Exposures to interest rate risk arise from borrowing and investing.

As ZXC do have significant bank loans, then the company is very exposed to this risk. As interest rates are expected to rise in the future then ZXC would be advised to consider methods of hedging against this risk.

Gearing risk

Gearing risk for non-bank companies is the risk arising from exposures to high financial gearing and large amounts of borrowing.

Again, ZXC has significant amounts of bank loans. This increases the amount of interest that must be repaid each year. In the short term ZXC cannot affect this risk as the bank loans are a necessary part of its operations.

Political risk

Political risk depends to a large extent on the political stability in the countries in which an organisation operates, the political institutions within that country and the government’s attitude towards protectionism.

As ZXC operates in a politically stable country this risk is negligible.
Legal risk or litigation risk

The risk arises from the possibility of legal action being taken against an organisation.

At present this risk does not appear to be a threat for ZXC. However, if the ZXLiner is delayed any further there is a risk for breach of contract for late delivery to the HTS company. There is little ZXC can do to guard against this risk, apart from keep HTS appraised of the delays involved with the ZXLiner.

Regulatory risk

This is the possibility that regulations will affect the way an organisation has to operate.

In terms of aircraft, regulation generally affects noise and pollution levels. As the ZXLiner is designed to have lower noise and pollution levels than existing aircraft then this risk does not appear to be a threat to ZXC.

Technology risk

Technology risk arises from the possibility that technological change will occur or that new technology will not work.

Given that ZXC is effectively producing a new product (the ZXLiner) that has not actually been tested yet, there is some technology risk. At worse, the ZXLiner may not fly at all or not obtain the necessary flying certificates. ZXC appear to be guarding against this risk by not decreasing its investment in product development.

Economic risk

This risk refers to the risks facing organisations from changes in economic conditions, such as economic growth or recession, government spending policy and taxation policy, unemployment levels and international trading conditions.

Demand for air travel is forecast to increase for the foreseeable future, so in that sense there is a demand for aircraft which ZXC will benefit from. The risk of product failure is more significant than economic risk.

Environmental risk

This risk arises from changes to the environment over which an organisation has no direct control, such as global warming, to those for which the organisation might be responsible, such as oil spillages and other pollution.
ZXC is subject to this risk – and there is significant debate concerning the impact of air travel on global warming. At the extreme, there is a threat that air travel could be banned, or made very expensive by international taxation agreements, although this appears unlikely at present. ZXC need to continue to monitor this risk, and continue research into alternative fuels etc. in an attempt to mitigate the risk.

**Business probity**

This is the risk that a company does not follow rules of good corporate governance or show appropriate ethical awareness.

In ZXC, the departure of the chief executive with a bonus of more than two years salary appears to act against business probity – why should the chief executive obtain a bonus when ZXC is making a loss and workers may be made redundant? However, the impact of this risk on ZXC is unclear. It is unlikely to affect sales as customers are more interested in the ZXLinier than the departure of the chief executive. There is more of an association risk in terms of business probity not being followed in other areas such as perceived cost cutting in research and development affecting the quality of the product. Again, ZXC are guarding against this risk.

However, the board of ZXC should ensure that the remuneration committee review directors’ service contracts to ensure risk in this area does not occur in the future.

---

**Test your understanding 3**

- An inability to attract good-quality staff as academic salaries fall below those in business.
- Major private university is established which is attractive to typical applicants to this university.
- Research income threatened by poor financial position of donors to major projects.
- Admissions policy of university is portrayed by media as discriminatory.
- Government policy for funding further education is diverted in favour of other types of institution.
Test your understanding 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT/CONSEQUENCE</th>
<th>LIKELIHOOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA CHANGE THEIR SYLLABUS.</td>
<td>COMPANY LOSES KEY TUITION STAFF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIALS INCREASE SIGNIFICANTLY IN PRICE.</td>
<td>ACCA SCRAP THEIR EXAMINATION QUALIFICATION.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Controlling risk

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• define and describe management responsibilities in risk management
• describe the process of (externally) reporting internal control and risk
• explain and assess the role of a risk manager in identifying and monitoring risk
• explain and evaluate the role of the risk committee in identifying and monitoring risk (also in chapter 6)
• describe and assess the role of internal or external risk auditing in monitoring risk
• explain the importance of risk awareness at all levels in an organisation
• describe and analyse the concept of embedding risk in an organisation’s systems and procedures
• describe and evaluate the concept of embedding risk in an organisation’s culture and values
• explain and analyse the concepts of spreading and diversifying risk and when this would be appropriate
• define the terms ‘risk avoidance’ and ‘risk retention’
• explain and evaluate the different attitudes to risk and how these can affect strategy
• explain and assess attitudes towards risk and the ways in which risk varies in relation to the size, structure and development of an organisation.
The role of the board

The board of an organisation plays an important role in risk management.

- It considers risk at the strategic level and defines the organisation’s appetite and approach to risk.
- The board is responsible for driving the risk management process and ensuring that managers responsible for implementing risk management have adequate resources.
- The board is responsible for ensuring that risk management supports the strategic objectives of the organisation.
- The board will determine the level of risk which the organisation can accept in order to meet its strategic objectives.
- The board ensures that the risk management strategy is communicated to the rest of the organisation and integrated with all the other activities.
- The board reviews risks and identifies and monitors progress of the risk management plans.
- The board will determine which risks will be accepted which cannot be managed, or which it is not cost-effective to manage, i.e. residual risk.
The board will generally delegate these activities to a risk committee, as discussed in chapter 6.

A framework for board consideration of risk is shown below

Risk appetite factors

Risk appetite

Risk appetite is determined by:

- **risk capacity** - the amount of risk that the organisation can bear, and
- **risk attitude** - the overall approach to risk, in terms of the board being risk averse or risk seeking.
Risk attitude

Risk attitude will be seen on a continuum from risk averse to risk seeking.

- There is no easy correlation between the risk attitude of an organisation and its size, structure and development.
- In general terms:
  - a small, young company may have a higher risk attitude as it takes risks in order to get its product into the market.
  - a larger, older company may appear to be more risk averse as it seeks to protect its current market position.

2 Role of the risk manager

- MEMBER OF RISK COMMITTEE.
- IMPLEMENTS RISK MANAGEMENT POLICIES.
- OPERATIONAL ROLE.
The risk manager is a member of the risk management committee, reporting directly to that committee and the board.

The role focuses primarily on implementation of risk management policies.

The manager is supported and monitored by the risk management committee.

The role is more operational than strategic.

Policy is set by the board and the risk management committee and implemented by the risk manager.

3 Risk awareness

As previously discussed, one of the roles of the risk committee is to raise risk awareness within the organisation.

In general terms, a lack of risk awareness means that an organisation has an inappropriate risk management strategy.

- Risks affecting the organisation may not have been identified meaning there will be a lack of control over that risk.
- Risks may occur and the control over that risk is not active due to lack of monitoring and awareness.
- Continued monitoring within the organisation is therefore required to ensure that risk management strategies are updated as necessary.
4 Embedding risk

• The aim of embedding risk management is to ensure that it is ‘part of the way we do business’ (to misquote Handy).
• It can be considered at two levels:
  – embedding risk in systems
  – embedding risk in culture.

Embedding risk in systems

• Embedding risk in systems applies to the concept of ensuring that risk management is included within the control systems of an organisation.
• In this context, a control system helps ensure that other systems (e.g. the accounting system) are working correctly.
• Risk management is not seen as a separate system.
• In many jurisdictions, this is a statutory requirement (e.g. US) while in others it is a code of best practice (e.g. UK).
• To be successful, embedding risk management needs approval and support from the board.

The process of embedding risk management within an organisation’s systems and procedures can be outlined as follows:

(1) Identify the controls that are already operating within the organisation.
(2) Monitor those controls to ensure that they work.
(3) Improve and refine the controls as required.
(4) Document evidence of monitoring and control operation (using performance metrics or independent assessment such as internal or external audit).
Embedding risk in culture

- As noted above, risk management needs to be embedded into policies and procedures in an organisation.
- However, the policy may still fail unless all workers in a company (board to employees) accept the need for risk management.
- Embedding risk into culture and values therefore implies that risk management is ‘normal’ for the organisation.

**Methods of embedding risk management** in the culture and values of an organisation include:

- aligning individual goals with those of the organisation
- including risk management responsibilities within job descriptions
- establishing reward systems which recognise that risks have to be taken in practice (e.g. not having a ‘blame’ culture)
- establishing metrics and performance indicators that can monitor risks and provide an early warning if it is seen that risks will actually occur and affect the organisation
- informing all staff in an organisation of the need for risk management, and publishing success stories to show how embedding risk management in the culture has benefited both organisation and staff.
5 Risk management: TARA (or SARA)

• The risk management process was described in section 3 of chapter 11. We will now move onto the third step of the process: risk planning and formulating the risk management strategies.

• Strategies for managing risks can be explained as TARA (or SARA): Transference (or Sharing), Avoidance, Reduction or Acceptance.

The TGB Company runs sporting events such as tennis tournaments and downhill skiing events in various countries. The company has been fairly successful in the past in running events that attract a significant number of customers, and in the last 10 years TGB has always made a profit.

The board of TGB are now considering a number of sporting events for the next financial year.

• A repeat of this year’s successful two-week long outdoor tennis tournament at a time of year when there is a 10% probability of rain on any given day. If it rains, customers are allowed access to the tournament on the following day. However, if there is rain on two consecutive days, tickets for those days are declared void and cannot be used.
Required:

(a) Using the risk management model of TARA, explain the elements of the model and discuss how the TGB Company should manage risks for each of its proposed sporting events.

(b) Compare and contrast the roles of the risk manager and the risk committee.

6 Further risk management strategies

Risk avoidance and retention
• **Risk avoidance**: the risk strategy by which the organisation literally avoids a risk by not undertaking the activity that gives rise to the risk in the first place.

• **Risk retention**: risk strategy by which an organisation retains that particular risk within the organisation.
  – This is a similar concept to risk acceptance.

---

**Expandable text - Avoidance and retention strategies**

**Diversifying/spreading risk**

- Risk can be reduced by diversifying into operations in different areas, such as into Industry X and Industry Y, or into Country P and Country Q.
- Poor performance in one area will be offset by good performance in another area, so diversification will reduce total risk.
- Diversification is based on the idea of ‘spreading the risk’; the total risk should be reduced as the portfolio of diversified businesses gets larger.
- Diversification works best where returns from different businesses are negatively correlated (i.e. move in different ways). It will, however, still work as long as the correlation is less than +1.0.
Diversification

Risk can be diversified in terms of financial management and market/product management.

- **Financial management** attempts to decrease risk by use of financial tools such as hedging techniques and also by expanding operations in different countries and product areas – and using financial analysis to show the effect of that diversification.

- **Market/product management** attempts to spread risk according to the *portfolio* of companies held within a group based more on links within the supply chain.

**Example of poor diversification** – swimming costumes and ice cream – both reliant on sunny weather for sales.

Spreading risk relates to portfolio management as an investor or company spreads product and market risks.

Briefly consider whether it is always a good business strategy for a listed company to diversify to reduce risk.

**Test your understanding 2**

Briefly consider whether it is always a good business strategy for a listed company to diversify to reduce risk.
Risk audit is a systematic way of understanding the risks that an organisation faces.

Unlike financial auditing, risk audit is not a mandatory requirement for all organisations but, in some highly regulated industries, a form of ongoing risk assessment and audit is compulsory in most governance jurisdictions.

Some organisations employ internal specialists to carry out risk auditing, others utilise external consultants to perform the work.

Refer to the Examiner’s article published in Student Accountant in March 2009 “Risk and Environmental Auditing”

Purpose of risk auditing

- Risk auditing assists the overall risk monitoring activity (last step in the risk management process) by providing an independent view of risks and controls in an organisation.
- As with any audit situation, a fresh pair of eyes may identify errors or omissions in the original risk monitoring process.
- In many situations, audit work is obligatory (e.g. SOX requirements).
- Following review, internal and external audit can make recommendations to amend the risk management system or controls as necessary.
Stages of a risk audit

Process of external reporting of internal controls and risk

Expanedable text - Process of a risk audit

8 Process of external reporting of internal controls and risk

External reporting of internal control and risk relates to reporting sources outside the company.

- Reporting may be voluntary or required by statute.
- In the extreme, third parties will be required to report where the company is either unaware of reporting situations or declines to report voluntarily.
Some reporting systems are geared towards internal reporting (e.g. audit committees) but external reporting may also be required.

The 'process' of reporting implies some form of decision making prior to an external report being made.

The process will normally imply compliance with the relevant statutory or ethical guidance appropriate to the entity and the person making the external report.

Expandable text - SOX reporting

Expandable text - UK external reporting
9 Chapter summary

CONTROLLING RISK
  - Identify reporting situation
  - Check compliance
  - Make report if required

ROLES
  - BOARD
    • Takes strategic level view
    • Responsible for driving process
  - RISK COMMITTEE
    • Covered in chapter 6
  - RISK MANAGER
    • Implements risk management policies
    • Operational role
  - INTERNAL/EXTERNAL AUDIT
    • Risk audit process has four stages
    • Can be done by internal or external auditors

RISK APPETITE
  - Risk attitude (affected by organisational size, structure and stage of development)
  - Risk capacity

RISK AWARENESS
  - Lack of awareness may lead to an inappropriate risk management strategy

EMBEDDING RISK
  - In systems or culture

RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES
  - TARA (or SARA)
    • Transference (or Sharing)
    • Avoidance
    • Reduction
    • Acceptance

AVOIDANCE/RETENTION

DIVERSEIFICATION
  - Aim to reduce total risk
  - Can diversify via financial management or market/product management
  - Requires negative correlation
(a) **TARA model**

The TARA model of risk management assists decision makers in choosing the appropriate risk management option for different events and circumstances. There are four options, as explained below.

**Transference**

In this option, risk is transferred wholly or in part to a third party, so that if an adverse event occurs, the third party suffers all or most of the loss. A common example of risk transfer is insurance. All businesses arrange a wide range of insurance policies for protection against possible losses.

There is a risk that part or all of the outdoor tennis tournament is rained off (a 10% probability of rain suggests on average that one day’s play each year will be lost because of rain). While TGB can accept the risk of 1 day being lost to rain and hopefully build contingencies into their time budgets for this, the risk of losing any more days must be guarded against. TGB are likely to take out insurance against this possibility. Insurance will be for loss of profit and possibly to repay customers for their tickets where more than two-day’s consecutive play is lost.

**Avoidance**

Another strategy for an organisation is to avoid a risk altogether. However, since many risks are unavoidable in business ventures, they can be avoided only by not investing (or withdrawing from the business area completely).

In terms of business probity, running a sporting event where it is almost certain that deaths and injury will occur does not appear to be acceptable. TGB may incur adverse publicity as a result of any accidents partly as the board knew these were likely to occur. Even if the event occurred, TGB will not be able to obtain insurance. Any claims for negligence, for example, would directly impact on TGB. Even though the event appears profitable, the best course of action appears to be not to run the event.
Reduction/mitigation

Another option is to reduce the risk, either by limiting exposure in a particular area or attempting to decrease the adverse effects should that risk actually occur.

For the curling championships, the best option for TGB appears to be to limit the risk in this area. Holding the championships in all 25 countries appears risky as demand is not known, and will involve TGB in additional costs. One option, therefore, is to hold the championships only in the colder countries this year where demand is higher.

Depending on the success this year, the feasibility of extending the championships in the following year can be assessed.

Acceptance

Finally, an organisation can simply accept that the risk may occur and decide to deal with the consequences in that particular situation. The strategy is appropriate normally where the adverse effect is minimal.

The skiing championships are threatened by global warming; however, the board considers the threat to be remote. While the loss of the championships could presumably be insured against, the premium is unclear and the likelihood of lack of snow, at least at present, is remote. The board’s decision to do nothing is therefore correct. However, the situation should be monitored in the future and the need for insurance reviewed again as necessary.

(b) Risk manager and risk committee

Overview

The risk manager is a member of the risk committee. The manager reports to that committee as well as the board of directors. The risk committee will normally include board members as well as senior management. Where there is no risk committee then the audit committee will normally take on this role.

Risk awareness

The risk committee is responsible for raising risk awareness in a company and ensuring that there is appropriate risk management.

The risk manager is responsible for implementing any policies of risk awareness and well as reporting deficiencies in risk management to the board.
Monitoring risks

The risk committee will ensure that there are adequate and efficient processes in place in the company to identify, report and monitor risks. In this sense, the committee will be identifying risks and ensuring that the risks are dealt with effectively.

The risk manager will also be identifying risks and reporting those to the risk committee. The monitoring undertaken by the manager will be at a lower level to that of the committee. The manager is likely to be liaising with internal auditors to monitor the detailed implementation and review of risk mitigation strategies and internal audits of those strategies.

Company risk profile

The risk committee will be responsible for updating the company’s risk profile as well as reporting to the board and making recommendations regarding the risk appetite of the company.

The risk manager will be advising the committee on the risk profile and risk appetite.

Operational/strategic

The risk committee has a strategic role in a company. They monitor the whole risk management process and make recommendations to the risk manager.

The risk manager implements the recommendations from the risk committee. In this sense the role is more operational than strategic as the manager is responsible for the detailed internal controls necessary to manage identified risks.

Risk management policy

The company’s overall risk management policy is set by the board with the assistance of the risk committee.

The risk manager is then responsible for implementing that policy.

Best practice in risk management

The risk committee will ensure that the best practices in risk management are followed within the company. This means that changes to risk management strategies will be recommended where necessary.
The risk manager will provide reports to the committee on risk management practices obtained from detailed research. The manager will also monitor the external environment for new legislation and again inform the committee of this, where necessary recommending any necessary action.

**Test your understanding 2**

Arguments for and against diversification.

**For:**
- Reduces risks and enables company to give more predictable return to investors.
- Attracts investors who want low-risk investments.

**Against:**
- Management may not understand all the businesses that the company operates in – increases the risk.
- It is not necessary to diversify for investors – they can diversify themselves by investing in a number of different companies. A listed company is likely to have many institutional shareholders who will generally be fully diversified in their own investments.
- New business areas can attract risks, e.g. going into a new country may increase the risk of not understanding a company culture.
Ethical theories

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• explain and distinguish between the ethical theories of relativism and absolutism
• explain, in an accounting and governance context, Kohlberg’s levels of human moral development
• describe and distinguish between deontological and teleological/consequentialist approaches to ethics
• describe and evaluate Gray, Owen & Adams’ (1996) seven positions on social responsibility
• describe and evaluate other constructions of corporate and personal ethical stance
• describe and analyse the variables determining the cultural context of ethics and corporate and social responsibility (CSR).
1 Absolutism and relativism

Relativism and absolutism both refer to the ethical and moral belief systems in society.

**Absolutism**
- unchanging and immutable set of moral rights or precepts
- hold true in all situations
- common to all societies.

**Relativism**
- wide variety of ethical beliefs and practices
- what is ‘correct’ in any given situations will depend on the conditions at the time.
**Dogmatic versus pragmatic approach**

The idea of absolutism and relativism can be illustrated with two similar concepts.

- A **dogmatic** approach takes the view that there is one truth and this truth is to be imposed in all situations.
  - The word is taken from the Greek dogma – or given truth.
  - This viewpoint corresponds to **absolutism**.

- A **pragmatic** approach attempts to find the best route through a specific moral situation without reference to any absolutist belief.
  - The approach is similar to **relativism** in attempting to find a solution based on the given belief system of the individuals involved.

**2 Deontological and teleological approaches to ethics**

**Deontological approach**

- This is a **non-consequentialist** theory.
- The motivation or principle is important.
- An action can only be deemed right or wrong when the morals for taking that action are known.

There are three key maxims, or tests, for any action: an action is morally ‘right’ if it satisfies all three.
**Consistency**: Act only according to that maxim by which you can, at the same time, desire that it should become a universal law.
- The action can only be right if everyone can follow the same underlying principle.

**Human dignity**: Act so that you treat humanity, whether in your own person or in that of another, always as an end and never as a means only.

**Universality**: Act only so that the will through its maxims could regard itself at the same time as universally lawgiving.
- Would an action be viewed by others as moral or suitable?

**Teleological approach**

- This is a **consequentialist** theory.
- Whether a decision is right or wrong depends on the consequences or outcomes of that decision.
- As long as the outcome is right, then the action itself is irrelevant.

There are two perspectives from which the outcome can be viewed:

- **Egoism**
  - Sometimes thought of as the view ‘what is best for me?’. An action is morally right if the decision maker freely decides in order to pursue either their short-term desires or longer-term interests.
  - The egoist will also do what appears to be ‘right’ in society because it makes them feel better.
  - Egoism does not always work because actions on all members of society cannot be determined.

- **Utilitarianism**
  - Sometimes taught as the idea of ‘what is best for the greatest number?’. An action is morally right if it results in the greatest amount of good for the greatest number of people affected by that action.
  - It applies to society as a whole and not the individual.
  - It is valuable in business decisions because it introduces the concept of ‘utility’ – or the economic value of actions.
  - It is highly subjective.
Test your understanding 1

Explain the teleological and deontological views of the following actions:

(a) Animal testing.
(b) Capital punishment (execution) of a serial killer.
(c) Whistleblowing.

3 Kohlberg’s cognitive moral development (CMD) theory

- Kohlberg developed a cognitive moral development (CMD) theory to explain the reasoning process behind moral judgements.
- This theory is viewing ethical decisions from an individual’s perspective.

Kohlberg’s levels of human moral development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>3: Post-conventional</strong></td>
<td>Individual develops more autonomous decision making based on principles of right and justice.</td>
<td>3.2: Universal ethical principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1: Social contract and individual rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2: Conventional</strong></td>
<td>Individual does what is expected of them by others.</td>
<td>2.2: Social accord and system maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1: Interpersonal accord and conformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1: Pre-conventional</strong></td>
<td>Individual shows concern for self-interest and external rewards and punishments.</td>
<td>1.2: Instrumental purpose and exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1: Obedience and punishment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The three main levels are shown above.
- Each level is subdivided into two stages – giving six stages in total.
- Individuals tend to move from Level 1 to Level 3 as they get older.
• Movement is decided by how a decision is made, not what the decision is about.
• Research indicates that most people, including business managers, tend to reason on Level 2.

### Expandable text - Cognitive moral development theories

### Expandable text - CMD levels

### Test your understanding 2

**Which level, and stage, of CMD do the following examples relate to?**

1. A manager includes an hour’s overtime on his/her timesheet because all other managers do so.
2. A fishing company’s CSR report explains how the welfare of fish is maintained in its fish farms, although there is no statutory or other obligation to provide the information or care for the fish.
3. An employee does not disclose information indicating that financial statements have omitted important liabilities in return for enhanced pension benefits from the company.
4. A director does not include some important liabilities in the financial statements because inclusion would damage the reputation of the company.
5. The company canteen only uses organic ingredients in meals provided even though employees do not know this and did not request the change.
6. Employees are given vouchers to obtain free lunches in the company canteen.

### 4 Seven positions on social responsibility

• There is a belief that **organisations** should have some social responsibility.
• With social responsibility there is social accountability – organisations must account for their actions.
• The belief means that there may be a difference between how the world is now and how it should be.
• Gray, Owens and Adams provide seven positions on social responsibility as alternative views on this difference.
Refer to the Examiner’s article published in Student Accountant in February 2008 “All about stakeholders – part 2”

**Pristine capitalist:**

- underpinning value is shareholder wealth maximisation.
- anything that reduces shareholder wealth (such as acting in a socially responsible way) is theft from shareholders.

**Expedients:**

- recognise some social responsibility expenditure may be necessary to strategically position an organisation so as to maximise profits.
- this is back to the concept of 'enlightened self-interest' (discussed in chapter 8).

**Proponents of social contract:**

- businesses enjoy a licence to operate granted by society so long as the business acts in an appropriate way.

**Social ecologist:**

- recognises that a business has a social and environmental footprint and therefore bears responsibility for minimising that footprint.
Socialist:

- actions of business are those of the capitalist class oppressing other classes of people.
- business should be conducted so as to redress imbalances in society.

Radical feminist:

- society and business should be based on feminine characteristics such as equity, dialogue, compassion and fairness.

Deep ecologist:

- humans have no more intrinsic right to exist than any other species.

Expandable text - Seven positions on social responsibility

Test your understanding 3

A global environmental group has entered into an alliance with a refrigerator manufacture. Its actions have led to a bitter internal battle, with many founding members suggesting the organisation had ‘sold out’ to the business world. Others argued that their actions might help save the planet from climate change.

The company in question is FN, a German domestic and industrial refrigerator manufacture that was in receivership, its predicament arising from a lack of investment and chronic inefficiency as an ex-eastern German communist organisation. The environmental group intended to use this company to launch its Chlorofluorocarbon-free (CFC-free) fast-freeze unit. This revolutionary technology eliminates the emissions associated with refrigeration units that have been blamed for destroying the ozone layer and raising world climate.

The environmental group actively promoted the idea amongst its worldwide membership and, at a press conference three years ago, stated that it had a large number of advance orders from customers willing to sign up to buy the fridges once they were in production. The receiver subsequently conceded and allowed the company to begin trading again, although confidentially he believed the idea was just an attempt by the company to save themselves from receivership, rather than indicating genuine environmental beliefs.
5 Variables determining cultural context

This section looks at the **wider** cultural context in which ethics and socially responsible behaviour exist.

The variables determining the cultural context of ethics and CSR include:

- **Economic** – focus on profitability.
- **Legal** – focus on compliance with the law.
- **Ethical** – focus on doing ‘what is right’.
- **Philanthropic** – focus on doing ‘what is desired’.

These were discussed in chapter 8 - Carroll's model of CSR.

**Cultural differences:**

- The extent of ethics and CSR varies according to culture.
- The four responsibilities have different connotations in a European context as opposed to a US context.
- The European context focuses on ethics and philanthropic actions being enforced legally, while the US system tends to focus on the discretionary actions of companies and individuals (economic factors).
6 Corporate and personal ethical stances

Another view of corporate and personal ethical stances can be gained from considering the following four areas:

**Corporate stance** relates to the approach of the organisation to the different theories.

**Personal stance** relates to the approach of the individual to different theories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>Corporate stance</th>
<th>Personal stance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term shareholder interests</td>
<td>• Must provide an adequate return to its shareholders.</td>
<td>• Small shareholders require annual return on investment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Larger investors have little short-term interest in the organisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term shareholder interests</td>
<td>• Must maintain its existence.</td>
<td>• Concerned about security of investment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Require capital growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple stakeholder obligations</td>
<td>Identify stakeholders with high power and influence over the organisation and attempt to satisfy their objectives.</td>
<td>Each stakeholder group expects their interests to be understood and acted on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Shaper of society (dealing with public interest obligations to society) | Change society, by applying its own positional power, either for corporate or social benefit. | Individually, little can be done to shape society.  
As a group, individuals can affect organisations by the choices that are made. |
7 Chapter summary

Ethical theories

Absolutism/Relativism
- Absolutism: Unchanging set of moral rights
  - Hold true in all situations and societies
  - Dogmatic

Relativism
- Wide variety of beliefs
- Depend upon the conditions
- Pragmatic

Deontological/Teleological
- Deontological: Non-consequentialist
  - Motivation is key
  - 3 maxims of consistency, human dignity and universality

- Teleological: Consequentialist
  - Outcome is key
  - Egoism or utilitarianism

Individual
- Kohlberg: Cognitive Moral Development
  - Pre-conventional
  - Conventional
  - Post-conventional
  - 2 stages within each level

Corporate
- Gray, Owen and Adams: Positions on Social Responsibility
  - Pristine capitalist
  - Expedients
  - Social contractarians
  - Social ecologist
  - Socialist
  - Radical feminist
  - Deep ecologist

Cultural Context
- Economic
- Legal
- Ethical
- Philanthropic
Test your understanding answers

Test your understanding 1

Deontological view

(a) Unlikely to be allowed because testing denies the right of animals to choose – their dignity is therefore affected (second maxim).
(b) Not allowed because killing someone cannot be a universal law (first maxim).
(c) Possibly allowed – although the third maxim may prevent this. If you are known as a whistleblower you may not want this knowledge to be made public – you may not find another job.

Teleological view

(a) Testing is acceptable because the pain suffered by the animal is allowable as it prevents far greater pain to many humans.
(b) This is justifiable as the killing this one person may prevent that person murdering many more people.
(c) Justifiable where the good to society outweighs the harm done, i.e. the harm of denying directors their freedom is outweighed by society as a whole being protected from poor products or illegal acts in a company.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test your understanding 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) 2.1: Conventional – Interpersonal accord and conformity. This is what the peer group expects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) 3.2: Post-conventional – Universal ethical principles. The rights of animals are respected based on the company’s own ethical principles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 1.2: Pre-conventional – Instrumental purpose and exchange. The employee receives a ‘bribe’ which enhances their own interests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) 1.1: Pre-conventional – Obedience and punishment. The director is rewarded by keeping his/her job.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) 3.1 Post-conventional – Social contract and individual rights. Because employees did not request the change – and it will be most expensive for the company to operate the canteen having made the decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) 2.2: Conventional – Social accord and system maintenance. There is no requirement to do this – but the employer is looking after the health of the employees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ethical positions

The alliance between the environmental group and FN suggests common ground or similar motivations behind their joint action. This may not be the case. The two organisations may work together for very different reasons and their decisions may arise from differing ethical standpoints.

FN

The confidential comment by the receiver provides an insight into the most obvious and possibly powerful ethical stance. Self-preservation is described in ethical theory as egoism, a consequentialist base where the individual asks, as a normal, accepted or normative view of human behaviour, “What is in it for me?”

In this case the receiver describes the motivation as one of avoidance of bankruptcy and job loss. Sine this would lead to real financial hardship for everyone in FN and since this hardship has an immediate effect on the quality of individuals lives it is not difficult to appreciate the motivational impact of this.

In an ethical sense it is entirely correct to consider oneself and one’s own preservation above all others if one believes in the ethical right of the egoist model. Others would argue that this is a selfish standpoint and that other factors should be more prominent in the decision making process.

Some would argue that it is the State’s duty to support the organisation and ensure the continuance of employment. The case study describes the nature of the company as being ex-communist and this gives an insight into this ethical viewpoint. Egalitarianism is an ethical stance that believes the right course of action is the one that shares the benefit of a given venture as widely as possible among societal members. This is associated with communism where it is the role of the State to ensure the widest possible distribution of wealth through jobs and services such as education and wealth.

Using this ethical framework employees and management at FN may believe the State (and through this the representative of the State, the receiver) has a duty to do whatever they can to ensure the continuance of their jobs and their company.
Senior management may have used an opposing viewpoint as a basis for deciding the morally right course of action. Assuming they had a choice as to whether to support the venture or invest their talent in other companies, they may have taken a non-egalitarian view. This is an ethical stance that defines right in terms of the potential for an individual to generate wealth for themselves.

The application of non-egalitarianism would be in the belief that the new venture would make profits and those profits would benefit senior management / shareholders personally. The morally right course of action is the one that leads to individual rewards rather than benefit for the common good. This is egotistic in intent, the difference between the two being the scale of the terminology, egoism is personal, non-egalitarianism is a societal mindset.

**Environmental group**

The environmental group is split over its support for this proposed cooperation project. This may be for many reasons although the case study mentions that some members believe others have ‘sold out’ to the business world. This view would relate to a belief that the ethical framework used by those that agree to the project is one of self gratification and reward through a major business venture. Even if the reward is not personal but ploughed back into the environmental group it would still have been generated at the expense of global resources working for a profit motive.

As described above, this profit motive would be one of egoism and non-egalitarianism both of which are the opposite of the environmental groups usual ethical stance based on utilitarianism. Utilitarianism believes that the ethical right is determined through considering what is right for the majority and acting accordingly. In this case the majority would be global society, both today’s and future generations, and ensuring that actions today do not deplete global resources or have a negative environmental impact in terms of ozone depletion.

It could be argued that the actual decision making process used by the environmental group is one that accepts both of these opposing viewpoints. This pluralist, pragmatic view is one that accepts the reality of the global situation and recognises that in order to do the most good the environmental group must use its resources in any way that seems appropriate given a set of circumstances.
The ethical right may therefore derive through a post-modernist approach where what is right is determined through examination of local issues (German company in receivership and opportunities that relate to this). The most appropriate action also comes from understanding that there is no simple world view and that extreme or intransigent standpoints are not as likely to be successful as a more adaptive approach to decision making. This ethical view is a fundamental departure from the traditional model or absolutist view generally taken by pressure groups and, although successful in this case, may suggest a compromising ethical position that is very difficult for many members to accept.
Professional and corporate ethics

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• explain and explore the nature of a ‘profession’ and ‘professionalism’
• describe and assess what is meant by ‘the public interest’
• describe the role of, and assess the widespread influence of, accounting as a profession in the organisational context
• analyse the role of accounting as a profession in society
• recognise accounting’s role as a value-laden profession capable of influencing the distribution of power and wealth in society
• describe and critically evaluate issues surrounding accounting and acting against the public interest
• describe and explore the areas of behaviour covered by corporate codes of ethics
• describe and assess the content of, and principles behind, professional codes of ethics
• describe and assess the codes of ethics relevant to accounting professionals
• describe and evaluate issues associated with conflicts of interest and ethical conflict resolution
• explain and evaluate the nature and impact of ethical threats and safeguards
• explain and explore how threats to independence can affect ethical behaviour
• describe and discuss approaches to resolving ethical dilemmas encountered in professional accounting.
1 ‘Profession’ versus ‘professionalism’

- **Profession**: a body of theory and knowledge which is used to support the public interest.
- **Professionalism**: taking action to support the public interest.

The terms profession and professionalism can be explained as follows:

- **Profession**: a body of theory and knowledge which is used to support the public interest.
- **Professionalism**: taking action to support the public interest.
Profession

A profession is distinguished by certain essential and defining characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Applicability to accounting profession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body of theory and skills</td>
<td>• technical skills (such as auditing or accounting standards)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• acquired by training and education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• an examination system which ensures accountants obtain the knowledge required to act responsibly within their profession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• maintained by continuing professional development (CPD).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence to common code of values and conduct</td>
<td>• established by administrating body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• maintains an objective outlook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ethical standards applicable to all members (such as ACCA’s code of ethics, discussed in section 6 of this chapter).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance of a duty to society as a whole</td>
<td>• professions can be trusted to act in the public interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• in return members are granted a qualification and usage of a title (such as ACCA).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professionalism

• Members are seen to be acting professionally, or literally having professionalism.

• Professionalism may also be interpreted more as a state of mind, while the profession provides the rules that members of that profession must follow.

• Professional behaviour imposes an obligation on members to comply with relevant laws and regulations and avoid any action that may bring discredit to the profession.

• Professional behaviour will mean complying with the ethical standards laid down by the professional body.
The accounting profession

• Over time, the profession appears to be taking more of a proactive, than a reactive, approach.

A reactive approach

Taking responsibility for any negative consequences of accounting practice and, where appropriate, amending those practices to remove those consequences.

Illustration 1 – A reactive approach

• Accounting practice failed to identify the risk that the Special Purpose Entities established by Enron to ‘hide’ its debts may not actually incorporated into Enron’s main accounts.
• This may have attributed to the eventual downfall of Enron and the loss of pensions due to many Enron staff.
• The practice was removed by the requirement from the accounting profession to include this off balance sheet financing in the main accounts of companies.
• In this sense the accounting profession was reacting to a situation.

A proactive approach

Seeking out and positively contributing to the public interest.

Illustration 2 – A proactive approach

• The accounting profession recognises that guidance on how to carry out an environmental audit, or to accumulate appropriate metrics to include within an environmental audit, is not available.
• Guidance is provided ‘in the public interest’ as a benefit to society, rather than waiting until society as a whole requests the guidance.

2 The public interest

• The distinguishing mark of a profession is the acceptance of a responsibility to the public.
• The accountancy profession’s public includes:
  – clients
  – credit providers
  – governments
employees
– employers
– investors.

What is 'the public interest'?

The public interest can be defined as that which supports the good of society as a whole (as opposed to what serves the interests of individual members of society or of specific sectional interest groups).

• For an accountant, acting in the public interest is acting for the collective well-being of the community of people and institutions that it serves.

Accountants and the public interest

• Accountants do not generally act against the public interest.
• The ethical code applicable to most accountants confirms that such action is not normally appropriate.

An area of particular relevance to accountants will be that of disclosure of information:

• The concept of acting in the public interest tends to apply to providing information that society as a whole should be aware of.
• In many cases 'public interest' disclosure is used to establish that disclosure is needed although there is no law to confirm this action.
• This can affect companies where they are acting against the public interest as disclosure may well be expected.

Disclose or not?

The accountant will need to evaluate each situation on its merits and then justify the outcome taken:

• In some situations lack of disclosure may be against the public interest.
• In other situations, disclosing information may be against the public interest, and such information should be kept confidential to avoid harm to society.
Test your understanding 1

Provide examples of situations where:

(a) Disclosure of information could be seen as acting in the public interest.

(b) Lack of disclosure of the information could be seen as acting in the public interest.

Test your understanding 2

Situation A

A recently hired junior accountant in a public company becomes aware of accounting irregularities regarding the consolidation of subsidiaries into the holding company accounts. The effect of the consolidation irregularities was to understate the liabilities of the group in the group accounts. When this was mentioned to a colleague he was informed that the company had always used this method and that it was not worth reporting as the finance director always ignored the comments made and suggested that the matter was forgotten or the salary review would be unfavourable. The junior decided to take the colleague’s advice.

Situation B

A senior auditor working on the external audit of a public company, becomes aware of a breach of health and safety regulations at the client. The auditor noted that the packaging on some eggs which the company obtains from hens it owns contained the term ‘free range – farm society monitored and tested’. However, a review of the expenditure showed that there was no expenditure to the farm society. Further investigation indicated that the eggs may not have been free range, but actually imported from another country where the eggs were produced by ‘battery’ chickens. In other words the eggs were not free range and were unlikely to have even the basic control checks carried out on eggs produced at the company. The package labelling was therefore incorrect on two counts. The senior auditor mentioned this to the board of the client, whereupon the auditor was threatened with removal from office if the information was disclosed. However, the auditor disclosed the information anyway to the appropriate government department.

Required:

Discuss the two situations above in terms of Kohlberg’s theory and with regard to public interest disclosure.
3 Accountants' role and influence

Influence on organisations

- The influence of the accountancy profession on organisations is potentially very significant.
- This is largely due to the range of services that accountants can provide, including:
  - financial accounting
  - audit
  - management accounting
  - taxation advice
  - consultancy.

Limitations on influence

The influence of accountants is limited regarding ethical and other areas by the following factors:

- the extent of organisational reporting, particularly with regards to organisations in financial difficulties
- conflicts of interest in selling additional services
- long-term relationship with clients
- overall size of accountancy firms
- focus on growth and profit.
Influence in society

Accountancy can be seen as a profession involved with accountability.

- It is seen, at least by accountants, as being able to act in the public interest.
- Although the profession has the skills and knowledge to assist in the development of new initiatives, it may not be trusted fully due to past failings.
- Barriers exist with the accountancy profession that lead to accountants avoiding change and maintaining the status quo.
- But, the accountancy profession does have the knowledge to become involved in new initiatives.
  - an example of new public interest work is CSR reporting.

Influence on power and wealth distribution

Accountants have specialist skills and knowledge which can be used in the public interest.
- Society may have the objective of obtaining a more equal distribution of power and wealth.
- Given their abilities, accountants can probably advise on how that power and wealth can be distributed.

Corporate ethics

Corporate ethics relates to the application of ethical values to business behaviour.
• It encompasses many areas ranging from board strategies to how companies negotiate with their suppliers.
• It goes beyond legal requirements and is to some extent therefore discretionary.
• Many companies provide details of their ethical approach in a corporate and social responsibility (CSR) report.
• Key areas included in a code of corporate ethics:

5 Corporate and professional codes

Purpose of corporate and professional codes

The presence of a code may assist in resolving an ethical dilemma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits of a code</th>
<th>Drawbacks of a code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provides framework for conflict resolution.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides guidelines for similar ethical disputes and methods of resolution.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides the 'boundaries' across which it is ethically incorrect to pass.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is a code only – therefore may not fit the precise ethical issue.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As a code, then it can be interpreted in different ways – two different conflicting actions may appear to be ethically correct to two different people.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May be no clear or even ineffective punishment for breaching the code.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effectiveness of corporate and professional codes

The effectiveness of the code will be limited due to factors such as:

• the code can be imposed without communication to explain what it is trying to achieved; this will only lead to resentment, particularly amongst employees
• some codes are written, launched and then forgotten as it is now 'in place'. Unless there are reminders that the code is there, then it will not be effective in promoting ethical decision making
• codes that are implemented, and then breached by senior management without apparent penalty are not going to be followed by more junior staff.

To be effective, the code must have:

• participation from all groups as the code is formed (to encourage 'buy in')
• disciplinary actions for breach of the code
• publicity of breaches and actions taken, as this is effective in promoting others to follow the code
• communication and support from top-down to ensure that the code is embedded into company culture.

6 Professional codes of ethics

Professional codes of ethics are issued by most professional bodies; the ACCA code was revised and reissued in 2006.

• The main reason for professional codes of ethics is to ensure that members/students observe proper standards of professional conduct (as discussed in section 1 of this chapter).
• Members and students will therefore refrain from misconduct and not make any serious departure from the ethical code.
• If the standards are not observed, then disciplinary action may be taken.
• Maintenance of a professional code of ethics helps the accountancy profession to act in the public interest by providing appropriate regulation of members.

The content of a professional code of ethics

The following are usually included:

**Introduction**  Provides the background to the code, stating who it affects, how the code is enforced and outlines disciplinary proceedings.

**Fundamental principles**  The key principles that must be followed by all members/students of the Institute. The principles may be stated in summary format.

**Conceptual framework**  Explains how the principles are actually applied, recognising that the principles cannot cover all situations and so the ‘spirit’ of the principles must be complied with.

**Detailed application**  Examples of how the principles are applied in specific situations.

**Principles**

Behind a professional code of ethics, there are underpinning principles, the main ones being:

• integrity
• objectivity
• professional competence
• confidentiality, and
• professional behaviour.

Fundamental ethical principles are obligations placed on members of a professional institute.

• Principles apply to all members, whether or not they are in practice.
• The conceptual framework provides guidance on how the principles are applied.
• The framework also helps identify threats to compliance with the principles and then applies safeguards to eliminate or reduce those threats to acceptable levels.
• Five fundamental principles (taken from the ACCA code of conduct) are shown above.

Expandable text - Fundamental ethical principles

Test your understanding 3

Explain why each of the following actions appears to be in conflict with fundamental ethical principles.

(1) An advertisement for a firm of accountants states that their audit services are cheaper and more comprehensive than a rival firm.
(2) An accountant prepares a set of accounts prior to undertaking the audit of those accounts.
(3) A director discusses an impending share issue with colleagues at a golf club dinner.
(4) The finance director attempts to complete the company’s taxation computation following the acquisition of some foreign subsidiaries.
(5) A financial accountant confirms that a report on his company is correct, even though the report omits to mention some important liabilities.

7 Conflicts of interest and ethical threats

Conflicts of interest and their resolution are explained in the conceptual framework to the code of ethics.
• A framework is needed because it is impossible to define every situation where threats to fundamental principles may occur or the mitigating action required.

• Different assignments may also create different threats and mitigating actions – again it is not possible to detail all the assignments an accountant undertakes.

• The framework helps to identify threats – using the fundamental principles as guidance.

• This approach is preferable to following a set of rules – which may not be applicable. (see later in this chapter).

• Once a material threat has been identified, mitigating activities will be performed to ensure that compliance with fundamental principles is not compromised.

• Where conflicts arise in the application of fundamental principles, the code of ethics provides guidance on how to resolve the conflict.

Conflicts of interest

The potential threats which may lead to conflicts of interest and lack of independence were discussed in detail in chapter 10. These are:

• self-interest
• self-review
• advocacy
• familiarity
• intimidation.

A threat to independence is any matter, real or perceived, that implies the accountant is not providing an independent view or report in a specific situation.

• An accountant needs to be independent so others can place reliance on his/her work.

• Lack of independence implies bias, meaning less reliance would be placed.

Some practical examples of independence threats that may face an accountant or auditor are shown below.
8 Conceptual framework and safeguards

A conceptual framework can be explained as follows:

- It provides an initial set of assumptions values and definitions which are agreed upon and shared by all those subject to the framework.
- It is stated in relatively general terms so it is easy to understand and communicate.
- It recognises that ethical issues may have no 'correct' answer and therefore provides the generalised guidelines and principles to apply to any situation.

Safeguards

Safeguards seek to reduce or eliminate threats. They fall into three categories created by the:

- **Profession**

  These include:

  - education and training including CPD requirements
  - setting of corporate governance regulations and professional standards
  - monitoring of professional work including disciplinary proceeding

- **Work environment**

  There are many examples which include:

  - internal control systems
– review procedures
– disciplinary procedures
– organisational codes of ethics
– separate review and reporting for key engagements.

**Individual**

These include:

– complying with professional standards
– maintaining records of contentious issues
– mentoring
– contacting professional bodies with queries.

**Ethical threats and safeguards**

• An **ethical threat** is a situation where a person or corporation is tempted not to follow their code of ethics.

• An **ethical safeguard** provides guidance or a course of action which attempts to remove the ethical threat.

• Ethical threats apply to accountants – whether in practice or business.

• The safeguards to those threats vary depending on the specific threat.

• The professional accountant must always be aware that fundamental principles may be compromised and therefore look for methods of mitigating each threat as it is identified.
9 Ethical dilemmas and conflict resolution

Rules- and principles-based approaches

- Most professional institutes use a principles-based approach to resolving ethical dilemmas.
- Use of a rules-based approach is normally inappropriate as rules cannot cover every eventuality.

Expandable text - Rules- and principles-based approaches

Rules-based approach

Benefits:
- Easy to check compliance as based on fact.
- Easy to amend rule set as required.

Disadvantages:
- The list of rules may not be complete.
- There is no room for individual decision making.

Principles-based approach

Benefits:
- Recognises that every threat cannot simply be ‘listed’.
- Allows for subjective judgement, so the member can apply the principles in accordance with their specific situation and nature of the threat.
Disadvantages:

• In some situations it may be difficult to confirm that the compliance action was appropriate as two people may make different and valid decisions based on the same threat and circumstances.

These points can be related back to rules- and principles-based approaches to corporate governance, discussed in chapter 7.

**Ethical conflict resolution**

Ethical conflicts can be resolved as follows:

(1) Gather all relevant facts.
(2) Establish ethical issues involved.
(3) Refer to relevant fundamental principles.
(4) Follow established internal procedures.
(5) Investigate alternative courses of action.
(6) Consult with appropriate persons within the firm.
(7) Obtain advice from professional institute.
(8) If the matter is still unresolved, consider withdrawing from the engagement team / assignment / role.

More will be seen in the following section on ethical decision making.

**Test your understanding 4**

**Explain your response to the following ethical threats.**

A Your employer asks you to suggest to a junior manager that they will receive a large bonus for working overtime on a project to hide liabilities from the financial statements.

B In selecting employees for a new division, you are advised to unfairly discriminate against one section of the workforce.

C You have been asked to prepare the management accounts for a subsidiary located in South America in accordance with specific requirements of that jurisdiction. In response to your comment that you do not understand the accounting requirements of that jurisdiction, your supervisor states ‘no problem, no one will notice a few thousand dollars’ error anyway’.
10 Chapter summary

Professional and corporate ethics

- Professional vs Professionalism
  - Profession:
    - Body of theory
    - Common code
    - Duty to society
  - Professionalism:
    - Comply with laws and ethical standards
    - Do not bring discredit

- Corporate ethics
  - Application of ethical values to business behaviour

- Professional codes of ethics
  - Ethical principles to guide members/students of professional bodies

- ACCA code: content and principles
  - Integrity
  - Objectivity
  - Professional competence
  - Confidentiality
  - Professional behaviour

- Conflicts of interest and threats
  - Threats to independence

- Safeguards
  - Conceptual framework of code of ethics
  - Profession
  - Work environment

- Public interest
  - Supports the good of society as a whole

- Accountants' role and influence
  - On organisations
  - In society
  - On power and wealth distribution
Test your understanding answers

**Test your understanding 1**

(a) **Disclosure in the public interest:**

- Where a lack of disclosure would lead to lack of enforcement of appropriate laws.
  - This would mean a criminal could continue a crime such as money laundering in breach of money laundering regulations.

- Where a lack of disclosure would decrease accountability or limit decision making of the public.
  - Not providing information on illegal actions of companies (e.g. Enron) allows actions to continue to the long-term detriment of stakeholders.

- Where a lack of disclosure would impair the health and safety of the public.
  - Not disclosing information on potential contamination of land by an organisation.
  - Non-disclosure of this information would not be in the public interest as health and safety could be compromised.

(b) **Lack of disclosure in the public interest:**

- Where disclosure would adversely affect the economic interests of the jurisdiction in which the accountant is working.
  - Disclosing price sensitive information on a company’s share price or details of interest rate movements before they had been authorised could harm businesses in the jurisdiction or the jurisdiction as a whole (exchange rate movements).
  - Disclosure would be inappropriate because the public interest would be harmed.
Test your understanding 2

Situation A

Kohlberg

The junior accountant’s actions appear to correspond to levels 1 and/or 2 of Kohlberg. For level 1, the decision not to disclose the accounting irregularity could be considered unethical and the junior has made that choice either because nothing will happen or there is a fear of punishment if the action is taken.

Alternatively the decision not to disclose corresponds to level 2 in that the employee is simply following the actions expected by peers. As other junior accountants have either not disclosed the problem or been told of the adverse effects of disclosure, there is peer pressure to take the same action as this is ‘normal’. The junior can justify the lack of disclosure because the action being taken is the same as that chosen by others in the same situation.

Public interest

Lack of disclosure of the full extent of the group’s liabilities can mean that the company is not being fully accountable for its actions and the decision-making ability of shareholders and the public in respect of the company is being limited. The hiding of liabilities is against the principle of business probity, that is, the company and the finance director are not acting ethically. Decision-making ability is limited because full disclosure of the financial situation of the company may cause some potential investors not to invest when they actually have invested and some shareholders to sell their shares rather than keeping them.

The junior accountant should consider other reporting possibilities, for example to the audit committee. Lack of reporting is not serving the public interest as the company’s accounts are being incorrectly stated.

Situation B

Kohlberg

The action of the senior auditor appears to be level 3 – post conventional.

The easiest option would be to bow to pressure from the client and not disclose – that is to conform to the actions of what other people expect. In this case disclosure would not be made because the board expect this.
However, the auditor does make disclosure even though there is potential for loss of income from taking that course of action. The auditor makes a decision based on the ethical principles which hopefully everyone follows (although the board does not in this case). In effect the auditor is a whistleblower – there is a strong sense of ethics and those ethics are followed even with the potential for adverse effect.

**Public interest**

The lack of appropriate labelling on the egg packaging is certainly misinforming the public and may be dangerous.

Incorrect information is provided because the eggs may not be free range and they are certainly not certified by a third party. The eggs are therefore being sold under false pretences and the public have a right to know under what conditions the eggs were produced. Furthermore, as free range eggs are sold at a premium price, then the company may also be making a secret profit, which goes against the concept of business probity.

The eggs may be dangerous because the company has had no control over the conditions in which they were produced. The chickens could have been diseased or the eggs transported in incorrect conditions making them harmful to human health.

The senior auditor has, therefore, made the correct decision in reporting the company to the government department, even though there may have been no obligation to report. The interest and safety of the public has to be put before the income of the accountant.
Test your understanding 3

(1) Potential conflict with professional behaviour – audit services observe the same standards, therefore implying that a rival has lower standards suggests that a firm is not complying with professional standards.

(2) The accountant is likely to lose objectivity because errors in the accounts made during preparation may not be identified when those accounts are reviewed.

(3) As the information is likely to be confidential, discussing it in a public place is inappropriate.

(4) The accountant needs to ensure that knowledge of the foreign country’s taxation regime is understood prior to completing the return, otherwise there is the possibility that the appropriate professional skill will not be available.

(5) There is an issue of integrity. The accountant should not allow the report to be released because it is known that the report is incorrect.

Test your understanding 4

Threat A

• Do not offer the inducement!
• If necessary, follow the conflict resolution process of the employer.
• Consider the impact of the financial statements being misrepresented.

Threat B

• Obtaining advice from the employer, professional organisation or professional advisor.
• The employer providing a formal dispute resolution process.
• Legal advice.

Threat C

• Obtaining additional advice/training.
• Negotiating more time for duties.
• Obtaining assistance from someone with relevant expertise.
Ethical decision making

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• apply commonly used ethical decision-making models in accounting and professional contexts: (i) American Accounting Association model and (ii) Tucker’s 5-question model
• explain and analyse the content and nature of ethical decision making using elements of Kohlberg’s framework as appropriate
• explain and analyse issues related to the application of ethical behaviour in a professional context.
1 Ethical decision making

- Ethical decision making models are used in ethics education to provide a framework for ethical decision making.
- The main reference in this section is to the International Accounting Education Standards Board (IAESB) where a framework for ethical decision making is developed (known as the Ethics Education Framework (EEF)) and then applied using two models in the study guide.
The American Accounting Association model provides a series of questions regarding the application of ethics.

The Tucker model provides a brief framework for considering whether or not a decision is ethical.

Refer to the Examiner’s article published in Student Accountant in March 2008 “Ethical decision making”

**American Accounting Association (AAA) model**

The American Accounting Association model provides a framework within which an ethical decision can be made.

The seven questions in the model are:

1. What are the facts of the case?
2. What are the ethical issues in the case?
3. What are the norms, principles and values related to the case?
4. What are the alternative courses of action?
5. What is the best course of action that is consistent with the norms, principles and values identified in step 3?
6. What are the consequences of each possible course of action?
7. What is the decision?

**Tucker’s 5-question model**

Tucker provides a 5-question model against which ethical decisions can be tested. It is therefore used after the AAA model shown above to ensure that the decision reached is ‘correct’. Is the decision:

- Profitable?
- Legal?
- Fair?
- Right?
- Sustainable or environmentally sound?
A global environmental group has entered into an alliance with a refrigerator manufacture. Its actions have led to a bitter internal battle, with many founding members suggesting the organisation had ‘sold out’ to the business world. Others argued that their actions might help save the planet from climate change.

The company in question is FN, a German domestic and industrial refrigerator manufacture that was in receivership, its predicament arising from a lack of investment and chronic inefficiency as an ex-eastern German communist organisation. The environmental group intended to use this company to launch its Chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) -free fast-freeze unit. This revolutionary technology eliminates the emissions associated with refrigeration units that have been blamed for destroying the ozone layer and raising world climate.

The environmental group actively promoted the idea amongst its worldwide membership and, at a press conference three years ago, stated that it had a large number of advance orders from customers willing to sign up to buy the fridges once they were in production. The receiver subsequently conceded and allowed the company to begin trading again, although confidentially he believed the idea was just an attempt by the company to save themselves from receivership, rather than indicating genuine environmental beliefs.

The receiver was not the only one with reservations. Chemical companies that currently supply the CFC chemicals to the industry said the new technology was untried and would not work. Competitor refrigeration manufacturers (some of the largest companies in the world) went a step further and said the proposed fridges amounted to “a potential danger to consumers” and would not consider using the new technology.

Last year the German government signed off the prototype fridges as meeting all product safety requirements. Production began shortly afterwards, and within a year sales exceeded a quarter of a million units. Yesterday, the world’s largest refrigerator manufacture announced it would be switching to the new technology within two years.

**Required:**

Describe the ethical decision making process of FN using Tucker’s model.
2 Stages of ethical decision making

Ethical decision making involves:

- a 4-stage process
- is influenced by individual and situational factors
- can be applied to Kohlberg’s CMD theory (chapter 13) in terms of business decision making.

The four stages of ethical decision making can be summarised as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE OF...</th>
<th>ETHICAL DECISION MAKING</th>
<th>EXAMPLE OF CAR SALESPERSON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recognise moral issue.</td>
<td>Lying about products can increase sales.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make moral judgement.</td>
<td>Realise that lying to customers is wrong.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish moral intent.</td>
<td>Decide to be honest.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage in moral behaviour.</td>
<td>Tell the truth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The model distinguishes between

- knowing what is the correct thing to do (recognising the **moral issue**) and
- the actual action taken (the **moral behaviour** – or lack of it).

So the salesperson could still lie about the cars being sold even though this had been recognised as immoral behaviour.

**Factors influencing the moral decision:**

The actual moral decision taken will depend on:

- **Individual factors**: unique characteristics of the individual making the decision such as age, gender, and experience acquired during life.
• **Situational factors**: particular factors in the decision area that cause an individual to make an ethical or unethical decision.

**Expandable text - Ethical decision making**

**Test your understanding 2**

A manufacturer has discovered that some fizzy drinks accidentally contain harmful additives as a result of an error in production.

**With reference to the ethical decision making model, provide examples of each stage as the company decides on whether to inform customers of this issue.**

### 3 Ethical behaviour

![Ethical behaviour diagram]

Accountants are normally expected to behave ethically. However, that behaviour also depends on:

- the nature of the ethical issue – issue-related factors, and
- the context in which the issue takes place – context-related factors.

**Issue-related factors**

- How important the decision is to the decision maker.
- The higher the intensity, the more likely it is that the decision maker will make an ethical rather than an unethical decision.
Moral intensity

The factors affecting moral intensity are shown below.

**MAGNITUDE OF CONSEQUENCE**
Sum of the harms or benefits impacted by the problem or action.
E.g. financial loss caused by faulty advice.

**PROXIMITY**
The nearness the decision maker feels to people affected by the decision.
E.g. being 'nearer' increases intensity.

**TEMPORAL IMMEDIACY**
How soon the consequences of any effect are likely to occur.
E.g. long time delay lowers intensity.

**SOCIAL CONSENSUS**
Degree to which people agree over the ethics of a problem or action.
E.g. act deemed unethical by others.

**PROBABILITY OF EFFECT**
The likelihood that harms (or benefits) will actually happen.
E.g. higher probability = higher intensity.

Actions with higher intensity are noted for each factor.

**Moral framing**

How that issue is actually represented in the workplace. Where morals are discussed openly then decision making is likely to be more ethical.

- Use of moral words (e.g. integrity, honesty, lying and stealing) will normally provide a framework where decision making is ethical.
- However, many businesses use ‘moral muteness’ which means that morals are rarely discussed so ethical decision making may suffer.
Test your understanding 3

Explain the moral intensity of the following situations.

(1) Your advice to a client regarding tax planning was incorrect, causing the client to lose several thousand dollars.

(2) You read a newspaper report regarding poor working conditions in a remote country which indicates those conditions may cause cancer for 10% of the workers.

(3) You falsify an expenses claim to include lunch for your spouse/partner because this is the normal behaviour for your work group.

Context-related factors

These factors relate to how a particular issue would be viewed within a certain context.

For example:

- If certain behaviours are seen to be rewarded, encouraged, or demanded by superiors despite being ethically dubious, decision making may be affected.
- If everyone in a workplace does something in a certain way, an individual is more likely to conform: this can result in both higher and lower standards of ethical behaviour.

Key contextual factors are:

- system of reward
- authority
- bureaucracy
- work roles
- organisational group norms and culture
- national and cultural context.
4 Chapter summary

ETHICAL DECISION-MAKING

ETHICAL BEHAVIOUR
Influenced by
• Issue related factors (moral intensity and moral framing)
• Context related factors

AAA
• 7 questions

TUCKER
• Profitable
• Legal
• Fair
• Right
• Sustainable
### Tucker’s model

Tucker’s model is a simple decision making framework available to the organisation to use in order to provide a framework for ethical decisions. Ethical decisions tend to go to the heart of the human condition and are therefore often conflicting and difficult to rationalise. For this reason using a framework is often one way of tackling the decision and allowing open discussion among those involved.

#### Profitable

This is the first factor for consideration by the business. Its position as the first issue is due to the fact that the company is owned by shareholders and their primary reason for ownership is usually one of profit making. The company’s position in terms of being in receivership suggests that any financial benefit is welcome. Profits are generated through the competitive advantage of producing a green refrigerator wanted by those in the market place.

#### Legal

This is a general statement regarding the legality of doing something. In this scenario it could be associated with the need to ensure the new product passes all safety trials prior to being released into the market place. This is very relevant since there are many instances where products failing health and safety are manufactured and fed into the market place for profit without consideration of impact.

#### Fair

Fairness suggests an element of equality among stakeholders. This may not feature as a decision making issue although one possible interpretation is the fairness of a communist company driven into receivership by the impact of the free market, returning to dominate the same market through an innovative product. This fairness would be tinged with natural justice or even revenge as a fair motivational influence.

#### Right

Fairness and whether an action is right seem similar. Right relates to a moral standard beyond the legal standard. It would not be right to lie about the ability of the fridge to reduce emissions if it simply did not. Although this would be very profitable, may be undetectable by law, fair in the sense of protecting jobs, it is not right in a moral sense.
**Sustainable or environmentally sound**

Clearly the product is designed to meet environmental needs as a basis for its competitive advantage.

---

**Test your understanding 2**

**Recognise moral issue:** Not providing the information may have an adverse effect on the company’s sales.

**Make moral judgement:** Realise that lying by default (not providing the information) is wrong and that customers could be harmed by consuming the drink.

**Establish moral intent:** Decide to make the information on the production error known.

**Engage in moral behaviour:** Inform customers of the production error and recall the drinks.

---

**Test your understanding 3**

1. While the magnitude of loss is not high overall, it does affect only one person to whom you are quite close – the moral intensity is likely to be high.

2. Given that the situation is neither proximate (some distance away) nor immediate (the affect of the action will not be felt for some years), the moral intensity will be low.

3. As the act is deemed ‘ethical’ then the intensity is likely to be low. The fact that you are unlikely to be caught (low probability of effect) confirms this assessment.
Social and environmental issues

Chapter learning objectives

Upon completion of this chapter you will be able to:

• describe and assess the social and environmental effects that economic activity can have (in terms of social and environmental ‘footprints’)
• explain and assess the concept of sustainability and evaluate the issues concerning accounting for sustainability (including the contribution of ‘full cost’ accounting)
• describe the main features of internal management systems for underpinning environmental accounting such as the Eco-Management and Audit Scheme (EMAS) and ISO 14000
• explain the nature of social and environmental audit and evaluate the contribution it can make to the development of environmental accounting.
There are a number of different environmental and social effects which should be considered when examining economic activity.

- Economic activity is only sustainable where its impact on society and the environment is also sustainable.

(Sustainability is discussed in the next section)
Environmental footprint

In the same way that humans and animals leave physical footprints that show where they have been, so organisations leave evidence of their operations in the environment. They operate at a net cost to the environment.

- The environmental footprint is an attempt to evaluate the size of a company’s impact on the environment in three respects:
  - The company’s resource consumption.
  - Any harm to the environment brought about by pollution emissions.
  - A measurement of the resource consumption and pollution emissions in terms of harm to the environment in either qualitative, quantitative or replacement terms.

- Where resource use exceeds provision, then the activity can be termed unsustainable.

Illustration 1 – Environmental footprint

The environmental footprint

An economic activity may require 15 million gallons of water. If the organisation’s share of available fresh water is less than this, then the activity can be termed unsustainable. Using the quotients approach, this can be shown as follows:

\[
\text{USE OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE} = \text{RESULTS > 1 UNSUSTAINABLE}
\]

\[
\text{PRODUCTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE}
\]

\[
\text{WATER USE = 15 MILLION GALLONS} = 1.5, \text{ e.g. UNSUSTAINABLE}
\]

\[
\text{WATER PRODUCTION = 10 MILLION GALLONS}
\]

However, the environmental footprint extends to more than just water use, e.g. the production of laundry detergent has various environmental impacts:
### Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production of detergent.</th>
<th><strong>Environmental footprint – and how to decrease it</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use of chemicals within the product:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• improving the chemical formula to decrease the amount of chemicals used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• manufacturing the product in fewer locations to obtain manufacturing economies and reduce emissions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation from manufacturing plant to consumer.</th>
<th>Energy consumed moving the product:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• manufacturing the product in fewer locations but using better logistical networks to distribute the product.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Packaging for the product.</th>
<th>Type and amount of material used in packaging:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• using cardboard rather than plastic focuses packaging on renewable resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• decreasing the weight of packaging lessens resource use and transportation costs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Social footprint

The social footprint evaluates sustainability in three areas of capital:

- social capital
- human capital
- constructed capital.

Organisations need to ensure that their economic activities are sustainable in each of these three areas.

### Expandable text - Social footprint

### Test your understanding 1

Suggest ways in which an airline could seek to limit its environmental footprint.
2 Sustainability

**Sustainable development** is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (WCED 1987).

**Sustainability** can be thought of as an attempt to provide the best outcomes for the human and natural environments both now and into the indefinite future.

- It relates to the continuity of economic, social, institutional and environmental aspects of human society, as well as the non-human environment.

**Significance of sustainability**

- Sustainability affects every level of organisation, from the local neighborhood to the entire planet.
- It is the long-term maintenance of systems according to environmental, economic and social considerations.
- Sustainability can be measured empirically (using quotients) or subjectively.

**Illustration 2 – Rio Tinto**

Rio Tinto is one of the world’s largest mining corporations with operations spanning the globe. Its products include aluminium, copper and iron ore. One example of the size of their operations relates to iron ore extraction in Guinea which is forecast to exceed 600 million tonnes of iron ore per year in the near future.

To combat criticism relating to the depletion of non-renewable resources and the inevitable environmental and social impact its operations incur, the company has fought hard to improve its position regarding sustainable development.
In 2007 Rio Tinto was listed on the FTSE4Good and Dow Jones Sustainability index, achieving platinum rating on the Business in the Community’s Corporate Responsibility, Environment and Community indexes.

Its environmental goals include a 10% reduction in freshwater usage and a 4% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions within a five year period and the need to ensure all sites achieve ISO14001 certification within 2 years of acquisition or commissioning.

3 Accounting for sustainability

Two methods which attempt to account for sustainability are ‘full cost’ and ‘triple bottom line’ accounting.

Full cost accounting

• Full cost accounting means calculating the total cost of company activities, including environmental, economic and social costs.

• It attempts to include all the costs of an action, decision or manufacture of a product into a costing system, and as such will include many non-financial costs of certain actions.

• The aim of full cost accounting is to internalise all costs even those which are incurred outside of the company.
**Triple Bottom Line (TBL) accounting**

- TBL accounting means expanding the traditional company reporting framework to take into account environmental and social performance in addition to financial (economic) performance.
- The concept is also explained using the triple 'P' headings of *People, Planet and Profit*.

---

**Test your understanding 2**

Explain whether the growth in air travel is sustainable in terms of the TBL in areas of:

A  Economic sustainability.
B  Environmental sustainability.
C  Social sustainability.

---

**4 Management systems**

- **EMAS**
  - Focus on disclosure of environmental systems.
- **ISO 14000**
  - Focus on compliance with internal environmental systems.

Environmental accounting relates to the need to establish and maintain systems for assessing the organisation’s impact on the environment.

EMAS and ISO 14000 are both systems that support the establishment and maintenance of environmental accounting systems.

Many companies refer to the standards in their CSR reports.
Eco-Management and Audit Scheme (EMAS)

- EMAS is the Eco-Management and Audit Scheme. It is a voluntary initiative designed to improve companies’ environmental performance.
- EMAS requires participating organisations to regularly produce a public environmental statement that reports on their environmental performance.
- Accuracy and reliability is independently checked by an environmental verifier to give credibility and recognition to that information.
- EMAS requires participating organisations to implement an environmental management system (EMS).
- There are four key elements of the scheme:
  - Legal requirement
  - Dialogue/reporting
  - Improved environmental performance
  - Employee involvement.

ISO14000

- ISO14000 is a series of standards dealing with environmental management and a supporting audit programme.
- The ISO formulates the specifications for an EMS.
- EMAS compliance is based on ISO 14000 recognition – although many organisations comply with both standards.
- ISO 14000 focuses on internal systems although it also provides assurance to stakeholders of good environmental management.
- To gain accreditation an organisation must meet a number of requirements regarding its environmental management.
5 Social and environmental audit

Social auditing

- A process that enables an organisation to assess and demonstrate its social, economic, and environmental benefits and limitations.
- Also measures the extent to which an organisation achieves the shared values and objectives set out in its mission statement.
- Provides the process for environmental auditing.

Elements of a social audit
Environmental auditing

• Aims to assess the impact of the organisation on the environment.
• Normally involves the implementation of appropriate environmental standards such as ISO 14001 and EMAS.
• Provides the raw data for environmental accounting.
• An environmental audit typically contains three elements:
  – agreed metrics (what should be measured and how)
  – performance measured against those metrics
  – reporting on the levels of compliance or variance.

Refer to the Examiner’s article published in Student Accountant in March 2009 “Risk and Environmental Auditing”

Environmental accounting

• This is the development of an environmental accounting system to support the integration of environmental performance measures.
• It builds on social and environmental auditing by providing empirical evidence of the achievement of social and environmental objectives.
• Without social and environmental auditing, environmental accounting would not be possible.

The aims of environmental accounting are:

• to use the metrics produced from an environmental audit and incorporate these into an environmental report, and
• to integrate environmental performance measures into core financial processes to generate cost savings and reduce environmental impact through improved management of resources.
6 Chapter summary

**SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES**

- **TOOTPRINTS**
  - Attempt to evaluate the size of a company’s impact on the environment, and on a wider concept of social, human and constructed capital

- **SUSTAINABILITY**
  - Sustainable development meets the needs of the present without compromising future generations

- **TBL (“FULL COST”) ACCOUNTING**
  - Full cost accounting: calculating the total cost of company activities
  - Aims to internalise all costs
  - TBL considers People, Plant and Profit

- **ENVIRONMENTAL ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS**
  - **EMAS**
    - Eco Management and Audit Scheme
    - Focuses on quality of environmental reporting
  - **ISO 14000**
    - Standards dealing with environmental management
    - Focuses on internal systems

- **SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITS**
  - Social auditing: process enabling an organisation to assess its social impact
  - Environmental auditing: assess impact on the environment
Test your understanding answers

Test your understanding 1

• Discuss more efficient engine design with manufacturers.
• Provide information to customers on the environmental impact of air travel.
• Limit the amount of baggage customers are allowed to carry – and impose surcharges for amounts over this limit.

Test your understanding 2

A Economic sustainability

• There are limits to growth as air travel currently depends on the use of non-renewable resources (primarily oil).
• In the short-term airline companies are stable due to demand for air travel.
• In the long-term airline companies may not be sustainable as air travel in its current form cannot be provided indefinitely.

B Environmental sustainability

• Air travel does not appear to be sustainable due to damage to the environment (carbon dioxide emissions).
• As noted above, air travel also uses non-renewable resources.
• Damage to the environment may continue, as long-term effects take longer to be noticed.

C Social sustainability

• Air travel can change communities because it provides cheap and quick methods of moving people around the world. Individual communities find it more difficult to be ‘isolated’ or unchanged by other social systems.
• While appearing ‘cheap’, air travel is still expensive for poorer communities. In social terms it accentuates the difference between richer countries (where ‘cheap’ air travel is affordable) and poorer countries (where air travel is still ‘expensive’).
Questions & Answers
1 Theory of governance

RTY company

Question

A  The RTY company has a board of eight directors. Ten senior managers are responsible for different departments in the company, including establishing appropriate internal control systems. Each senior manager provides a report to the board on a quarterly basis explaining the performance of their department. An internal audit department monitors the internal control systems and reviews the senior managers’ reports. The chief internal auditor then provides a separate report to the board on the work carried out by the internal audit department.

Required

Using examples from the RTY company, explain the key concepts of agency theory.

(8 marks)

B  In a recent board meeting, the chairman of RTY commented that too much attention was being given to satisfying the interests of the community and the environment – he reminded the board members that the only aim of the RTY Company was to provide for the needs of the shareholders. The other so-called ‘stakeholders’ were important to the company, but only insofar as RTY needed those stakeholders, RTY could affect the stakeholders; they could not affect or be allowed to affect RTY.

Required

Explain the concept of ‘stakeholder theory’ and discuss whether the chairman’s views are correct in the context of this theory. Include in your answer examples of stakeholders of the RTY Company.

(8 marks)
C Explain the concept of transaction cost theory and the factors affecting the external costs.

(9 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

OPC

Question

Last year, Oddimental Petroleum Company (OPC) informed shareholders that the company intended to spend $50 million to build a museum in order to house the art collection of OPC’s billionaire founder, CEO and chairman Dr Arthur Clubman. Furthermore, $250,000 would be spent on his biography.

The company will construct the museum with a 30 year rent free entitlement culminating in an option for the museum to buy the building outright for cost price of $50 million. The board believes that this would cement the goodwill OPC gained through its continuing association with the Clubman Foundation (a charitable trust). Further favourable tax treatment exists for charitable donations and shareholders would benefit from increased brand recognition and perceptions of social responsibility.

As far as the biography was concerned, the company would receive its money back from sales proceeds and any profits would be forwarded to the museum’s fund.

Since the announcement, critics have suggested that the real cost of the museum is likely to be nearer $100 million, and that its content is widely considered to be low quality art. Further, the State museum originally promised the art is resentful of Dr Clubman’s decision to renge on the deal and create his own facility. Tax benefits are also in question since general advice is that, to be allowable, they should not exceed 10% of revenue (currently $300 million).

Although in poor health, Dr Clubman is still active as CEO. The board of directors were selected from those within and outside the company, all having close associations with the founder. The average board age is 73. Unusually, it would appear that any press releases relating to the deterioration of Dr Clubman’s condition are met with a sharp increase in share price.

Construction of the museum has already begun even though the special committee of non-executive directors drawn to consider the proposal (at the request of shareholders) have not formally approved it.
Required

A Examine the importance of governance from a corporate and stakeholder perspective, with particular reference to OPC.  
(13 marks)

B Explain what is meant by independence, fairness and accountability and assess their importance as underlying principles of corporate governance. Refer to the case of OPC where necessary.  
(12 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

2 Development of corporate governance

There are no questions for this chapter.

3 The board of directors

NEDs

Question

A Explain the purpose of a two-tier board and discuss the advantages and disadvantages of this type of board.  
(13 marks)

B Explain the purpose of non-executive directors and discuss the advantages and disadvantages of NEDs in a listed company based on the unitary board structure.  
(12 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)
Charlie Bacon, the CEO, was satisfied he had beaten off the threat to his board of directors. A group of institutional investors had put up their own external candidate for election to the board at the next AGM. If successful they would have a voice on the inside at board meetings.

Board elections were staggered with one third being re-elected each year. In response to the threat, Mr Bacon simply shrunk the board by sacking three board members, reducing the total from nine to six. All those dismissed were up for re-election and so the crisis was averted. Next year may prove more difficult but with 25% of shares being owned by employees, and the board refusing to cede to the shareholder request for confidential voting on board elections, he was sure no member of staff would dare to take anything other than the board’s position.

The business was experiencing difficult times. It had failed to deliver its forecast returns to shareholders for the tenth year straight, its credit rating had been reduced and last month’s Fortune magazine ranked the firm 487 out of 500. These were tough times for the country’s oldest and (now second) largest retailer.

The board of directors reflected the company’s image, being steady and reliable. In clothing retail this had helped the company survive for over 100 years, until low cost retailers and fast-moving fashion retailers had entered the market. Now the retail sector was making huge losses, tied to main street real estate sites that were expensive to maintain, inflexible and unpopular in relation to out of town malls (shopping centres). In order to avoid breaking up his empire, Mr Bacon had transferred profits from the successful financial services division that provided credit and banking services to its retail customers.

Mr Bacon, along with the other board members, had long-standing personal and family relationships with the company. They all understood the need for change and a new direction but saw no need to move on another shareholder request, that of regular board performance evaluation.
Required

A. Identify and evaluate four governance issues raised in this scenario.
   
(15 marks)

B. Discuss the reasons why a board of directors should evaluate its own performance.
   
(10 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

4 Directors' remuneration

BB Company

Question

BB Company operates within the fashion industry. Pay is such a contentious issue within the organisation that the CEO and one other director, both of whom are leading fashion designers and greatly influential in the company’s early successes, have resigned and gone to competitors. In his closing address the CEO made no mention of how his new company would double his personal compensation, but it is generally recognised by the remuneration committee that pay was at the heart of his reason for leaving.

The committee consists of five non-executive directors and is chaired by a fiercely independent (pro shareholder) chairman. He has stated that recent corporate results do not warrant increases in basic salary for directors (the sole element of their remuneration package). Further, he points to the outgoing CEO’s decisions to award his top managers large rises that put them close to directorial salaries. The chairman has commented that such awards must stop until performance improves.

The only group who do not appear to be concerned over this issue are the non-executive directors. In compliance with the chairman’s wishes they have awarded themselves above market salary increases. The chairman believes this is important to retain their expertise within the company, especially since the same directors sit with the chairman on the nomination committee and form the majority on the board.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>In a report to the chairman, discuss the governance issues raised in the scenario, their likely impact on the company and recommendations for improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(15 marks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>Consider the key components of a reward package and discuss how they would apply in this organisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10 marks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Total: 25 marks)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Relations with shareholders and disclosure

Question

Independence has just been granted to the South Pacific Island of New Thistle. Over a thousand miles away the CEO of DEF, a huge metals and mining group is considering the impact. The group was sold off by the national government 10 years ago, the government retaining a 55% share. Now, having granted the island independence, DEF’s national government has given away the company’s ownership rights to a local nickel mine in order to appease the newly independent islanders.

The CEO and minority shareholders are unhappy with this action. Minority shareholders are drafting resolutions and appeals on a daily basis.

DEF had, for many years, been dominated by the CEO, a legendary figure in the mining industry, who had worked for the company for most of his life. The board of directors never queried, disagreed or voted against his wishes and were all hand picked by the CEO for their loyalty to him.

The same minority shareholders, led by a powerful pension fund that owned a considerable stake in the company, had recently voiced their concerns over the lack of truly independent non-executive directors (most were business associates of the CEO, none representing stakeholders such as environmental groups) and the lack of remuneration, nomination and audit committees in board operations.

When approached over these issues the CEO was dismissive. He pointed out that he understood the company better than anyone else and therefore he ultimately decided who worked within his organisation. His view was that non-executive directors beyond those already employed and the use of committees seemed unnecessary ‘window dressing’ for shareholders. Further, the loss of the mine would mean a need to rationalise costs, not incur additional overheads in such committees.
Required

A  Briefly describe what is meant by independence and evaluate the CEO’s comments about independence at DEF.

(10 marks)

B  Discuss the objectives of a nomination committee should one be created at DEF.

(8 marks)

C  Describe the actions available to the minority shareholders in relation to their grievances against DEF.

(7 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

Corky Candy

Question

Corky Candy, a confectionary manufacturer, was founded by a benevolent man who started the company in order to keep the local town’s population in work during the depression of the 1930’s. He practiced “welfare capitalism”, pioneering occupational safety, employee benefits and many charitable community projects. One of these, the Corky Foundation, an institution whose mission is to educate and support orphans, was given a trust that today accounts for 58% of company shares and is worth $5.9 billion.

The board of directors of the company and the trust managers have always had a close relationship based on the highest principles of integrity and social responsibility. This is evidenced through the minimum disclosure requirement placed on the company for annual reporting and the informal nature of its AGM.

Recently, the trust has become concerned over its risk exposure. Nationally the sale of confectionary goods has dropped in line with increased awareness of childhood obesity. In response the trust wishes to diversify its portfolio and sell off a large batch of shares into the market place. This would mean that the firm would fall into the hands of outside shareholders for the first time in its history, since they inevitably would hold the majority of shares.
The impact of such a move could have a disastrous effect on the local population, many of whom work for the company. In addition it has roused the board of directors into frantic action to dissuade the trust from selling.

If the sale is successful, the CEO/chairman knows that the level of disclosure will have to increase even though he is unconvinced of the merits of increased voluntary disclosure. He is also concerned about his own role in dealing with large shareholder groups and their potential impact on the organisation.

**Required**

A Discuss the broad content of disclosure in the annual accounts according to general code principles.

(8 marks)

B Describe other forms of dialogue that will support stakeholder communication.

(5 marks)

C Advise the CEO/chairman as to the importance of extending disclosure beyond mandatory levels.

(6 marks)

D Discuss forms of shareholder activism that may impact on this company.

(6 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

### 6 Accountability, audit and controls in corporate governance

There are no questions for this chapter.
7 Corporate governance approaches

**Car manufacturers**

**Question**

The merger between Crystal Cars, the US auto giant, and Mannermenz, the German luxury car king showed sound industrial logic. The combined business could compete more effectively in an increasingly global market place. The challenge was in how to effectively blend the rigid, technically sophisticated German culture with the American mass market orientation and flair.

Early indicators were not positive. The combined company was incorporated under German law and therefore based in Germany. On attending the first board meeting, Jim Black, the Crystal CEO, and largest shareholder, noted the inclusion of employee representatives as board members. He also found it difficult to understand why the three board members representing German banks (majority Mannermenz shareholders) discussed long-term, stable development and corporate citizenship. Mr Black, an 80 year old billionaire, demanded the company focus on maximising immediate shareholder returns, since shareholders would expect this in return for supporting the merger.

It became evident that the German banks were very influential and involved in business decision making, offering access to low cost finance in return. Mr Black was informed that this was common in the German model of capitalism.

Shortly after the first board meeting Crystal’s domestic shareholders received the company’s first annual report. Disclosure was at an absolute minimum and far below that expected in the US. Amongst other missing items, there was no detail on directors’ remuneration. Remuneration was itself a contentious issue with Crystal directors receiving 10 times more than their German counterparts. The bad news for the US directors was that stock options are not recognised under German law. This issue has still to be resolved. US shareholders also missed the opportunity to vote on company resolutions at the AGM since electronic voting was not allowed.

When the merger was completed approximately 44% of the company was in US hands. Six months later the US shareholding had fallen to below 25%.
Required

A  Briefly describe the governance structure at Mannernenz and the benefits accruing to the German organisation of this form of governance.

(12 marks)

B  Briefly describe the governance structure of Crystal Cars and why shareholders may have left the merged organisation.

(13 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

Osarus

Question

Osarus is a TV cable company that currently enjoys serving 5.7 million customers. It is also a relatively rare hybrid in the US market being a publicly owned company whose economic interest is owned by thousands of shareholders, but whose management interests are controlled almost entirely by the founding family. The corporation has a dual class voting structure. The Reid family owns an 11% economic interest but controls 56% of the votes.

John Reid is the founding father and chairman of the organisation. His son, Tom is the CFO and chairs all major board committees including the audit committee. Other family members hold 5 of the 11 board positions, with family friends and business associates taking up the remaining seats.

John Reid has always been known as a risk taker, and the company’s current debt (11 times market capitalisation) is significantly above that of its nearest competitor (0.5 times). Servicing this debt is a major task not helped by sustained investor pressure to reduce the company’s leverage burden. Calls from financial analysts querying the integrity of recent accounts are routinely ignored or passed onto the firm’s longstanding local auditors. These auditors signed off this year’s accounts without issue.
There are routine transfers of cash between the organisation and the founding family. Osarus uses funds to help support other family businesses, one of which, run by Tom Reid, is in serious financial difficulty. Other multi million dollar transactions have financed the purchase of a professional league hockey team and the creation of a prestigious golf club on family owned real estate.

The company’s head office, which includes all strategic planning and accounting functions, is located next to the family ranch on the outskirts of a small American town. The Reid family have substantial interests throughout the local community and are very active in local charity work such as using the company jet to carry sick children to hospital. Many of the firm’s head office staff are drawn from the local population.

Required

A Evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of a family owned governance structure and offer advice as to how the family may improve its governance position.

(15 marks)

B Discuss four areas in which Osarus may have difficulty complying with SOX legislation.

(10 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

8 Corporate social responsibility and corporate governance

Geko Oil

Question

The share price at Geko Oil is generally considered to be half its true value, with no signs of improvement. The problems relate to governance and social responsibility.

Geko is dominated by two major shareholders, both of whom own 25% of its shares and each of which has three non-executive directors on the board. One of the shareholders is the US oil company, Armarda, who rely on Geko to support oil exploration activities within the CAX Sea. Returns from these fields have been poor in recent years.
The second partner is a national oil company operating in a country under military dictatorship. Summary arrest, forced labour and torture are common in the country since the military ruler refused to accept the results of a democratic election three years ago.

Minority shareholders, such as a prominent Trade Union Pension Fund, are deeply concerned about Geko’s involvement in this country and wish it to withdraw immediately. Both Armarda and the national oil company have refused, saying it is not in their best interests to lose Geko as a partner. Armarda has gone further and stated that its investment in Geko should be considered as “ring fenced” outside of the human rights issue and that its directors on Geko’s board do not participate in any decisions relating to exploration in the country under dictatorship.

In its defence, Geko has pointed to the good works carried out in the country including building schools, assisting in AIDS awareness campaigns and environmental remediation. As an NGO, Amnesty International has denounced these measures and have campaigned outside corporate HQ and on news TV programmes for the company’s immediate withdrawal from the region.

Finally, another shareholder, a large US investment fund manager, has said that, outside of any moral issues, the company is underperforming strategically since it is too large to be a “fleet of foot” exploration company and too small to challenge the world’s largest oil corporations.

**Required**

A  Examine reasons why Geko Oil should consider social responsibility as a key corporate issue.

(8 marks)

B  Describe a process through which Geko might define its strategy in meeting the needs of differing stakeholder groups identified in the scenario. Recommend two appropriate strategies for change in response to the concerns that have been raised.

(17 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)
Mikhail Gavrikov is currently celebrating the sale of his stake in SOC, one of the largest oil companies in Russia. The company was created by Presidential decree in 1995 to coordinate exploration across the vast Siberian oil fields. Gavrikov was offered his shareholding by the President himself for $100 million. Now, ten years later, he has sold it for $15 billion.

The difference in price is not due to growth and prosperity in SOC operations. In fact, the company has changed very little over the past ten years. Its core businesses are oil and gas exploration, production, refining and marketing. Each element in this vertically integrated process is dealt with by a separate division, from gathering seismic data across the frozen wastes of Siberia to filling the pumps at petrol stations.

Refining is carried out at the Omsk facility. This was created in 1955 and, although still operational, breakdowns and failure within the plant are common leading to lost revenues, widespread environmental damage and empty tanks at the filling stations.

The prospective change in ownership has led the board to reflect on the company’s future and financing in the medium- to long-term. In line with many Russian companies SOC is keen to seek foreign capital from the markets of the west. It knows that to compete in the future it will need to have access to a wider shareholder base rather than high cost local sources of finance. This year’s annual report to existing shareholders is only 30 pages in length and contains nothing but state required disclosure. The board know this will need to change in the future.

In particular, they have been advised that an important requirement of many governance codes is the need to certify that internal control systems have been reviewed and found to be satisfactory. It has also been suggested that foreign investors are very sceptical about the company’s ability to demonstrate any concern over the broader agenda in areas such as corporate and social responsibility.

**Required**

A. Explain why the need to report on internal controls is important to this company.

(8 marks)
**B** Explain the rationale behind broadening the reporting agenda into Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).

(7 marks)

**C** Describe five sections or elements for inclusion in an improved annual report.

(10 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

**9 Internal control systems**

**TYU company**

**Question**

The TYU company produces electronic goods such as televisions, radios and DVD recorders. The company has a large research and development department, and has an excellent reputation regarding the quality of its products. TYU is also seen as an innovator, and generally releases product enhancements in advance of any competitor.

Some non-executive members of the board have the view that success has made the company slightly complacent regarding environmental monitoring. This view was confirmed recently when a competitor released a new DVD recorder with internet capabilities. Owners of the recorder were therefore able to program the recorder to record specific television programmes using their office PC or even their mobile phone. This was seen as a significant benefit, especially when the owner was going to return home late and did not want to miss a favourite program. The product took TYU by surprise causing some expedited research into upgrading its own DVD recorders.

Fortunately, the board continued to receive weekly reports from the R&D department and a revised strategy on product development to mitigate losses was implemented. The only minor concern, again from the non-executive directors, was the haste of the product development and the use of a dangerous substance in the recorder’s built in battery. If the recorder was damaged, then it was possible for this substance to generate toxic fumes on contact with carbon dioxide in the air. No information on this substance was provided in the product information for the recorder.
**Required**

**A** Explain the relationship between corporate governance and risk management. Discuss the extent to which risk management is included within codes of corporate governance.

(13 marks)

**B** Discuss whether TYU is achieving the benefits of a good internal control system.

(12 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

---

**Information**

**Question**

**A** Explain the characteristics of strategic information and discuss how they apply to establishing a risk management policy in an organisation.

(16 marks)

**B** Discuss the responsibilities of the board of a listed company with regards to internal control and risk management systems, explaining in general terms the source and content of information to fulfil those responsibilities.

(9 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)
Innovative Life Technology (ILT) is a successful market leader in biotechnology. Its mission is to facilitate scientific advancement and protect the public through testing new chemicals and drugs prior to their release into the market place. Its major customers are pharmaceutical companies and government sponsors. Much of its work involves the use of animals for testing programmes and this has led to violent protests from animal rights activists, and a subsequent focus on security and secrecy in company operations.

Last summer, an employee secretly filmed conditions within the company’s testing facility. The harrowing documentary was released on national television and showed cruelty and distress to ILT’s animal charges to a level unacceptable to the general population. The government threatened to revoke the company’s licence if conditions did not dramatically improve. Another response came from the pharmaceutical companies who immediately suspended their contracts. Shareholders also left in large numbers and share price dropped from 117p to 9p almost overnight. Three non-executive directors resigned stating that they felt the company lacked integrity and an acceptable ethical stance.

These events have put enormous pressure on existing project teams to complete their testing activities and deliver positive results for the few clients that remain. Large team bonuses are awarded on the successful final signing off of projects.

As a control activity project teams regularly review each other’s results for accuracy and completeness. These review meetings are usually dull affairs with auditing teams reluctant to criticise their colleagues work, especially given the current tense climate in the organisation. The board of directors are only involved in receiving final project results. They are too preoccupied with public relations and finding replacement funding and contracts to consider results prior to this time.

Last week, a US drug company reported its recall of a recently launched headache tablet that had unforeseen side effects when taken by older citizens. During its development, ILT tested the drug and attested to its compliance with all required health and safety standards.

**Required**

A. Describe the components of an effective system of internal control and identify the failings in internal control within ILT.

*(15 marks)*
Advise the board as to the objectives of internal control at ILT.

(10 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

B

**CC**

**Question**

A film had just won the prestigious Palme D’or award for best documentary at the Cannes film festival. This was an unpleasant fact for the audit committee of CC, the world’s third largest supermarket retailer, since the film was a thorough exposé of the company’s policies and trading practices.

In it, the film’s producer details the company’s anti-union stance and provides evidence of employees being forced to work under insufferable strain for no reward. There are also glimpses into factories sited in poorer countries where the company’s clothing ranges are produced. The film alleges that human rights abuses are common in these facilities. The long list of misdemeanours concludes with shots of desolate communities in the company’s own country where the impact of their out-of-town mega markets has been the eradication of local competition.

The audit committee believe that much of the film is fabrication. There are appropriate head office human resources (HR) policies and guidelines for all retail managers to follow in labour relations so that unethical practices need not occur. In addition, local agents with close relations to suppliers are employed in all countries from which its products are sourced in order to monitor working conditions. Finally, stores cannot be built without local government consent and accompanying large tax incentives for company relocation to any given community. This consent therefore constitutes the will of the people in these areas.

CC is one of the country’s biggest employers and still majority owned by the founding family. They are outraged by the film’s content and have demanded action. In response, the audit committee have highlighted the importance of the annual report as a form of communication and the need for CC to expand its currently limited disclosure in order to provide minority shareholders and the general investing public with a truer picture of corporate operations. The family, some of whom are executive directors, remain unconvinced, being more inclined to seek legal action in preventing the widespread release of the film.
Required

A  Describe the role of the audit committee in relation to internal control and recommend three strategies for improvement in internal control in CC.

(15 marks)

B  Discuss issues that CC should consider when determining the content of improved disclosure.

(10 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

BJZ

Question

The Arctic National Wildlife Refuge in Alaska extends across 19 million acres, consisting of protected wilderness that prohibits even road building. It is the largest unexplored, potentially productive, on-shore petroleum producing basin in the world. Despite widespread condemnation from environmental protection groups, BJZ (an oil company) was given licence to drill there 15 years ago.

The costs of exploration are enormous. There are frequent budget overruns on developing the oil field and there have also been some instances of loss of life among employees. There is also a need to rely heavily on specialist contractors drafted in from around the world who have expertise in dealing with the harsh winter conditions. One such contractor is responsible for maintaining the ageing pipelines.

Recently disaster struck when a corroded pipeline erupted spilling 4,000 gallons of crude oil onto the land, ravaging local caribou herds. A subsequent investigation carried out at the insistence of the board found a number of operational weaknesses. Local site managers had overridden maintenance schedules in order to avoid placing employees at risk during winter months, and there is evidence to suggest collusion between at least one manager and the contractor where maintenance records have been falsified.
At the next AGM the board’s attempt at damage limitation incensed a number of shareholders. Despite repeated requests for an apology to be made to indigenous peoples and species, the board remained adamant that responsibility rested with the contractor. In a rare show of shareholder activism, 13% voted for the company to cancel its Alaskan adventure and turn its attention to developing renewable energy sources.

Outside of the forum, environmental protection groups ran a successful campaign for customers to boycott the company’s petrol pumps as a sign of protest. Petrol station property has also been vandalised and the board has been forced to convene an emergency meeting to discuss what to do next.

Required

A  Discuss reasons why internal control may have failed at BJZ.  
(10 marks)

B  Briefly consider the role of the board of directors in relation to internal control and describe a process for managing internal control at board level.  
(15 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)
Due to the decline in the use of its product, the company has been forced to diversify into other fields of engineering such as stadium construction, gas exploration and even corporate building management. These changes have strained its already depleted internal audit function which, in line with all divisions, has reduced staff numbers dramatically in recent years. Overall, the company exists with less than half the workforce that it had during its peak twenty years ago, due to poor performance and to the increased use of technology.

The upside of this reduction has been to free up the need to support a large pension fund for employees that was established and fully funded during happier times. The CFO has been using the reduced need for funds to prop up trading results, transferring millions to the income statement as “Other income”. The audit committee has just been made aware of this through an anonymous whistleblower in internal audit. They will soon meet with the full board to discuss this unacceptable accounting treatment.

The company continues to use its old policy of cost-plus accounting for major governmental construction projects. This involves the customer paying the eventual costs in full plus a percentage for profit. Critics suggest this leads to a lack of control over costs, no incentive to innovate and improve construction processes and is one reason why the company continues to lose tenders for large projects. The board is unmoved. Most have been with the company for over 30 years and see no need for change despite the sharp decline in share price. Board members own very little, if any, of the company’s stock.

The internal audit committee is currently considering the role of internal audit prior to its meeting with the board.

**Required**

A  Examine reasons for the increasing importance of internal audit at RSJ.  

(7 marks)

B  Explain the differing types of work that internal audit could undertake within this company.  

(10 marks)

C  Describe four objectives of internal audit.  

(8 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)
DD Entertainment

Question

DD Entertainment Inc is the largest casino operator in the world. Their assets include 48 gambling facilities spread across four continents. The company’s strategy has remained relatively constant over the years, creating luxury venues in difficult terrain such as Indian lands and mountain resorts, as well as using river boats on major tributaries in the US and Europe.

Each new multi million dollar construction project is financed from a combination of cash flow and external finance in an industry that is notoriously highly geared (debt ridden). This creates instability due to the fluctuating fortunes of casino operations, leading to operating conditions coloured by periodic takeovers from competitors and private equity firms/venture capitalists.

Today, amongst many other achievements, the company is proud of the extent to which technology has been used to extend and enhance the entertainment experience for its customers. Gambling (like the games console industry) needs to keep innovating through technology to offer customers something new.

The board of directors at DD Entertainment recognise the importance of diversification as a risk reduction technique and, for this reason, have invested heavily in other venues across the world. One issue raised through this has been exposure to unfamiliar political systems, with varying degrees of government interest in their operations. In addition cultural diversity is significant; where the brash showmanship of a large US gambling corporation is not always fully appreciated outside their customer base.

The board of directors is currently reviewing risk management as part of the preparation of their 10K annual report (compulsory in the US under SOX). As a member of the management team, you have been asked to provide a discussion paper to assist in their deliberations.

Required

A Identify the risks that DD Entertainment is exposed to, and explain how these risks can be assessed through examples.

(15 marks)
Discuss the importance of risk management to this organisation.

(10 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

Mineco

Question

Mineco employs 125,000 people in its global operation to extract valuable minerals from the earth. It either owns or owns a share in over 100 projects from South America to South Africa and the Arctic to Australasia, mining for diamonds, gold and platinum as well as base metals such as copper and ferrous metals such as iron ore.

Mining is a risky business. The current annual report pays tribute to the 50 people who lost their lives last year whilst in the company’s employ or working for contractors on site. Open- and deep-bore mining raises many technical difficulties which may mean that sites are eventually abandoned without any mineral extraction taking place. Events (weather, fire, explosions) are amongst a list of issues reported by directors alongside the company’s $10 billion operating profit.

Extraction is only the first step in the process of bringing the product to the market. Most substantial mineral seams are in less developed countries. Often road infrastructures are poorly maintained by governments who themselves have a keen interest in the wealth being taken from their land. Royalty payments and other taxes both erode available shareholder returns.

Pricing the product is also far from easy in the turbulent global financial markets. Supply from the mines and demand from construction companies and wealthy high street shoppers can fluctuate dramatically. In common with all companies of this size, Mineco’s accounting function attempt to deal with the implications of this threat to shareholder investment.

The global growth in sustainability and corporate social responsibility is something the company is acutely aware of. Mining is a dirty business. Dust and noise pollution, community displacement/removal, river contamination and decommissioning costs when extraction is completed are all real concerns that must be addressed. A recent advertising campaign for one of the company’s products stated “diamonds are forever”. Environmental campaigners have used this to point out to the public that the world’s stock of minerals is not limitless and that mining involves the depletion of a non-replaceable resource for profit.
Required

A Examine risk assessment as a process using four risks from the scenario to illustrate issues raised.

(16 marks)

B Identify appropriate corporate strategies for each risk discussed in part A.

(9 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

12 Controlling risk

WS began life as a pipeline company linking natural gas and oil fields to power stations and refineries. It has now vertically integrated into owning all stages in the process with interests spreading across Europe, Asia and North and South America. Its global base is in North America.

WS is driven by profits and share price growth. Senior management remuneration is characterised by large stock options and the leadership continually encourages all staff, whatever level they operate at, to support the company by buying shares. Many have invested their entire pensions in the unprecedented growth in share price, outperforming the market many times over in the last few years.

This success has been largely fuelled by the company’s move into energy trading where it buys and sells future contracts to state authorities guaranteeing the price of energy to light the streets and heat the hospitals and schools of their local population. WS’s investment in this area has outstripped all other concerns due to its profitability potential. The downside is in terms of its inherent risk. The market perceives this as a risky area of operation, where large losses are easy to incur if trading conditions turn against the company. The market subsequently demands large returns in way of compensation.
The focus on share price can be evidenced by the existence of real time trading information within the elevators at the Houston office so that staff can watch the stock rise as they move around the building. Some analysts have questioned company’s ability to continually outperform all expectations, pointing to the lack of clarity of the company’s accounts in detailing how this is being achieved. In response the audit committee and the chairman have collectively assured the market that success is based on quality and focus and both exist in abundance at WS. This is despite of clear evidence of huge cost write-offs resulting from failing power plants on the Indian subcontinent, blamed by the company on local governmental interference and mismanagement.

**Required**

A Explain how the company defines risk and how dealing with risk is embedded into corporate culture.

(9 marks)

B Discuss measures taken by the company to combat risk exposure.

(8 marks)

C Evaluate the extent to which WS employs a comprehensive risk management programme.

(8 marks)

**(Total: 25 marks)**

**13 Ethical theories**

**Internet services**

**Question**

Chinese journalist Shi Tao sent one of his last e-mails to a colleague in New York in 2004, attaching guidelines issued by the Chinese government on how to cover the fifteenth anniversary of the Tiananmen Square massacre. He had chosen DD as his internet service provider because the company lists “committed to winning through integrity” as one of its core values. He was arrested the following day and began a process that would culminate in a 10 year labour camp prison sentence.
The Chinese market for internet users is potentially as large as that existing in the US. In order to gain access to this market all hardware and software providers must sign a cooperation agreement with the government effectively signing over access to the personal records of their internet customers. These are then evaluated by appropriate authorities and action taken as required.

Part of the cooperation agreement is to limit search engine capabilities so that customers cannot access data deemed unacceptable by the government. This includes searches relating to words such as “freedom” and “democracy”.

Four global US giants in technology and internet services have been assisting with a US government committee enquiry into their position regarding trade with China. In a heated debate, both sides have very clear views as to the ethical justification for their actions.

One of the four dismissed all responsibility for the outcome of trade saying that it was not the company’s responsibility to dictate to customers how their technology was to be used. In reply, one senator remarked that no customer was unacceptable to US companies as long as they were profitable, a harsh attack on their lack of ethics.

All of the four companies agreed that their business was to make money for their shareholders and not to operate as ‘freedom fighters’ for their government.

**Required**

A  Discuss cultural factors that colour ethical decision making in different countries.  

(13 marks)

B  Evaluate the ethical position of the internet companies in their trade with China.  

(12 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)
14 Professional and corporate ethics

**Ethical code**

**Question**

A. Discuss the extent to which provision of an ethical code assists in resolving ethical dilemmas.

(11 marks)

B.

I. Explain the terms ‘ethical threat’ and ‘ethical safeguard’.

II. For each of the situations below, identify the ethical threat and recommend an ethical safeguard, explaining why that safeguard is appropriate.

**Situation A**

The director of a listed company sells a substantial shareholding prior to the announcement of worse than expected results for the company.

**Situation B**

AB is CEO of Company X and is also a non-executive director of Company Y and sits on the remuneration committee of that company. CD is CEO of Company Y and is also a non-executive director of Company X and sits on the remuneration committee of that company. AB and CD are good friends and play golf together every Saturday.
Situation C

The chairman of Company Z does not like conflict on the board. When a new director is appointed, the chairman always ensures that the director’s family members obtain highly paid jobs in the company, and in the case of children, that they are sponsored by Company Z through college. Company Z is very profitable, although the board appears to be ineffective in querying the actions of the chairman.

(Total: 25 marks)

Question

NM River Valley

Professor Hoi is carrying out a series of lectures on corporate social responsibility. He is currently appearing at the World Water Forum in The Hague. This international convention draws together government officials, scientists, corporate bodies, engineers and interested campaign groups to discuss global warming and water supply, particularly national and local initiatives to manage water supply in areas where drought and shortages are common.

One such initiative is in the NM River Valley in the north-west of India. It involves building a colossal dam at one end of the valley and then diverting the river’s waters so that they flood the valley and create a reservoir. These waters will then be used to create electricity and provide much needed water supply to over 40 million people in the surrounding area.

The costs of the project will be large and work will be carried out through a private public partnership of corporations and local government. The companies involved have carried out a full financial appraisal of the project and say that the investment required will partly be recovered through charges for utility services to major international organisations throughout the north of the country who receive water and electricity from the dam.
In order to build the dam the local population living in the valley will need to be relocated. These people are an ancient indigenous Indian tribe that has lived on the land for at least 12 generations. Their homes, farmland and holy places are all within the valley and at the moment they are refusing to leave. The local government has offered them money and housing in a variety of inner city housing developments across the country. These offers have been rejected with many villagers saying they will stay in their homes, even if the waters come.

Following Professor Hoi’s presentation he is approached back stage by a young accountant who says that far from benefiting the local population the dam is being built solely to provide local industry with power. He also states that the number of people living in the valley has been purposefully understated and that the real figure is likely to be 250,000, far more than the local government will be able to rehouse or compensate.

**Required**

A  Discuss a general approach that might be taken in ethical conflict resolution.

(10 marks)

B  Explain reasons why corporate reporting should extend into CSR.

(10 marks)

C  Explain how the attributes of the accountant can assist in extending CSR reporting.

(5 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)

**15 Ethical decision making**

There are no questions for this chapter.
The C Company manufacturers a wide range of construction machinery such as diggers, tractors and large lorries. Each type of equipment is manufactured by one of seven different divisions, and each division is located in a major city, meaning that there are hundreds of kilometres between each division.

C also has an administration headquarters. This has been moved recently from an inner-city location to a new purpose built office building on an out-of-town site. The move has enabled C to provide extensive employee facilities including a sports complex and restaurant. Flexible working hours have also been introduced to allow employees to stagger their journey times; there is no public transport so all employees must travel in their own private cars.

The board of C are currently considering proposals for the use of the ‘old’ administration office site. The plan favoured by the finance director is the building of a waste disposal site as this has the highest return on investment. There is some disagreement over this move as the site is in a residential area although the local council have indicated agreement in principle to the proposal.

The finance director has also amended creditor payment terms from 30 to 60 days in order to improve C’s cash flow situation. This move was part of a package of measures to improve cash flow. However, proposals to hold divisional meeting by video-conference rather than visiting each site, and carrying out an energy audit were vetoed by the board.
Required

A Explain the concept of the Triple Bottom Line and from the information provided evaluate the extent to which the C Company meets the TBL criteria. Briefly consider actions that the C Company can take to implement TBL criteria.

(16 marks)

B Explain the concept of, and the three perspectives of, ‘sustainability’, providing example in the context of C Company.

(9 marks)

Question

PP is the world’s leading soft drinks company and the owner of one of the world’s most widely recognised global brands. It is a powerful company bringing billions of dollars of investment to the developing world. In one such country it accounts for a fifth of the total foreign investment and it is here that the company has recently run into difficulties.

A three year campaign by local villagers, national NGO’s and research institutes has just culminated in a High Court ruling to force the company to close one of its bottling plants. The multi faceted campaign included local demonstrations, sit-ins at the plant gates, a 10 day march between the company’s other factories, and political lobbying that led to the company’s products being banned from the Parliament cafeteria. At one stage criminal charges were laid against the campaigners and orders to stop shouting slogans within 300 metres of the plant gates were issued.

The issue behind the sustained pressure on the company to close the factory is water. PP extracts 510,000 litres of water a day from the ground water underneath the plant. Since the factory opened in 2000 ground water levels have fallen by 25-40 feet, resulting in severe water shortages for rural neighbours of the plant who are dependent on small scale agriculture for their livelihood. Harvests have fallen by as much as 90% and the little water that remains is considered undrinkable.

The company’s dwindling support within the country has not been helped by fresh allegations that its product produced at the factory contains trace elements of pesticides harmful to human beings.
The company is being pressured to divulge exactly what ingredients go into its products. PP has refused to comply with this request stating that the ingredients are a corporate secret and if disclosed could harm its global competitive position.

**Required**

A  Discuss why social responsibility is important to the organisation.  

(8 marks)

B  Advise the company as to how to develop an environmental management system for the bottling factory.  

(9 marks)

C  Define sustainability and consider the scope of sustainability issues affecting this organisation.  

(8 marks)

(Total: 25 marks)
Test your understanding answers

RTY company

Answer

A  Agency theory

Agency refers to the relationship between a principal and their agent. In the RTY company, the directors are the principals and the senior managers are the agents. The relationship is defined within the company hierarchy, (senior managers report to directors) and may be contractual (explained in the senior managers terms of employment).

An agent is employed by a principal to carry out a task on their behalf. In the RTY company, the senior managers are employed by the board to run their departments, including establishing the internal control systems and providing reports on the performance of their departments.

Agency costs are incurred by principals in monitoring agency behaviour because of a lack of trust in the good faith of agents. The directors of RTY need to have confidence that the senior managers are running their departments correctly and that the reports produced are accurate. The internal audit department, therefore, checks the control systems and the reports. In effect, internal audit monitors the senior managers and is therefore an agency cost.

By accepting to undertake a task on their behalf, an agent becomes accountable to the principal by whom they are employed. The agent is accountable to that principal. In RTY, the senior managers are accountable to the directors for the running of their departments. The managers have been entrusted with running their departments correctly. The quarterly reports provide an account from the agent to the principal showing how well the senior managers have run their departments.
B  Stakeholder theory

Stakeholder theory identifies and models the groups which are stakeholders of a company, and both describes and recommends methods by which management can give due regard to the interests of those groups. In a corporate context a stakeholder is, therefore, a party who affects or can be affected by the company’s actions. In this context, the comment by the chairman is incorrect; not only does RTY affect stakeholders, it can be affected by those stakeholders.

All of the following are stakeholders in the RTY Company because they are affected by the company and can also affect the company in some way.

- Shareholders – expect dividends but also affect the company by voting on director appointment etc.
- Employees – expect salary, good working conditions etc, but also provide the company with their services in terms of knowledge or manpower.
- Customers – expect quality goods but also affect the company by requesting product changes/improvements.
- Suppliers – expect to be paid on time, but also affect the company either by denying goods (if not being paid) or by providing product enhancements which the company can use (e.g. faster processing chips for computers).
- Communities – expect the company to act ethically within the community (not produce too much noise or pollution for example) and can affect the company in terms of being a pressure group.
- Environment – if taken in the context of a ‘person’, then expects the company to be aware of and attempt to decrease its environmental footprint – that is the impact of the company on the environment. Can also affect the company in terms of provision of raw materials – either in terms of finite supply or the quality of those materials.

The comment by the Chairman that the RTY Company aims to satisfy the needs of its shareholders only is potentially incorrect; there are many other stakeholder groups to consider.

C  Transaction cost theory

Transaction cost theory relates to the decision being made within a company to obtain resources either internally or from third parties. The theory states that market prices are not the sole factor in making this decision. There are also significant transaction costs, search costs, contracting costs and co-ordination costs which will affect the decision. In effect, this is the essence of the ‘make or buy’ decision.
There are two human and three environmental factors that lead to transactions costs arising. The two human factors are as follows.

(1) **Bounded rationality**: Humans are unlikely to have the abilities or resources to consider every state-contingent outcome associated with a transaction that might arise. In other words, it is impossible to obtain all the information on every possible method of obtaining the resource either because it would cost too much or simply because that information is not available to the company. For example, detailed costs of production from another manufacturer are unlikely to be available.

(2) **Opportunism**: Humans will act to further their own self-interests. This means that cost analysis may be imperfect or incomplete because a decision maker may not, for example, want to spend too much time or energy making a full investigation of alternative costs – it is too much like hard work. Alternatively, people tend to retain information because it gives them perceived power over others.

The three environmental factors are as follows.

(1) **Uncertainty**: makes the problems that arise because of bounded rationality and opportunism worse. For example, lack of trust in agency situations implies that the agent is acting with opportunism. Also, the more time a contract runs into the future, then the less certainty there is concerning its outcome.

(2) **Small numbers trading** – e.g. number of suppliers. If only a small number of suppliers exist in a market-place, a customer will find the transaction more difficult to complete because the possibility of withdrawal and use of alternative players in the marketplace cannot be used. In other words, the external cost will be greater as the threat of changing suppliers cannot be used – the supplier therefore has more power.

(3) **Asset specificity** or how much the specific asset is needed by the company. There is therefore the possibility (or threat) of a supplier acting opportunistically – that is increasing the price of the asset or denying access to it which leads to a ‘hold-up’ problem.

Internalising operations eliminates the transaction costs. However, where external supply must be used, in terms of governance, as uncertainty and asset specificity increase, then there is greater scope of opportunism to be used. This means that the company will attempt to have very formal relationships with suppliers using hierarchical structures so that uncertainty is decreased.

As the number of suppliers increases, the small numbers factor becomes less important – there is less scope for opportunism as alternative suppliers are available.
Answer

A Importance of governance

Governance is concerned with ensuring management are meaningfully accountable to the owners of the organisation. This accountability can be considered through examination of the objectives of governance from a corporate and stakeholder perspective.

Corporate perspective

The purpose of a corporation is to create wealth or profits through its operation within boundaries set by external parties (legal and compliance standards). Good governance is important in pursuit of this goal.

Internally, governance standards ensure that an appropriate management team exists to provide the best opportunity for wealth creation. The average age of board members and, more importantly, their lack of objectivity being close associates of the CEO, may call this into question.

Good governance should reduce risks in organisational activity, through using good managers and providing rules or guidance through which risks are adequately considered. The special committee would have provided a mechanism to assist in risk reduction although here its function seems to have become redundant given the CEO’s action in beginning construction of the museum.

Governance is also important as a way of improving the control of the corporation, and through improved control higher profits can be achieved. This control may be through improved counter balances in power such as the separation of the chairman and CEO role. In this case, the company is too tightly controlled by the individual who operates in both capacities and this may be detrimental.

Stakeholder perspective

Corporations exist for the benefit of their owners, the shareholders. Governance has a key role to play in ensuring their needs are met above all other considerations. Stakeholders also include the needs of a wider society and ensuring corporations do not abuse their position and impact negatively on the wider needs of the public at large.
Governance codes and the law should ensure shareholders’ interests are always the key decision criteria employed by management. The museum proposal seems unlikely to provide a suitable return to shareholders, especially the sale of the asset after 30 years at today’s market price. Property prices are almost certain to increase considerably over such a period.

Governance, through management, should also reduce the risks to shareholders. It is difficult to see how such an investment will enhance the stability or likelihood of future revenue streams, even given the enhancement to reputation, which seems questionable.

Wider stakeholder needs may have been better concerned had the CEO gifted the art to the existing local museum. The negative publicity that surrounds this issue makes the question over positive impact of the proposal a greater concern.

In a general sense, the abuse of power suggested in the scenario will not enhance corporate reputation or the attractiveness of investing in stock markets. The governing body of such a market may look very unfavourably on corporations that operate as private empires for given individuals.

### B Importance as principles of governance

**Independence**

Independence is a key underlying concept in governance. It relates to the need for separation of roles and subsequent freedom of thought or action between those charged with running the organisation for the benefit of shareholders.

A lack of independence can be seen in the lack of separation between the CEO and the organisation. In effect, the organisation seems to be being run in the interests of the CEO. There seems little justification in building the museum on financial grounds (even the tax break is unlikely to materialise) and the goodwill extended to the CEO’s Foundation has little proven commercial merit.

This lack of separation is created through an ineffectual board of directors and their lack of separation from the CEO. This in turn comes about through the director selection process which seems to relate purely his selection. When someone is selected (and therefore presumably fired) at the behest of one individual, freedom of thought and action must be questioned.
As mentioned previously, one firewall to ensure independence may be the separation of the CEO and chairman roles, with the former representing management and the latter shareholder viewpoint. Combining the role creates a conflict of interest as to whose interests to primarily serve.

**Fairness**

Fairness relates to the need to appear to be even handed, open and honest in business dealings. These ethical issues are vital to the efficient functioning of the markets in terms of attracting investors, as well as to the corporation’s image and the subsequent effect on sales and share price.

Fairness must necessarily extend to fair dealings with the owners of the company and it is in this last area where OPC is open to criticism. It seems unfair to ask the company to take on the risk of the book failing in the market when the billionaire CEO is quite capable of affording to launch the book himself. Apart from repaying the loan there seems no return for taking this risk.

It seems unfair and underhand to start the construction of the museum without the consent of the special committee. This may extend to a breach of fiduciary duty to shareholders under governance codes of best practice although there is nothing in the scenario to indicate whether this is the case.

In general it seems unfair to ask shareholders to use their money to fund projects for the personal benefit of the CEO. This is the underlying issue throughout the case study.

**Accountability**

Accountability relates to the need to account for one’s actions. This means accepting responsibility for and reporting of, issues under the control of the entity. In this case there seems little accountability to shareholders. In particular, the cost of the museum has been misstated and this may be symptomatic of other deficiencies in communication.

Finally, it would seem that since share price improves when the CEO’s health is questioned, that in order to act in the best interests of shareholders, the CEO might consider retirement in order to allow for the creation of an improved governance structure.
**Answer**

**A  Two-tier boards**

In a two-tier board structure the company has a supervisory board and a management board.

The management board is responsible for the general day-to-day running of the company and is controlled by the CEO.

The supervisory board is responsible for:

- appointments to, supervision of and removal of members of the management board
- overseeing the activities of the management board and ensuring that it complies with relevant legislation and governance requirements
- general oversight of the company and its business strategies.

The supervisory board is led by the chairman.

**Advantages of a two-tier board**

There is a clear separation between those who manage the company and those who own it or must control it for the benefit of shareholders. The supervisory board can act in the interests of shareholders while the management board literally manages the company.

The structure provides implicit shareholder involvement in most cases since these structures are used in countries where insider control is prevalent. This means that the shareholders effectively form the supervisory board and oversee their investment by reviewing the work of the management board. This structure is only of benefit where shareholders want to be involved in direct supervision of ‘their’ company.

The use of a supervisory board allows wider stakeholder involvement implicit through the use of worker representation and possibly representation from other stakeholder groups such as institutional investors.

There can be independence of thought, discussion and decision since supervisory and management board meetings are separate.
The members of the supervisory board have direct power over management through the right to appoint members of the management board. Where the supervisory board is made up of major shareholders this helps to ensure the managers they want are appointed rather than relying on the appointments committee or limited annual participation at the AGM.

Problems with two-tier boards

There can be dilution of power through stakeholder involvement. In effect, too much time is spent on discussing conflicting stakeholder interests rather than focusing on the strategy for the company.

There is isolation of the supervisory board because they do not participate in management meetings. It is possible that the supervisory board would like to make more detailed recommendations or assist the management board in implementing decisions; however, the board structure precludes this.

There are agency problems between the two boards. It may not be clear which board is the agent of the other, and therefore where responsibility to make decisions actually lies. Clear guidelines are needed so that the work of each board is clearly defined.

Having two boards provides added bureaucracy and slower decision making. There is obviously the need for communication channels between the two boards – which is not necessary with a unitary structure. The need for additional communication does slow down decision making.

B NEDs

A non-executive director (NED) is a member of the board of directors of a company, although not part of the executive management. A NED is therefore not involved in the day-to-day decision making for the company. The main purpose of a NED is therefore monitoring executive activity and the overall strategic development of the company. From the point-of-view of corporate governance, the NED provides an independent review of board activity. Not being involved in the running of the company apart from by virtue of being on the board, the NED can comment objectively on the actions of the executive directors.

Advantages of having NEDs

They offer a clear monitoring role, checking that the company is following appropriate codes of governance. For example, on remuneration committees NEDs can help to ensure that executives are paid an appropriate salary and provided with benefits commensurate with their contribution to the success of the company.
NEDs can offer specific expertise to the company, particularly in regard to checking of financial information. It is a requirement of most codes of governance for at least one NED to have recent and relevant financial experience. NEDs can also provide an external view on the activities of the company and suggest courses of action based on their wider industry experience.

As mentioned above, NEDs provide an independent check on whether the company is meeting corporate governance requirements. The overall perception and image of the company is enhanced because of the presence of NEDs. The company is seen to be following appropriate codes of corporate governance.

There should be an improvement in the amount of and the quality of communication between shareholders and the company itself. For example, NEDs check communications such as annual reports for completeness and accuracy.

**Disadvantages of NEDs**

NEDs are only appointed for a limited number of years. It will take time for the board to build trust in the decision making ability of NEDs. There is also the risk that NED input is not always helpful and this can have a negative effect on board operations.

There may be a limited number of people available to act as NEDs, especially with the experience necessary for the role. Many potential NEDs will already be directors of other companies, limiting time available to take on other roles. The quality of some NEDs may therefore be below what is actually desired.

NEDs share equal liability in law for company operations with the executive directors of a company. Limited remuneration packages and the lack of ability to affect the company on a day-to-day basis might lead some potential NEDs to question whether they want the job or not.

---

**Mr Bacon**

**Answer**

**A  Governance issues**

There are a number of governance concerns within the company described. They all go to the heart of the governance issue, asking the question as to whose interests the organisation exists to serve. It is clear that, in the view of the board, the company exists to perpetuate their employment whilst in reality it should serve shareholders and shareholders alone.
Non-executive directors

In appears that there are no independent directors on the board. All of the directors have associations with the organisation that stretch back a number of years and so cannot be deemed to be independent. It is likely that they are all also executives at the company. This raises a conflict of interest exemplified by the inability to make hard decisions that, whilst negatively impacting on the historical size and structure of the organisation, should, if carried out, be in shareholders' best interests.

The defensive action taken to stop the election of a non-executive to the board is unlikely to be in shareholders best interests. The reaction to the possibility that outsiders may have a voice on the board is a separate but related issue since it suggests a lack of meaningful dialogue exists at present between the board and the owners of the company. Schedules D and E of the Combined Code recommend the need to formalise this dialogue in order to ensure major shareholders are kept well informed.

Board manipulation/size

Restructuring of the board should be a matter for shareholder resolution and it is likely that this is part of the Articles of Association of the company. Since the resolution (should it be required) is retrospective and since it is very unlikely that the majority of shareholders would vote against its board, the CEO is likely to be successful in this strategy.

The governance issue is really about whether the reduction in directors is in the shareholders' best interests. It seems difficult to build a case for reducing the level of expertise on a board simply to avoid a situation where increased expertise through NED involvement would emerge.

Re-election

It is common for boards to be re-elected in rotation. The need for re-election arises due to the short-term nature of contracts for directors. This focuses the director on the need to perform and reduces the shareholders liability for paying off long contracts should they wish to replace directors.

The re-election also provides a regular opportunity for shareholders to review the quality of their management team. Staggered re-election is a mechanism to promote stability of board membership so that only a small proportion could possibly change at any given time. This helps to ensure continuity although critics would suggest that the fewer the opportunities to re-elect, the more entrenched directors become.
The governance issue, beyond the fact that re-election did not take place, could relate to the three year rotation and, given the poor performance of the company, whether annual re-election of the entire board should be used. It is unlikely that the current board of directors would support such a proposal even though it is probably in the shareholders best interests.

**Voting right**

The lack of confidential voting is a major governance concern. This basic right does not exist for shareholders and it is very difficult to gauge the benefit that shareholders get from not having this right. The board will use it to apply pressure to staff to not vote against them and so it is certainly in the board’s interest to maintain the status quo.

Shareholders could vote in order to make voting confidential but it is likely that this specific vote will need to be open under current rules. This means that the board will be able to exact retribution on those that vote against them. The board must honestly and whole heartedly support confidential voting for it to be implemented successfully. As suggested this is a moral issue as well as a governance issue.

**Board evaluation**

The Combined Code recommends that boards employ a formal process of annual review and that the results of this review be communicated via the annual accounts. The key benefit is one of transparency in board operations so that the owners of the company know the extent to which their board is successful and making honest attempts to improve itself.

The natural outcome of a review will be performance improvement in board operation. This should be reflected in the quality of decisions made, the increase in control or the reduction in risk within corporate operations. All of these results should feed through to improved returns to shareholders.

It is also a question of investor confidence. The very fact that the process occurs suggests a greater level of professionalism from the board of directors and enhances investor confidence in them.

It is unlikely, given the poor results over such a long period, that the creation of such a process will have the desired effect here. The problems are too deep rooted and there is a clear lack of trust between some shareholders and the management team they employ to run their affairs. A synthetic attempt to demonstrate interest in their own effectiveness is unlikely to generate anything other than cynicism from shareholders.
A board evaluation process also signals that the board is not complacent about its position and role. If honestly tackled the board will seek to redefine what it does, extending or contracting as appropriate. At its simplest the process helps the board to understand what it is and its role. This is a fundamental requirement for all boards of directors and yet one that is achieved by only a few.

In an operational sense the performance evaluation process will improve corporate culture demonstrating that the board itself is not immune from processes it carries out on all those below the board level. This again suggests good management and should pay a dividend in management employee relationships as long as it is real and seen to be real.

Performance evaluation ensures the board is aware and able to adapt to new business challenges, possibly identifying the need for new skills, membership, training or development. All managers should welcome this as part of their professional development.

Finally, and ultimately board appraisal is in the best interests of shareholders through many of the points mentioned. Working in the interests of shareholders is the basic function or requirement of the board and so it is natural to adopt this process as part of board operations. Clearly, in this scenario, the board does not believe that operating in shareholders best interests is necessarily how they operate within the organisation and so this kind of change has less likelihood of being successful.

There are however many other ways of dealing with this common scenario. The most obvious will probably be in the form of a takeover. If management are performing poorly over such a long period then this attracts the attention of others better able to make a success of the venture in the market place.
Terms of reference

I have been asked to report on governance issues relating to remuneration, their likely impact and recommendations for improvement. I have pleasure in submitting the following and remain available to discuss these matters should you believe this necessary.

Findings

Governance issues

The present situation within BB Company raises a number of key governance issues that have serious consequences for the company, and lead to the company falling outside of generally accepted good governance practice.

The most important of these is that the non-executive directors appear to decide on their own pay as members of the remuneration committee. Although unclear, if this is the case it will raise serious questions over potential conflict of interest. There is also uncertainty over the degree of effective committee operation. It has been suggested that decisions are made in order to comply with your best wishes rather than on the basis of collective, expert decision making. Whilst it is not for me to comment on the correct approach to such decisions from a company point of view, in governance terms this suggests the committee forms no useful function and should therefore be disbanded.

Another critical issue is the operation of the nomination committee. It would appear that the same non-executives form the basis for nomination committee membership. I would hope that executives are involved in this committee’s operation since their expertise is absolutely essential in selecting new directors. It is inconceivable that non-executives would be more aware of the worthiness of senior managers to join the board than those individuals’ line managers.

The central governance issue is that the remuneration committee is not performing its job. The role of the committee is to ensure the appointment, retention and motivation of board members. In at least two of these areas the board is clearly failing, the resignations of CEO and one other being evidence of this. Finally, the nature of remuneration itself, as a detailed policy issue, is also inappropriate. Good governance suggests a range of rewards primarily linked to performance. These simply do not exist in this company.
**Likely impact**

The likely impact of the poor remuneration structure can be seen as a continuance of events that have already occurred in terms of board level resignation. This deeply affects the company. It leads to a loss of key creative expertise and leadership at the top of the organisation. This in turn is likely to feed through to lower level staff, impacting on culture and performance. Negative shareholder returns will follow.

The impact on top management is an interesting outcome of the current approach. These managers will not see further promotion within the organisation as a realistic career move. Although pleased with salary increases received, they will see this as a ceiling on prospects and have no incentive to seek further promotion.

Incentivisation is at the heart of remuneration policy. The lack of linking pay to performance will mean that productivity and motivation of directors will suffer. This deterioration in the agency relationship will have a direct negative impact on shareholders who, as we both know, are the key stakeholders in whose interests we operate.

Within the remuneration committee individual and collective self interest is likely to increase if not controlled. This could lead to domineering behaviour over executive directors and inflated wages. This sends out an inappropriate signal to other directors and lead to a “them and us” culture on the board that is not conducive to good decision making.

Finally, there appears to be an implicit imbalance in board operations with executive relegated to powerless, suppressed managers. These people run the company and if not given the appropriate authority to do so, will simply follow the CEO to the detriment of shareholder returns and company prospects.

**Recommendations**

The recommendations arise from the previous discussion. Firstly, and most importantly, an appropriate remuneration package must be created for directors. This will return motivation and assist in recruitment and retention. Secondly, no non-executive director must be allowed to determine their own pay and the chairman must be seen to operate a fair, independent committee working in executive and non-executive interests for the benefit of shareholders.

Executive directors must be involved in the work of the nomination committee, particularly in the recruitment of the new CEO. The company should at least consider the possibility of recruiting from within in order to improve morale within the firm.
Finally, I strongly recommend that you consider your own role and approach to governance and whether any changes in delegating authority can be made so as to improve company performance.

B **Reward Package**

**Elements**

A reward package has a number of elements that build into a comprehensive compensation scheme. Above all, this should ensure a balance exists between risk and reward, pay and performance. This is a difficult task and one that requires balance between the size of the role, competitive and comparable reward systems and elements that reward the past whilst motivating to achieve in the future.

Basic pay and conditions will tend to be market driven. Conditions might include a raft of rewards such as company car, pension, insurance and other benefits. The extent to which each is seen as relating to the company and performance may be important since excess in this area and misuse is always a potential problem.

Bonuses must relate to performance. These may be annual, three year or even bi-annual depending on the company. They should relate to a tangible measure such as profits or increases in shareholder wealth and should be reviewed regularly and adjusted as necessary for changing conditions.

Share options are also very popular. These involve commitments to allow the director to purchase shares at a discounted rate sometime in the future. The incentive is to attempt to raise share price well above the purchase price in order to maximise returns gained.

**Application to BB Co**

Basic pay, as suggested, must be market driven. There is evidence that current levels are well below those experienced in other companies in the industry (the CEO doubling his rewards) and so this needs to be addressed quickly. Other incentives may include the use of company vehicles, travel arrangements to fashion shows (Rome etc), general expenses associated with this and usual heath and pension benefits. The last issue must be very carefully considered since it will lead to the company making payments for retrospective, not current services in the future.

Bonuses may relate to increases in sales to retailers, the successful launch of new ranges, the number of new ranges or financial/shareholder related issues such as EPS increases. Share options are so common that they are likely to feature in directors’ returns but not non-executive pay. This assists in ensuring the independence of non-executives.
Finally, a golden hello may be used to entice a high quality CEO into the company ranks should this be considered appropriate by the remuneration committee.

**DEF**

**Answer**

**A  Independence**

Independence means separation from a source. In this case, the source is the CEO. The form of separation is in the thought processes and decision making criteria used to form judgements about company operations.

Agency theory identifies the separation between the needs of owners and those charged with running the company. Although all directors should act in shareholders’ best interests, the more independent non-executive directors are, the more likely they are to separate themselves from the views and needs of executive management such as the CEO.

**CEO comments**

The CEO believes that independent non-executive directors are not necessary in the organisation. He suggests the importance of expertise in making decisions in the best interests of the company and that he is expert and so is most likely to know what is best.

The counter to this argument would be the benefit of expertise in other areas such as environmental reform that additional non-executives are likely to bring ensuring wider expertise is deployed in the interest of shareholders rather than the company.

This wider stakeholder involvement can be emphasised since shareholders may feel social responsibility in ensuring mining operations do not adversely affect the planet. This need is more likely to be understood and voiced by a non-executive director rather than the CEO.

The central issue however is one of self-interest. The non-executives, if truly independent, are likely to balance the self-interests of the CEO and ensure shareholder interests are put first. The CEO’s interest may relate to power and maintaining the size of operations rather than profits and short-term gain. Whatever the needs of shareholders are, they are more likely to be met by those who wish to serve those needs and this suggests the non-executive directors.
In support of the CEO’s viewpoint, non-executive directors will be recommended to the board and the board will vote for their inclusion. Since the CEO dominates the board, and at present will almost certainly make the recommendation in the first place, anyone selected is selected at his behest. There is therefore an assumed loyalty to the CEO since he employed the person.

The CEO fully appreciates that the reality of this is that anyone employed is unlikely to operate truly independently since in as much as they are employed they are also open to being dismissed by the CEO.

The counter of this argument might rest with the shareholders who ratify such decisions by voting on them. If necessary they can stop the removal of a director. This however is more in theory than practice since it is very rare that a majority of shareholders would go against the board’s wishes.

There are also specific benefits of committees that the CEO may not appreciate, these are discussed below.

B Nomination committee

The nomination committee is a board structure used to identify and recommend new directors for appointment to the board. In pursuit of this goal there are a number of objectives in committee operation.

The committee must first ensure the succession of appointments to the board function. This relates to continuity and ensuring that posts are not vacated for long periods or that the company is not harmed through a lack of leadership in senior posts. The role is therefore central to continuance in operations and a fundamental requirement of all boards, whether a committee exists or not.

The task of ensuring roles are filled will require the committee to be in continual contact with senior management, aware of the talent that exists and involved in planning career development of top flight staff in preparation from their evolution to full board membership.

When a position is vacated for whatever reason, the committee should carefully consider the profile of the replacement and the characteristics they think are suitable for the individual filling the post. This may require consideration of a specific skill set or leadership personality that will fit into or drive future board operations.

Instigating searches for successful replacements using consultants or agencies may form a part of the committee function as should the evaluation of names put forward as a result of those searches.
The final stages or objectives will relate to the need to recommend and report. The recommendation will be relayed to the full board for their deliberation and decision. Reporting relates to the compliance need to report their work as part of the annual accounts of the organisation.

This final point leads to the suggestion that an objective of the committee is to ensure compliance to best practice such as the Combined Code since the existence of such a structure is recommended within the code.

Overall, the role is to support the board. This can be done through offloading this important function onto a specific and separate body whilst the main board considers other pressing issues.

C Shareholder actions

The variety of actions available to shareholders will depend on a number of issues including the legal framework of the country in which the corporation operates and the quality of communication between the company and its owners.

There may be some legal protection in Company Law to ensure minority shareholders are not disadvantaged through the actions of majority shareholders (in this case the government). Although this is often the case it seems unlikely that the government would have taken such action if they had known it was against the laws of their own country.

Shareholders can lobby the company individually or collectively. Most compliance or governance codes call for companies to maintain a communication channel to major shareholders and it is through this dialogue that pressure can be brought to bear. This is probably already the case since the chairman would have talked to such shareholders prior to discussing the independence issue with the CEO.

This communication extends to shareholders at the AGM and the ability of shareholders to raise resolutions for general voting. This can be a powerful weapon for change if enough support can be garnered among existing shareholders. The pension fund has a large shareholding and so may be able to have some impact in this area.

Shareholder voting rights allow shareholders to vote against board proposals. This might be in relation to the recommendation to increase the number of friendly directors on the board or in favour of recruiting more non-executive directors that are truly independent.

A final course of action available is to divest shareholding. The threat of doing so may be enough to change management’s viewpoint since it could have a profoundly negative impact on share price.
Answer

A  **Annual accounts**

The structure and content of annual accounts arises from corporate law, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and governance codes issued for consideration by all organisations.

- **Chairman and CEO statement**

  Principle C1 of the UK Combined Code asks the chairman/CEO to provide a balanced and understandable assessment of the company's position. The opening statement is an attempt to start this process. Other elements will be mention of board structure, detailing executive and non-executive positions and, in a 'comply or explain' environment, why CEO/Chairman positions are not separated as in this case.

- **The Business Review**

  This continues and expands on the assessment of company position with particular reference to strategy success and future strategic opportunities. Committee operations at board level will be an important element of the review. Codes of best practice such as the Combined Code discuss the need to report on audit, nomination and remuneration committees as board structures.

- **Accounts**

  The formal financial accounts will be the heart of corporate reporting including details of directors' remuneration, cash flow, income statements and the balance sheet. This is the dominant section of the annual report and will have always been present adhering to accounting standards and company law as applicable. In the US senior management must attest to the integrity of this information in writing as part of reporting requirements.

- **Governance**

  The Business Review may defer some issues such as committee operation to this section. In addition compliance issues will include an evaluation of the quality of internal control systems and details of how the board evaluates its own performance and the results of that evaluation.
• **Any Other Business (AOB)**

This will include details of AGM, dividend history and taxation positions of shareholders. The AGM will subsequently become an important forum for discussion of the annual accounts presented to shareholders.

**B Other forms of dialogue**

Beyond the annual accounts, changing shareholder membership will increase the need to consider the following:

• **Press releases**

This may relate to changing board composition, sales of strategic assets, major changes in workforce or implementation of new marketing strategy. Information is the lifeblood of the markets and helps assess the true worth of an investment.

• **Management forecasts**

These may be quarterly to identify progress towards bi-annual targets in order to reassure investors or pre warn them concerning imminent failure to achieve predicted goals.

• **Analysts’ presentations**

The Combined Code states that the organisation should ensure dialogue exists between itself and major institutional investors. This dialogue may include regular analyst presentations to reassure the markets or explain anomalies in the accounts or strategy being pursued.

• **AGM**

The AGM and EGM are important communication channels that the Combined Code requests all directors to attend. It includes an open forum for questions although these may need to be submitted in advance and be supported by institutional investors before being accepted for discussion.
Web site

The corporate web site provides a simple communication vehicle for the latest company news although access by shareholders is not guaranteed. The informality allows for the inclusion of opinion and operational issues that will not warrant consideration in the formal accounts.

Extending disclosure

Extending disclosure helps to strengthen mandatory disclosure by providing greater depth in support of corporate actions. More information is better information since it provides the opportunity for clarification of the company’s position.

In agency terms information leads to improved accountability and reduces the need for other measures such as meetings in order to cement the agency relationship. Improvements in information reduce asymmetry between the owners’ position outside of the corporate structure and those with access to information within.

Better information attracts investors who appreciate transparency in operations and the implied improvement in quality of the firm. It also reduces risk through information and in this way supports share price and possibly reduces dividend requirement.

Information provides investors with assurance regarding management and ensures compliance to applicable codes of best practice. The Combined Code stipulates the nature of a variety of reporting needs such as the work of committees and an inability to comply with this requirement leaves the company open to the need to explain its position. This in turn leads to suspicion and, if not fully explained, possible sanctions from regulatory bodies.

Shareholder activism

Shareholder activism relates to positive action taken by shareholders in order to influence company behaviour. This might include:

Voting

The Combined Code requires institutional investors to consider carefully the use of their vote taking into account all relevant issues put before them. This is an attempt to reduce arbitrary voting in support of the board or simply ignoring the right and responsibility to vote that attaches to share ownership.
Technology has led to increased use of proxy voting with third party vendors organising collective voting for shareholders unable to attend the AGM. This increased activism may be a new revelation for the directors and they should be aware that poor performance may not be tolerated by shareholders who have a voice regardless of physical location.

- **Dialogue**

  The Combined Code also requires organisations to organise formal channels of communication or dialogue with institutional investors. As already described, this dialogue may in the form of analysts meetings to discuss corporate performance, strategy and ethics policy.

- **Ethical investment**

  Social responsibility is a growing area of shareholder activism. This relates to shareholders refusing to place their money with companies that show a poor track record in ethical matters. This may be the case in relation to the company’s perceived partial responsibility for childhood obesity. Strong public relations and an increased focus on disclosure in relation to the organisations other charitable works should assist in deflecting this criticism.

---

### Car manufacturers

**Answer**

**A  Manermen**

The German company’s governance structure can best be described as an insider dominated structure. This means that the listed company is controlled by a small group of shareholders who exhibit power over executive decision making within the organisation.

In this case, the three German banks are described as major shareholders who have great influence over the strategic direction and, more importantly, the agency relationship between the corporation and its shareholders. This agency relationship leads to a number of potential benefits and drawbacks, the benefits are discussed below.
Firstly, there are fewer agency costs in such an arrangement. The closeness of the relationship means that major shareholders are more aware of company operations reducing the need for communication, reporting and monitoring of the company executive. These cost savings can be extended through access to lower cost of finance and greater levels of finance since the banks are more willing to lend at low interest rates due to the perceived lower level of risk.

Secondly, the managerial input of these major shareholders provides the organisation with greater levels of financial or industrial expertise. Mannermenz not only has access to the banks representatives but also expertise within the bank. This can be of assistance in corporate decision making. The stability of the relationship extends the period of availability of such expertise.

Thirdly, the stability of the relationship and the presumed long-term nature of shareholder involvement means that shareholder returns will probably be less, the company being able to plough more funds back into its growth, taking a long-term view of company operations. This ability to avoid the cost of short-termism is a major benefit of insider structures.

Fourthly, although not necessarily inherent within the insider structure, the governance arrangements identified suggest wider stakeholder involvement in decision making. This is more to do with the national culture and political decision making but is still worthy of mention. In this scenario it manifests itself through employee representation on the board suggesting greater social responsibility to employee and wider stakeholder welfare.

B Crystal Cars

The governance structure of Crystal Cars is best described as an outsider dominated structure. This means that shareholding is wide and diverse with no single party dominating the agency relationship. It is associated with access to a vibrant stock market where shares are openly and easily sold between individuals.

In this case, Crystal Cars shareholders are mainly drawn from the US domestic population although mention is made of Jim Black’s large stake holding in the venture. This clouds the issue slightly suggesting that Crystal, whilst essentially an outsider company, has traits of insider domination in the guise of the CEO.
Mr Black cites the reason for shareholders leaving the organisation when he refers to the need to focus on shareholder value. This relates to the need to offer shareholders adequate returns in terms of dividend and share price growth in order to retain their support. The agency relationship is much more arms length and driven by financial rewards, hence his insistence that this should be recognised. Simply put, without retaining shareholders by paying them they will leave.

The opaque reporting identified in the scenario does not help to elicit support from these shareholders. The distanced relationship (in terms of involvement if not geographically) requires appropriate flows of information to ensure shareholders are kept informed as to how their money is being used. Without this information it is difficult for shareholders to make informed decisions and risks are perceived as higher. When this is not compensated through improved returns they will simply invest elsewhere.

An interesting side issue may rest in the disincentivising of directors, assuming shareholders are aware of this. Part of the agency relationship is in terms of ensuring directors are encouraged to make money for themselves as well as shareholders. Some shareholders may consider this lack of incentive could lead to poorer management performance and poorer future returns.

Coupled with the lack of focus on shareholder value, US shareholders will be concerned over the dominance of the German banks and the subsequent reduction in their (minority) interests being adequately protected. They may view the lack of electronic voting to be symptomatic of disenfranchisement. Without a strong voice they will simply walk away from the company.

Finally, cultural differences and geographical distance cannot be ignored. Ignorance and hostility towards foreign companies in general will have some, hopefully minimal, influence on shareholder decision making.

---

**Osarus**

**Answer**

**A Family owned structures**

Osarus is a family owned structure even though many of its shares are owned by outside investors. The dual class voting system used means that the family vote with the majority of shares and so are able to pass decisions without major recourse to other parties. This domination can have benefits but ultimately highlights potential risks that will need to be dealt with in order to ensure compliance with SOX legislation.
Lower agency costs are often suggested as being a key advantage for family owned corporations due to the active involvement of shareholders in decision making. This is true when considered from the Reid family perspective, and their lack of interest in external analysts supports the view that they are not concerned with increasing external shareholder engagement or the costs associated with it. It is also a major problem for external investors and suggests a lack of transparency in company operations. This must be considered as a disadvantage.

Personal reputation is closely associated with family owned corporate structure and this may suggest a heightened ethical position. This may be seen in relation to the level of charity work mentioned and is seen in the employment of the local population. The risk is that the temptation that arises through power may lead to an unethical stance such as the questionable use of company funds for personal causes.

Since the founder is still an active member of the management team this supports the idea that a long-term perspective rather than a focus on short-term shareholder wealth accumulation is associated with family structures. This benefits the company in retaining funds necessary for growth (and paying off debt) and assists in providing a legacy for the family to enjoy over time. The problem is that it is not only their company to enjoy and their needs must be balanced against the needs of other shareholders whose financial need for short-term gains may be significantly different. This in itself may be a reason why the company is so debt heavy. Other investors simply will not purchase stock (at a reasonable price) because of the longer term perspective and family interest.

Personal aspirations rather than independent corporate goals often infringe on family company management. This can be seen in the hockey team purchase, and this is detrimental to general shareholder wealth if these investments are not considered at arms length with strict decision making criteria.

Over time, the quality of management may also suffer since the gene pool for business expertise is so narrow. This might be the case with Tom Reid and his failing business venture, although a more important concern would be how this interest impacts on his management commitments within the company. In general successive generations drawn from the same family are unlikely to have the same level of business acumen as the founding father.

This in turn can create a succession crisis when the founding father retires. In this scenario it is likely that Tom Reid is being groomed to take over as chairman. This is certainly not against the best interest of the majority of the voting shareholders (the family) and so is perfectly acceptable to the majority. It is the minority interest that could be damaged through potential mismanagement although, as ever, shareholders can simply sell their interest if this occurs.
Osarus may have a number of concerns regarding SOX compliance. The following are all possibilities; a formal investigation into operations will be required in order to substantiate the level of threat or risk that exists and the appropriate actions to take.

**Personal liability**

Perhaps the most striking issue in SOX legislation is the personal criminal liability of senior managers for the authenticity/integrity of financial statements released by the company. The scenario makes reference to financial difficulties which in turn often lead to an increase in the risk of false accounting and unscrupulous earnings management. It is impossible to gauge any level of guilt in relation to this area but senior management would be well informed to take the matter seriously and investigate appropriately.

**Loans**

Loans to senior management are expressly forbidden by the legislation. The transactions involving the purchase of the hockey team, golf club and assistance with the associate company must be arms length and carefully structured so as not to fall into this category. Transactions may be considered loans even though they are not expressly referred to as such.

**Audit committee**

The CFO’s chairmanship on the audit committee is specifically outlawed by SOX. There are controls on the role of the audit committee as being independent from the management of the company. This is clearly an area where immediate and relatively simple changes can be made in order to ensure full compliance with the legislation.

**Control and audit**

SOX calls for companies to review their systems of internal control in order to reduce risks of financial impropriety. The independence of the audit committee is one such area of control that requires attention. Rotation of audit partners is another element in improving independence and external control. The close relationship between the family and the audit firm does nothing to quell allegations of mismanagement by outside investors. Further, the employment of large numbers of the local population may also call into question personal allegiance to the powerful local landowner above professionalism and even legal compliance to SOX and company law in general.
**Geko Oil**

**Answer**

A **Social responsibility**

A corporation is run in the interests of shareholders and this interest is deemed to be primarily financial. The most potent arguments for social responsibility should therefore be discussed in terms of how an active interest in social responsibility can have a positive effect on the financial rewards due to the owners.

The company is currently undervalued due, presumably, to the rejection of its involvement in the country under dictatorship, its poor strategic thrust in terms of market positioning and the dominance of two major shareholders on the board. This last issue weakens the position of minority shareholders and makes change unlikely.

Improvements in social responsibility should reduce the number of investors who feel unable to invest in the organisation on ethical grounds. This greater liquidity in shares should subsequently increase share price and so returns to shareholders. Whilst this is true for minority shareholders, the overall return for the dominant partners must consider the impact of company involvement in support of their current business operations and whether any changes will have an overall negative impact.

Concentrating on the minority shareholders, a reduction in adverse publicity through socially responsible actions leads to greater customer support for the company and less likelihood of the organisation being boycotted for corporate tenders or customer purchases. Improved revenue flow improves shareholder wealth.

Rewards gained by shareholders must relate to the risk in investments. These are very high in this scenario with the risk of nationalisation in the dictatorship cutting off wealth transfer abroad and the risk of governmental action to boycott all trade with the regime. These risks will be a major feature in suppressing current share price.

Some shareholders demand a return beyond the financial. This includes the Trade Union Pension Fund whose moral position on labour relations and human rights demands a return from the company in terms of a level of ethical behaviour to retain the Fund’s investment. Action regarding social responsibility meets this need.
Beyond the financial issue, many would regard Geko as a corporate citizen, granted the same rights as any other citizen. With these rights attach responsibilities to operate in a way that does not impinge on the rights of others. At this ethical level, social responsibility is a prerequisite to having a place in this world, a place that can be taken away by those who grant it in the first place.

B  Process

Geko must determine its own unique way of dealing with the variety of stakeholder interests that impact on its operation. This will need to be a blended approach determined through a rigorous process of analysis and evaluation. Information and inclusion will be key factors as well as seeking expert advice and consultation.

Stakeholder mapping, possibly using the Mendelow model, can assist. This plots the level of interest of stakeholder groups against their level of power to affect the organisation. The outcome of the assessment identifies key stakeholder groups and a variety of possible responses. Those with power generally require greater action.

Key interest groups such as the dominant shareholders have been the most important to pacify. This has led to the continued support of the regime. More powerful, yet less interested, will be local government and international interest (possibly UN interest). Such structures must be kept informed and satisfied with the company’s response. The good charitable works carried out in the region cannot be ignored. These local stakeholders benefit from the company’s involvement and any loss of patronage will sorely affect local groups.

Minority shareholders are less powerful but collectively still have a potentially damaging impact on current business strategy and management. They still account collectively for 50% of shareholding and may alert regulatory bodies to mismanagement should this be found. One key issue here is the fact that non-executives are not really independent. This goes against many codes of best practice and may be of interest to regulatory groups.

The Mendelow model is a framework for external analysis. Internally the board of directors must decide on its ethical stance. This, at present, rises slightly higher than Carroll’s economic and legal levels with a slight interest in ethical issues. Ethical stance must be fully explored by management on a corporate and personal level to try to make tangible what the company believes in and whether they feel complicit in human rights abuse or whether corporate concerns do not stretch into these political and civil areas.
Emerging from this analysis will be a corporate stance in relation to ethical decision making. At present it would appear that the corporation is reactionary, denying any responsibility for its poor social record. This could easily move into a defensive stance whether the company accepts some responsibility and decides to take minimum action in order to pacify stakeholder groups.

This might include promises to open direct dialogue with the military dictatorship over labour rights or facilitating meetings between the target government and NGO’s such as Amnesty International.

An accommodating approach would improve on this matter still further and probably amount to a withdrawal from the region. This would be difficult given the high voting power of interested parties among the board and the shareholder base. A final level is one of proactively moving beyond what stakeholders have requested. This will not occur whilst the dominating partners are in control of the company.

Stance leads to the definition of action through determination of policies and programmes for change. Environmentalism is not mentioned in the scenario although this is a key area for oil companies. This organisation could make improvements in this area in order to distract interest from its collusion in the military regime.

All such programmes must be implemented and reviewed as appropriate to ensure the company is responding to the changing interests and needs of both shareholders and stakeholders.

**Strategies**

The current situation is untenable and the unavoidable issue is involvement in the military regime. Since the issues in the case relate to governance and social responsibility these should form the two strands of a strategy (or strategies) for change.

First, the organisation should sell its interest in both countries where its dominant shareholders are operating. The sale may be to the shareholders themselves. These revenues can then be used to buy out the dominant shareholders, returning the shares to Geko’s control.

The removal of these shareholders’ interests on the board allows appropriate non-executive directors with independent expertise to be employed so reinforcing quality at the board level.

The strategy also reduces the size of the company, making it more fleet of foot and returns power to the minority and the market so raising liquidity and through this share price. Any residual gains, and the value from increasing share price, can be reinvested in new ventures in exploration in more suitable commercial environments around the world.
SOC

Answer

A  The importance of reporting on internal controls

The issues raised within SOC are common to many large organisations when governance is considered from a global perspective. The company wishes to gain access to low cost funding from wider capital markets in order to reduce its cost of capital and facilitate growth and renewal of ageing assets. Reporting beyond the minimal state disclosure is a part of this process and providing assurance regarding internal controls is one element that needs to be addressed.

Providing some assurance that internal controls are high on the company’s agenda and are constantly under review gives investors a degree of confidence in corporate operations. This confidence helps persuade investors to buy shares and so provide the required funding.

Confidence relates to an assurance that critical risks are being proactively identified and dealt with through a formal risk management process. These risks include those identified in the case such as the risk of environmental damage or disruption in oil flow across SOC’s operations. Lowering risk in investment lowers the required return of investors and so the cost of capital to the firm. This is an immediate, tangible, financial result from improved reporting and so one that will be of some interest to the board.

The risk management process inherent within this analysis has its own reward that will impact on shareholders decision making process regarding investment and return. By reducing risk the company reduces the likelihood of problems arising and the costs associated with these problems such as legal responsibility for dealing with environmental damage or negative publicity due to the unreliability of operations.

Reporting is a communication device used to tackle the information asymmetry that exists between owners and management of an organisation. Geographical and cultural differences tend to increase the perception of asymmetry and so there is a need to make particular efforts to demonstrate the company is making honest attempts at improving transparency for its investing owners. The greater the distance between owner and investment the more concern the owner has for investment security. Reporting is one of the few ways in which this can be reduced.
As suggested, internal control reporting is a part of global governance codes and global governance is designed to create a homogenous market within which investors and companies can interact for the benefit of both. The movement towards adopting such standards can be seen as an entry price to this community and one that demonstrates a commitment to its values and needs.

B The broadening agenda

It could be said that SOC needs to get the basics right in areas such as internal control before addressing the broadening agenda of corporate and social responsibility. This is true, but social responsibility and in particular environmentalism is such as critical global issue today that it is unlikely that large investors such as pension funds or any organisation with a concern over its own public perception is likely to invest in an organisation that shows a wanton disregard for environmental issues. SOC is not different in this respect.

Social and environmental concerns pose a serious reputation risk that can damage almost any company. Broadening the reporting agenda to include these areas suggests that reporting is a product of action in order to reduce the risk. In this way SOC makes itself accountable and reports on that accountability for environmental protection. Since the report is a natural outcome of action, SOC is taking measures in this area to reduce its risk and so protect its reputation.

Even within the wastes of Siberia there is really nowhere to hide from the global media. Local community groups and global environmental NGO’s have access via e-mail, the internet, mass broadcasting and global media giants, to the investing public at large. Although it can be said that within countries that generally have a poor record of environmental protection one more disastrous episode is likely to go unreported by the global media, this is a very risky and unacceptable strategy from a company wishing to join the global investment community.

Many large investors such as pension funds are required to consider social and environmental protection in their investment decisions. A lack of reporting in these areas is likely to exclude access to this wealth of investment. Investors understand that companies in transition will incur large liabilities in order to put operations on a level footing with regard to the environment. Reporting honestly in this area about decommissioning and clean up costs enable investors to make more informed decisions concerning future prospects rather than simply accepting a clouded perception about hidden risks and costs that might not actually exist.

It must be remembered that increased reporting is not an end in itself. It must result from meaningful action rather than being propaganda and self promotion. Investors appreciate the difference between the two and are likely to see through attempts to fabricate concern for environmental issues rather than honest attempts to deal with the problem.
C Annual report

In order to incorporate the need for internal control and environmental reporting, the annual accounts must be dramatically expanded. Their content could grow to match the wealth of data included in accounts created by their western counterparts although it must be appreciated that, working from a virtual zero base, there is a need to consider the practical reality of their position and develop reporting gradually over time. Too rapid expansion usually leads to questionable accuracy and honesty in content.

• Accounts

The financial accounts are the heart of any annual report and provide information of keen interest to shareholders. These should be presented according to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and should include an external auditor statement regarding their authenticity. Related financial information will include details of proposed dividend to shareholders and sufficient notes to explain how accounting policies have been applied.

• Internal control

This should be a board level statement relating to their opinion regarding the effectiveness of internal control within the entity. It may extend to risk management concerns such as key risk identification and measures taken to reduce exposure to risks.

• Board membership

Investors need to know who they are dealing with. It is important to identify board members by more than name. Details of background and qualification for the role should be stated and, since some may be non-executive and all will require re-election, sufficient detail should be provided to assist shareholders in voting on resolutions to elect when these become due.

• Business review

This is an overview of the nature of the organisation and the scope of its operations. For SOC this will be quite large. Of greater interest is the chairman/CEO’s view in relation to business objectives and current success or progress to the achievement of objectives. The review should be reflective over the course of the previous year but also forward looking towards prospects and new ventures.
• **Corporate social responsibility**

This section should detail risks and strategies for dealing with the company’s relationship and impact on the environment. In particular, measure taken to reduce oil spills and contamination of the biosphere especially in these geographic areas where recovery from such disasters may take many years.

---

**TYU company**

**Answer**

**A Corporate governance and risk management**

In general terms, risk management is part of corporate governance. The Cadbury report in 1992 provided an initial definition of risk management where risk management is *‘the process by which executive management, under board supervision, identifies the risk arising from business and establishes the priorities for control and particular objectives.’*  

The board of the company is therefore seen as having the responsibility for risk management, and in effective showing that management of risk is an important objective for the company. However, day-to-day risk management will be delegated to executive management and lower management levels for more detailed implementation.

Codes of corporate governance normally provide more detailed objectives regarding risk management, again confirming that risk management is an essential element of corporate governance. In the UK code, the following points are made:

- the directors are responsible for looking after the assets of the company and protecting shareholder interests.
- protection includes avoiding losses due to error, omission and fraud.
- measures to ensure that these events do not occur are provided in the internal control system of the company.
- the Combined Code also recommends that *‘the board should maintain a sound system of internal control to safeguard shareholder’s interests and the company’s assets.’*
Codes of corporate governance normally require various structures to be in place to manage risk. For example, the risk committee will assess risks and advise the board on this area, while internal audit and the audit committee will monitor the effectiveness of the control systems within an organisation. Obviously, a good internal control system helps prevent risks from occurring.

However, the board also has the responsibility to manage the company in the interests of the shareholders. In effect, therefore, risk management involves identifying and limiting the impact of risks on the company. If this action was not taken then the primary duty of the directors would not be achieved. It can be argued therefore that risk management in this sense is more than corporate governance; it is the main objective of the directors.

For example, other board objectives include:

- protecting the company from all downside risks including fire, flood, accident claims from staff etc.
- ensuring that a system is in place to for monitoring and controlling these risks
- ensuring that managers take into account not only up-side but also the down-side risk of any decisions made
- ensuring that risks and returns are assessed in the decisions that they take.

Risk management is a key concept in running a company. However, corporate governance takes a broad view of the running of a company, providing outlines of structures necessary for good risk management.

### B Internal control system

In overall terms, an internal control system must be established and maintained and then reviewed on a regular basis to ensure it maintains its effectiveness.

The Turnbull report considered the following three benefits, or outcomes, of an internal control system:

#### Respond to risks

Firstly, the control system should facilitate the effective and efficient operation of the company enabling it to respond to any significant risks which prevent the company from achieving its objectives. The risks could be business, compliance, operational or financial.
The TYU company does not appear to have gained this benefit. Specifically, the decision of a competitor to produce a DVD recorder with internet capabilities implies a lack of environmental monitoring. There is a business risk that, at least in the short term, TYU will lose competitive advantage and sales until this feature can be integrated into their DVD recorders.

**Reporting**

Secondly, to ensure the quality of both internal (management) and external reporting.

The control system should ensure that all levels of management receive the reports they require. The reporting system appears to lack quality in that some important information (the DVD recorder upgrade) was omitted from the reports. However, other features of ‘quality’ such as timeliness and detail presented appear to be acceptable.

Regarding external reporting, while there is no regulatory requirement in many jurisdictions to report on product development, the issue of the use of a dangerous substance raises an ethical issue. Firstly, should it have been used and, secondly, should warnings have been given about its use in the recorder? Obviously, TYU would not want to report use due to adverse impact on sales; however, this view must be balanced against adverse publicity should customers be harmed by the substance.

**Compliance laws and regulations**

Thirdly, to ensure compliance with laws and regulations and with the company’s internal policies regarding the running of the business.

Again, it is unclear whether TYU have actually broken any regulations regarding use of the dangerous substance. However, if there are laws regarding use or disposal of the batteries, then clearly TYU are in breach of those laws. The non-executive directors must consider their position on the matter and, if laws have been broken, then consider the need for third party reporting. The matter is no longer simply ethical (as noted above) but compliance with the law. All directors and/or TYU may be personally liable for any breach.

However, the Turnbull report also noted that the internal control system could not be infallible, and that losses, breaches of legislation etc; could still occur.
**Information**

**Answer**

A  **Information and risk management policy**

**Time period**

Information can be both historical – enabling management to learn from what has happened in the past – and forecast – to try and assess what will happen in the future.

For the purposes of risk management, historical information is required to identify what risks actually occurred and how those risks were managed at this time. This will enable the risk manager to determine strategies for managing similar risks in the future and include those strategies in the company’s risk management policy.

**Timeliness**

Generally speaking, the timeliness of information is not crucial as many strategic decisions are taken over a series of weeks or months. This is particularly relevant where the risk management system is being developed. Time is needed to put the correct risk management system in place, rather than rush to implement an inappropriate system.

However, in some situations a threat will crystallise, and the risk manager will need to know about this quickly. Information systems must, therefore, be able to provide information on critical risks quickly and this criteria will need to be built into the actual policy implemented.

**Objectivity**

Strategic decision-making will require a mixture of objective and subjective information. Building long term plans needs future information, which incorporates subjective forecasts of what is likely to happen.

The risk manager will need objective information on risks that may occur so these can be included in the risk management policy. The element of bias must be reduced so the risk manager knows exactly what risks could occur and what the impact of those risks could be.

**Quantifiability**

Strategic decision-making needs both qualitative and quantitative information, although attempts will often be made to quantify apparently quantitative data. This enables such data to be incorporated into the kind of mathematical models often used in the building of strategic plans.
Information risk management needs to be quantifiable so that the risk manager can determine the cost of different risk management systems. Quantification also means that a cost benefit analysis can be carried out to ensure that the risk management system is actually worth implementing. For example, placing a CCTV over a petty cash box may not be particularly cost-effective.

**Accuracy**

Regarding cost, there is no demand for information to be completely accurate, it will often be rounded to the nearest thousand.

The rationale behind this statement is that risk management systems can be expensive to implement. Knowing costs to the nearest £ or $ is normally inappropriate.

**Certainty**

By its very nature, information on the future is subject to uncertainty. Strategic planners must be capable of adjusting to the limitations of the data.

It is obviously difficult to identify all risks that can occur in the future. Some degree of uncertainty must therefore be accepted. The risk management system must therefore be sufficiently flexible to identify new risks as they occur.

**Completeness**

Strategic planners will often need to work with only partial information, using assumptions and extrapolations to try to build as complete a picture as possible.

This will be true for the risk management system; it is not possible to know exactly what risks will occur. However, historical information and use of data from other companies (e.g. in the company reports) will help to build a complete a picture as possible.

**Breadth**

A wide variety of data is needed for strategic planning. It must cover all the organisation’s operations and can come in various forms.

In terms of risk management, all the activities and divisions of the organisation must be considered. To omit part of the organisation would mean that the risk management system was incomplete.
Detail

It is unnecessary to have a great deal of detail when building a strategic plan, and detail is likely to be distracting and confusing. Aggregated and summarised data is most commonly used by senior management.

From the strategic point of view, this comment is correct for a risk management policy – the policy will set the overall approach to risk management. The detail will be determined later when the policy is implemented.

B Information systems for risk management

The board of the company will have the overall responsibility for establishing systems of internal control and risk management.

Internal control

Regarding internal control, the board have the overall responsibility for establishing the system of internal control and ensuring this system is effective. Detailed implementation of control systems will be delegated to senior management.

Information that the board will receive to determine the effectiveness of the internal controls will be provided primarily by the internal auditor. The reports will be checked by the audit committee to ensure accuracy and completeness.

As well as receiving reports on the effectiveness of internal control systems, the board will also receive recommendations for improvements in those systems, focused primarily on key weaknesses. It will be a board decision to provide the resources to alleviate the weaknesses.

In some situations such as Sarbanes-Oxley, the information provided will have to be very detailed and formal so that the board can fulfil their statutory reporting responsibilities.
Risk management

Regarding risk management, the board will be establishing the strategic direction of the organisation, for example in terms of which products to manufacture in what locations as well as setting the risk appetite of the company. The board will, therefore, need information on different scenarios for production, showing clearly the risks of different options.

This information will be produced by the risk manager and processed through the risk management committee to ensure that it meets the requirements of the board.

As well as information on strategic alternatives, the board will also receive the advice of the risk committee on those alternatives. Information systems to provide this advice may be formal – a written report – or informal – a verbal presentation to the board by the risk manager – or a combination of both systems.

Answer

A  Effective systems of internal control

The committee of Sponsoring Organisations (COSO) identifies the components of an effective system of internal control as being a control environment within which all operations occur, a risk assessment process to ensure all risks and considered, control activities to ensure operations occur in an acceptable way, information and communication to integrate and facilitate effective operations and finally the existence of monitoring services to evaluate the effectiveness of control.

• Control environment

The control environment sets the tone of an organisation, influencing the control consciousness of its people. It is the foundation for all other components of internal control, providing discipline and structure. Factors include the integrity, ethical values and competence of the entity’s people; management’s philosophy and operating style; the way management assigns authority and responsibility, and organises and develops its people; and the attention and direction provided by the board of directors.
• **Risk assessment**

This process will ensure that all risks are captured and maintained in a risk register for the organisation. The risks will subsequently be assessed, considering both impact and probability, and an attempt will be made to distinguish between controllable and uncontrollable risks.

• **Control activities**

Control activities are the policies and procedures that help ensure management directives are carried out. They help ensure that necessary actions are taken to address risks to achievement of the entity’s objectives. Control activities occur throughout the organisation, at all levels and in all functions. They include a range of activities such as authorisations, reviews of operating performance and segregation of duties.

• **Information and communication**

Pertinent information must be identified, captured and communicated in a form and timeframe that enables people to carry out their responsibilities. Information systems produce reports, containing operational, financial and compliance-related information that makes it possible to run and control the business. Communication is the process through which information is received and passed on through the corporate structure.

• **Monitoring**

Internal control systems need to be monitored. This is accomplished through ongoing monitoring activities, separate evaluations or a combination of the two. Ongoing monitoring occurs in the course of operations. It includes regular management and supervisory activities, and other actions personnel take in performing their duties.

**Failings**

The more significant issue is to question how these control elements are failing within ILT. Such an assessment should identify roads to improvement.
The company has a noble mission and this should be communicated and reinforced from board level downwards through the hierarchy of the company. The current situation at ILT suggests that the board is failing to do this. A positive self image has been replaced with negative culture of secrecy and a lack of trust.

Increased secrecy has arisen in part through a failure in internal control over the treatment of animals and, perhaps more damaging, there may be a lack of trust between work colleagues as each feels the other is a potential whistleblower. This lack of openness, endemic in operations, will manifest itself in a lack of internal control in other areas.

**Risk assessment**

There is no evidence of a formal risk assessment activity being undertaken. However, it is clear that the concept of risk exists amongst management who have decided to implement the controls over the current projects. This process still needs to be formalised, since at present it is very likely that significant risks could remain undetected, on unacknowledged by the management of ILT.

**Control activities**

The only control mentioned is the work of project review teams. In its operation there is clearly pressure to collude in order to protect each others projects and ensure criticism of ones own work does not arise. Board level pressure for results and a bunker mentality do not suggest objectivity and openness in discussions. In effect there is no review or control mechanism over project results and this leads to a risk of fabricated positive results in order to secure bonuses.

**Information and communication**

The inherent secrecy over operations will not support open communication. A lack of communication can lead to errors, a lack of coordination in projects and isolation of groups, especially the board. The reluctance of the board to carry out its monitoring function in receiving information regarding projects throughout their operation leads to a delay in negative results being brought to the board’s attention. This seriously jeopardises the ability of the board to evaluate and respond to risks.
There is no mention of a review process to ensure internal controls are operating effectively. Details of current board focus suggest they are not actively involved in this area. Without a formal evaluation of the quality of internal controls, failings in internal controls are almost certain to continue.

B Objectives

The objectives of internal control are to improve the opportunity for successful company operations. This can be viewed in a variety of ways.

Firstly, internal control seeks to ensure objectives are being met. These are stated as being to advance scientific knowledge and protect the general public. One aspect of this will be the ability of internal control measures such as the project review to identify faults in research and correct them prior to poor products entering the market.

Internal control creates an environment for efficient and effective operations. This can be seen in the ability to constantly correct problems and allow for new ideas or adaptation to occur as a result of problems coming to light. Control over animal conditions through the use of clear procedures for care and the auditing of procedure use by managers may have saved this company from poor publicity.

Internal control leads to financial propriety. This is specifically mentioned by COSO. The reason relates to the purpose of organisations (to make profits) and that anything that helps in achieving this is worthy of consideration. It is also mentioned because of the need to ensure quality in financial reporting to shareholders (true and fair view). At ILT, contract costs and revenues, reduction in legal claims against the company for faulty results and awarding bonuses only on merit are all financial concerns that will improve through internal control.

Assured compliance is a linked point mentioned in the COSO framework. Here compliance initially relates to the ability to comply with codes of practice such as the combined code or, perhaps more importantly, SOX and the legal implications of a failure to comply. However, internal control can extend to the ability to comply with health and safety regulations at ILT and general methodologies for testing used in the industry.

The issue of assurance is a part of the compliance objective. The board will gain an assurance that operations are occurring as they should through focusing on internal control. This assurance reduces risks and therefore the likelihood of a repeat whistleblower event as described, or the possible investigation into their activities following the US drug recall.
The required role of the audit committee can be referenced to the Combined Code and principles/provisions that state in an unambiguous way the process through which the committee improves internal control:

- **An assessment of significant risks**

  All actions taken by the committee must be at a sufficient level to justify their deliberation by non-executive directors whose time is limited. Management must make every effort to provide concise and material information regarding risks and controls throughout the period or at least on an annual basis.

  The narrative identifies three key risks. These are in relation to unethical working practices regarding staff, poor labour conditions in supplier factories and social impact of company operations. All are significant and all exist within the context of a larger threat to reputation. Reputation risk relates to the threat of negative impact on stakeholder perception of company operations that in turn leads to various actions against the company (such as the film) and the possibility of customer backlash and falling revenues. These risks must be dealt with as part of the audit committee’s remit.

- **The effectiveness of internal controls**

  A number of existing internal controls are identified. These relate to the existence of HR policies regarding public relations, the use of local agents to monitor factory facilities and community support through local government action and incentives to relocate.

  The issue here is not in the existence of such controls but rather in their worth or effectiveness. The existence of the film suggests that to some degree these controls are not working and a review at the very least is required. It may be that HR policies are too far away or remote from local store managers thinking to have any effect. It may also be that local agents collude with factories or simply ignore this side of their duty since there is little reward for identifying problems (including the possible relocation of the factory away from their area and subsequent personal job loss). It may also be that the company has been unsuccessful in communicating the positive aspects of relocation to counter the negative impact of their presence in a given market.
• **Recommendations**

Whilst the audit committee cannot be expected to detail recommendations in order to improve risk management, they must be considered as a catalyst to action in identifying and committing the organisation to action.

Firstly, regional managers should investigate any allegations of impropriety in staff relations and a full training programme for store managers should be rolled out focused on ethical behaviour and professionalism. Incentives should move away from purely cost and revenue related to include rewards for low scores in accident rates or staff turnover. This will help to refocus the store managers on what is important to the company.

Secondly, a team of independent inspectors should be commissioned to visit factory sites unannounced and the views of employees in these factories should be gathered confidentially. If necessary local government officials should be used to assist in monitoring, with full knowledge of the threat of factory closure should regular and factual information regarding operations not be forthcoming.

Finally, public relations, possibly in the form of a corporate video, should be used to counter the claims of community dislocation. This could focus on the fact that the company is a major employer and the benefits that low cost products bring to communities. Increased work in community projects such as schools may also assist.

• **Report and review**

The internal audit committee must report its findings with a candid view of the effectiveness of internal control in the company. It is not enough to say that they believe control to be adequate without first investigating and verifying that it actually is.

The review of effectiveness is an ongoing and refining process and should be objective in ensuring that the needs of all shareholders are being met through the nature of reporting carried out. This is considered in greater depth below.
B Relevant issues

There are many factors that need to be considered when determining the nature of information disclosed by the organisation. The most fundamental of these is compliance. If codes are mandatory the company has no choice but to report stated code requirements. If it does not it is acting outside of the law. Voluntary codes require adherence to a ‘comply or explain’ policy and so in effect the issues contained in the code must still be dealt with in one way or another.

This issue has relevance to the review of the effectiveness of internal control detailed above. The outcome of the review must be included in the annual accounts under any developed code framework.

The second issue is whether the company should say more than is legally required. The scenario highlights the reluctance of the majority family shareholders to move into this direction, possibly because there is little information asymmetry since they work within the firm as executive directors. However, the audit committee, being made up of non-executives, must work in the interests of all shareholders and not disadvantage the minority. It is in this spirit of equal access to information that extending disclosure should be discussed.

Whatever level of disclosure is decided upon there is a need for clarity in all communication. The Combined Code states the need for meaningful dialogue rather than detailed financial, rule driven information. It also states the need for data to be presented at an appropriate level of detail for shareholders or strategic users to find meaning and relevance in its presentation.

The need for relevance is closely associated with the issue of materiality as a quality of good information. The three issues identified in the scenario and the company’s proposed response to the film, are clearly material issues that must be discussed in the annual accounts. Having said this, the company may decide to ignore mention of the film in order to avoid giving it undue publicity with the accompanying suggestion that it contains elements of truth.

The weighting given to each disclosed issue is a related point. If too much attention is given to any control issue it may suggest an increased importance and therefore problematic nature of the concern. There are also political issues such as the extent to which the report gives equal consideration to labour relations at home or the labour relations issues in supplier factories. To favour one against the other is a very sensitive point. In fact much of the issue of disclosure relates to the need for sensitivity in how ethical and moral flaws are conveyed and explained.
Overall, there is a requirement for transparency or at the least the impression of transparency. The willingness to be open and frank concerning difficulties that a major organisation may have in controlling its vast empire often pays dividends in the eyes of investors. When a company seems secretive and evasive this often gives the impression that there is something to hide and truth in rumours in the market or allegations on film.

In conclusion, the company must weigh up these often opposing issues and reach a balance in terms of what it is able and willing to disclose. It would seem apparent that above all else there is a need for some sort of action or response in order to stop the negative publicity escalating and having a negative impact on company reputation.

---

### BJZ

**Answer**

**A Reasons for failure in internal control**

Internal control, no matter how sophisticated, cannot provide an absolute assurance that disaster will not strike. This is true for any company. However, in the case of BJZ, a number of weaknesses have exacerbated a difficult situation and heightened the risk of failure. These are dealt with below.

**Cost/benefit**

Control is a financial investment in risk reduction. This benefit must be weighed against the size of investment the company is willing to commit to the cause. It will always be a balancing act in terms of investing enough to reduce risks to an acceptable level against the costs arising should the threat materialise. In this instance, it is likely that the huge costs involved in the project have curtailed some efforts to reduce risk. This might relate to over reliance on outside contractors or costs in relation to the type of pipe used in the oil field.

**Management override**

There is no doubt that managers were well intentioned in over riding maintenance schedules in order to protect human life. The failure in internal control is two fold. Firstly, adequate internal control over employee safety should exist through other policies and practices so that their health is not threatened in the harsh operating conditions. Secondly, managers should not sacrifice one control in order to protect another asset. Policies and procedures are high level concerns, where risks and measures are best assessed. Managers should instead have communicated the problem and provided information to senior line managers to assist them in determining a solution.
Collusion

The collusion between the manager and the contractor is another reason for internal control failure. It is difficult to protect against this kind of problem since operations are human processes and therefore open to corruption and misplaced loyalties. Professionalism, culture, training and supervision as well as independent verification of maintenance work carried out may have reduced the impact of this failure.

Poor judgement

At a strategic and operational level, poor professional judgement has led to failure. At the strategic level poor judgement may relate to the board’s position regarding admission of responsibility and its subsequent inability to gain shareholder support. It could be said that the decision to drill in such an inhospitable and challenging environment was poor judgement or a lack of adequate consideration of risk.

Breakdown

In the end internal control can fail simply due to bad luck. It is impossible to identify everything that may happen and to protect all assets, particularly when they include thousands of miles of pipeline. Risks and outcomes can be assessed and control determined and implemented but there are always natural occurrences such as the weather that remain unpredictable. The problem for BJZ is the extent to which this problem could have been predicted and the perception of company culpability in orchestrating the environmental disaster.

Role of the board of directors

The primary role of the board of directors, according to the combined code, COSO and SOX, is to accept responsibility for internal control within the organisation. The board are the strategic leaders making executive decisions and it is ultimately their responsibility to protect the organisation and its stakeholders against the risks that arise through operations. The board’s position at the AGM seems indefensible in this matter and this should be addressed through formal communication to all stakeholders through the media.

Once acceptance of this responsibility is instilled in board operation, they should then determine appropriate policies to deal with the risks ranged against the company. The process for dealing with this role is described below. An important consideration is the depth of policies that emerge. Clearly the board is not in a position to detail procedures in every area of operation and so policy may be more target or objective orientation, stating KPIs for others to achieve.
The Combined Code makes specific reference to the need to gain an assurance of the quality of internal controls used in the organisation. This is an annual review or evaluation process carried out through the internal audit function and responsible to the audit committee which itself is a working party formed from board membership. The role of the board in this instance should be detailed through the annual report.

Finally, and ultimately, through the above, the role of the board is to manage risk. Managing risk does not mean risk elimination. It is a relative process and the degree to which risks are managed is a prime consideration for board debate. In this instance it would appear that the failures in internal control have led to an inability to successfully manage risk. This failure is therefore a failure of the board itself as well as specific individuals below this level.

**Process**

A process for managing internal control at board level will tend to reflect a standard change process used in all decision making. The specifics will, of course, relate to this area.

- **Risk identification**

  Risks must be identified and assessed in order to determine those that are acceptable and those that require action. Risks may then be prioritised for immediate or later consideration and in order to assist in internal control investment determination. There are many risks in this scenario. The risk of loss to human life and the risk of environmental disaster are two prominent issues. Other risks include the reputational risk that such failures bring with its resulting outcome both at the shareholder meeting and the petrol stations. There are also financial risks such as the risk of project budget over runs and natural risks such as the risk of a prolonged winter and its effect on production. Operational failure and the risk of rising or lowering oil prices due to events in this field and others around the globe must also be considered.
• **Strategy determination**

As already discussed, the extent to which the board of directors will consider any issue is limited by time, expertise and necessity. However, there must be guidance and policy determination. Various strategies will be considered and a few selected after deliberation of costs and benefits. There must be a clear policy or even mission statement regarding the extent to which the company will develop renewable energy sources or remain focussed on these traditional, high risk non renewable sources such as oil. KPIs should include targets for reduction in accidents and loss of life, targets for elimination of oil spills, targets for R&D into new forms of energy and statements of ethical intent. Policies over the use of contractors should be reviewed as soon as possible.

• **Review and report**

Regular review is a separate process that ensures control systems are working effectively and updated as required. This review should be hierarchical and independent of operations, carried out by internal audit teams. The review builds from the bottom up and culminates with evaluation/consideration by the audit committee.

The committee itself should be dominated by non-executive directors with appropriate expertise in areas such as environmental protection and exploration in difficult terrain. The committee report directly to the board with recommendations as appropriate. This report becomes the basis for information included in the annual report delivered to shareholders.

**Answer**

**A Reasons for increasing importance**

Most companies would describe themselves as going through a perpetual process of change. RSJ is no exception. However, the move from a long-term traditional base into new businesses is a particularly difficult and risky process with an increased need for risk assessment and appropriate management and control.

Under these circumstances internal audit has an increasingly important role to play in monitoring the level of control built into new businesses, how these integrate into the company as a whole and the effectiveness of operations in achieving company goals.
Scale and diversity of operations suggest a need for increases in the volume and diversity of internal audit functions. Whilst there has been a reduction in the overall size of the firm, with accompanying appropriate reduction in the numbers involved in internal control, this does not remove the need to consider these issues. The company is obviously very large and so needs an internal control function to monitor its scale effectively. It is also diverse, to an extent, and this diversity seems to be increasing with the move away from traditional markets. Internal control is increasingly important in understanding and dealing with this diversity.

Two specific operational issues are identified, technology and outsourcing. It could be argued that the greater the level of automation the increased opportunities that exist for fraud and error. The complexity of technology suggests an increased need for expertise to monitor that complexity. Externalities such as outsourcing are difficult to control and although externalising suggests less need for direct control there is an increasing need to develop different approaches to coordinate and control these separate entities, hence an increasing or at least differing role for internal audit.

The failure of one outsourcing contract and the risk to human life and corporate continuance is a major concern for RSJ. The costs of failure heighten the need for internal control in this industry as opposed to other less risky venture.

Finally, the unacceptable accounting treatment is itself a cause for concern. In terms of increasing importance of internal audit this relates to desperation arising from poor trading performance. The need for internal audit is inverse to the performance of the company in this respect with its role increasing as results decrease.

B Differing types of work of internal audit

The reasons for increasing importance of internal audit provide a backdrop to considering the differing roles such as function may take. These can be viewed in relation to the various different forms of audit associated with the internal audit function.

Compliance audit

This investigates the extent to which management are complying with internal controls set by the organisation. These could relate to operational controls over project management such as the need to sign off stages in construction or health and safety controls on site. They also relate to the need to consider compliance in relation to governance and accounting.
The CFO’s accounting treatment would point to a failure in this area. The reporting of the matter to the audit committee is a related role for all audits or work carried out by the internal audit function. The anonymity associated with reporting this issue shows the seriousness of the concern and the difficulty internal audit has in operating within and yet outside of the management structure.

**Effectiveness audit**

This relates to assessment of current operations in terms of the extent to which they are effective in supporting company objectives. Real issues raised include the policy towards outsourcing and its attached risks and the management accounting policies used for pricing projects and the extent to which these are effective. It would seem that changes are required in this area.

**Efficiency audit**

This considers productivity and the use of company resources. Critics of the costing policy suggest that its use has led to a reduction in innovation and improving construction processes. These are productivity issues. Benchmarking against competitors may offer a solution and is worthy of investigation by management or internal audit.

**Value for money (VFM)/Economy audit**

This relates to cost reduction exercises and may be viewed through the lens of outsourcing and staff reduction. These are resource issues and costs associated with relocation, staff transfer, redundancy are all worthy of assessment in order to verify management policy in this area.

**Management audit**

This relates to the need to review the quality of management within the organisation and to recommend change as necessary. There is little evidence in the scenario to support this need although recommendation to the board on the importance of a rigorous review of their performance as part of governance reform is a related point. There seem to be serious strategic failings in the management of the company and this should be the subject of a frank and open review.
C Objectives of internal audit

Internal control

Internal audit is a function designed to improve the level of internal control within an organisation. This improvement might manifest itself in increasing VFM as described above or in a reduction in error and misjudgement. The increasing need for internal audit and therefore internal control has already been described. Ensuring the entity is controlled effectively is the first step to changing direction and recovery. This should be communicated to the board for their consideration.

Risk management

Risk management may mean risk reduction and with the high price for failure detailed in the nuclear disaster, risk reduction is a worthy goal in itself. Risk management is actually a wider issue suggesting the development of a formal process for identifying and dealing with risks. Internal audit becomes a part of risk management through its operation and therefore this is part of its purpose.

External audit support

Much of the work of the internal audit function has a direct impact on the need for and nature of external audit work carried out. It will lead to support for the independent review of the company’s financial position and should mean lower audit costs for organisations. These are important issues for the board, especially in relation to governance in the interests of shareholders.
Assurance

Through reporting to the audit committee the internal audit function provides a level of assurance as to the good management of the company. This assurance feeds through to board operation in an atmosphere of good governance and provides shareholders with the assurance they require in terms of the use of their money within the entity. The fact that directors do not seem to own shares in the company may question the extent to which they are aligned with shareholder needs.

DD Entertainment

Answer

A  Risks and risk assessment

Discussion Paper

To: The board of directors

From: XXX (management team)

Date: XXX

Subject: Risk assessment in company operations

Introduction

The following offers a broad view as to the nature of risks and the importance of risk management as a tool in assessing exposure and strategy in dealing with risks.

Content

The competitive success of the company depends on its ability to deal with the variety of risks to which it is exposed. These include project based, financial market, technology and political risk, each of which is examined below.
Casino construction is exposed to a variety of risks that are exacerbated through location decisions such as country, region and terrain. The site chosen is a critical decision in terms of its ability to attract clientele. For this reason it may be preferable to follow competitors who have a proven track record in certain location chosen for historic, economical and cultural reasons. Within the construction project risk exposure is inherent in terms of design difficulties, labour relations, political interference and supplier relationships.

Risk assessment in terms of monitoring the extent to which difficulties may arise can be viewed through cost projection, lengthening forecasts on project completion and architectural commentary on potential problems. During the project itself these same issues may be used as a strong indicator of increasing exposure and the need for control action.

**Financial risk**

The corporate strategy to diversify geographically has been used as a risk reduction technique. However, inherent within this move is exposure to finance risk through exchange rate volatility affecting earnings and cash flow. This can be coupled with varying taxation levels in different companies and potential problems in the ability to transfer funds from overseas venues.

Risk assessment involves monitoring the changing impact of these issues on finance available for both shareholders and retention for ongoing expansion. Levels of gearing are also an increasingly important performance indicator given the potential for takeover within the industry. The level of gearing has a direct relationship to cash flow problems and, possibly, difficulties in raising cash from shareholders due to their perception of risk within the industry. An improvement in risk management may affect this perception and through this corporate finance raising prospects.

**Market risk**

Market risk could relate to this takeover issue and the potential for competitors or equity firms to make a bid for control of the organisation. In a general sense, market risk relates to the level of risk within the industry itself, which is considerable. Gambling, for many, is a leisure pursuit available through the existence of high levels of disposable income. Economic cycles dictate the extent to which this income is available and leisure industries are often the first to suffer during periods of economic downturn.
Risk assessment can be viewed through an historic analysis of returns and cycles over the company’s long history. It could also be assessed through readily available economic data. In terms of competition, the existence of takeover bids, increased shareholder dissatisfaction and activism or simply bad analyst publicity could assess the extent to which a threat exists.

- **Technology risk**

  Direct reference is made to technology as a risk issue. The speed of change in technology continues to accelerate and will do so for the foreseeable future. It is an important element in marketing and attracting customers to our facilities and provides the potential to achieve competitive advantage. Risk relates to failure to keep up with competitors in this area and the costs that arise through use of technologies that do not enhance the experience in line with customers needs.

  Risk assessment investigates the extent of exposure to these kinds of threats. Customer and competitor surveys may assist in the task. Size of information technology (IT) budget and turnover of IT projects indicate the extent to which the company is reliant on this resource or exposed to it.

- **Political risk**

  There are many political risks such as changing governmental policy on the issue of licenses, refusal of planning permission and even, in extreme circumstances, regime change. Risk exposure or assessment may involve expert opinion or monitoring the changing levels of taxation and negotiating difficulties in license approval. Media reports or even instances of direct action from the local population are strong indicators of the need to increase public relations effort in this area.
B Importance of risk management

The importance of risk management can be seen through a number of issues raised in the previous discussion regarding risks and risk assessment. Risk management relates to the development and monitoring of a formal process for reducing the company’s exposure to the threats ranged against it.

The positive aspects of doing this centre on an improved ability to deal with these risks reducing their instance and cost and so enabling the company to perform more effectively. This effectiveness can be viewed in terms of the ability to generate profits and the ability to increase the certainty in operations, the assurance that the company will perform adequately. Assurance is beneficial in itself since the sense of certainty provides management with strength and focus away from the uncertainty and firefighting prevalent in less successful organisations.

At a strategic level, risk management is a tool through which strategic opportunity can be identified and managed. The importance of this can be seen in current corporate strategy and the ability to identify appropriate global site locations for casinos. This is an incredibly difficult task and one upon which the success of multi million dollar investment hangs. A single wrong decision could mark corporate failure or at least the likely takeover by a competitor.

As a process risk management has a coordinating and percolating effect. Beginning with strategic management’s improved focus on threats and ability to deal with these issues, tactical and operational staff actions are coordinated efficiently and focused towards what needs to be done. The percolating effect is cultural in terms of highlighting the importance of risk management, raising awareness of the need to be aware of risks ad capable of reporting or dealing with them. This has a particular resonance down to the gambling tables and identifying fraudsters and cheats at work in the casino.

Compliance is a final benefit of improved risk management that highlights its importance to the company. Managing risks is an activity, like all others, carried out on behalf of the company owners. Compliance can be viewed in terms of how the process ensures the company complies with their wishes in terms of assuring adequate returns on their investment. Risk management also enables the company to comply with the terms of its license agreement with governments and even elements of the local population by complying with age restrictions and time restrictions on the availability of the service.

In a governance sense, risk management or risk awareness is a part of required reporting as evidenced by the 10K report and so is not an optional consideration.
Risk assessment process

Risk assessment is a process through which an organisation identifies and assesses the importance of threats facing its operation. It is the first stage in a wider risk management process that determines strategies to deal with these threats and then monitors and adapts as necessary in order to reduce risks and hazard occurrences as much as possible.

Mineco operates in a high risk environment. The scenario identifies a number of categories of risk and specific examples. All would need to be addressed in a comprehensive process. The outcomes of risk management will need to be identified to shareholders through the annual accounts in sufficient detail to allow them to assess the extent to which the organisation has been successful in dealing with risks, and through this the security of their stake in the company.

Extraction risk

This could incorporate the risk of failure in mining operations, the risk of cost escalation through technical difficulties arising through mining operations and the specific event risk mentioned such as the risk of loss of human life. The final issue would tend to be given the highest priority in strategy definition and reporting due to its nature.

Risk assessment should firstly detail the scope of this issue and whether it needs to be subcategorised under health and safety and technical issues and site selection risks. Sufficient information should then be introduced to provide a clearer picture of the nature of the problem.

Appropriate health and safety legislation, recommendations and statistics benchmarked to competitors may be used in relation to the threat to human life. Geographical and seismic surveys followed by on site investigations will form part of assessing the risk of failure in potential drilling operations. Regular progress reports to senior management and information sharing across all sites will assist in forecasting the extent to which technical problems are likely as well as possible solutions.
Market risk

Market risk can relate to the competitive market or financial market risk. In this scenario the latter is given attention. There are a number of risks to consider. Exchange rate volatility will be important since operations are truly global. Fluctuations in exchange rates affect the value of contracts with customers as well as the cost of operations and the value of the product.

This will be readily understood at the strategic level and dealt with through the accounting function described. Related financial risks include problems with liquidity to support the huge cost of extraction, customer credit risk when dealing with governments and companies around the world and commodity price risk as identified.

Risk assessment will use macro economic data and forecasting tools, a wealth of historic commodity statistics and, importantly, the need for senior management to assess future trading conditions and set a strategy accordingly. There is no doubt price and rates will move, it is the likely severity of change and its impact on the bottom line that must be assessed.

Political risk

The global nature of the company leads to the need to consider trading conditions within a variety of countries. These conditions include the nature of interactions with government / regime authority and its potential impact. Mines operate in some of the least politically stable regions of the world and risks must be assessed accordingly.

Risk assessment will necessarily be on a region and country specific basis. It will call upon the use of expert opinion and contacts within governments to assess the extent and nature to which the company can work with the authority. Outcomes include a forecast of likely tax and royalty payments as well as the possibility of incentives to aid development of given regions.

Environmental risk

This is an increasingly important concern due to the nature of company operations. A number of areas of environmental and social concern are detailed in the scenario; risk assessment must evaluate their importance individually. This again will call upon the need for expert opinion, possibly involvement of environmental groups such and a reflection on competitor action and governance standards. ISO 14001 is a standard against which environmental performance can be assessed. The extent to which the company is unable to comply is an assessment of negative impact.
Environmental risk is not the same as environmental impact. The company will impact on the environment due to its nature. The risk is the threat of negative impact beyond that which is expected. This could relate to local communities or the natural world. Risk assessment should call upon information from the 100 sites in current operation, the trade / industry knowledge database and the company’s own historical experience to identify the scope of issues raised. Their severity and likelihood should then be determined prior to appropriate strategy definition.

B Strategies

Mineco will have a number of strategies, policies and procedures in place to deal with the risks ranged against it. The determination of strategy and its implementation moves the company from risk assessment to risk management, concluding with the need for review and adaptation.

Extraction risk

Since this risk category is broad, strategies will be numerous. Since the loss of human life is the greatest threat facing any company, this will be discussed here. There will already be stringent safety procedures in place at mines; these must be enforced through good quality management and sanctions for non adherence. Regular, compulsory training of staff will also assist in ensuring safety is given a high priority. All industries have specific safety equipment and technologies and these should be used company wide. These are all operational issues. At the strategic level the board should communicate its intent through giving this risk the highest priority in its corporate disclosures and should been seen to reward loss accident levels where these exist.

Market risk

Standard financial management instruments can be used to assist in reducing market risk. These include the use of derivatives in order to hedge against future price fluctuation through futures contracts. This is a way of reducing risk although it may also lead to a reduction in possible profits depending on market movement. Currency swaps may assist in dealing with the negative impact of exchange rate fluctuation. Credit rating agencies will be used to reduce the problems of potential bad debts from customers and cash flow management will include the need to use reserves that can be liquidated at short notice in order to deal with liquidity problems.
Political risk

One strategy mentioned in the scenario was to use partnerships on large projects rather than take on the entire project as a single company. This seems a reasonable strategy to reduce risk; it will also have an impact on profits through the need to distribute profits through all partners.

Environmental risk

Strategies will call for the development of a full corporate social responsibility programme using some of the large profits the company makes to invest in community projects including housing and schooling. Possibly the biggest issue is the amount invested in covering the scars left when mines are finally decommissioned. This is an important issue for those left behind when the company leaves.

WS

Answer

A Risk and corporate culture

WS is a very successful company with performance outstripping the market many times. This is due to its limited objective focus and its investment in this area.

WS defines risk in terms of the potential threat to its share price or continued growth and subsequent inability to meet market expectations. The hazard would become a reality if it failed to achieve forecasts levels of profitability or if the market perceived that it would be unable to meet such forecasts in the future. Another scenario would be perception of misstatement of accounting performance as alluded to at the end of the case.

Embedding risk into corporate culture is the transference of belief systems between those who define that belief and all other stakeholders/staff operating within the structure. This process begins with the definition of risk as detailed above and the determination of objectives in relation to risk. This definition would relate to the forecast in earnings/growth/ share price over a given period as defined by the CEO.

The communication process and absorption of belief by staff begins with senior management pronouncements as to what is important to the company. This can be seen in the actions of management in encouraging staff to buy stock and the evidence of price screens in the elevators.
The financial interest created by staff buying stock and by senior management's remuneration relating to stock price creates an incentive to work in the interest of improving stock performance. This is common to most organisations of size and entirely appropriate since it is a way of dealing with the agency relationship and aligning the needs of management with the needs of shareholders.

Belief must be supported through action. Management investment in energy trading signals this as being the pathway to success in raising profits and share price. The financial nature of the trading activity is a subtle and unintentional reinforcement of the importance of the markets and trading in general and can be linked to the need to perform in the stock market.

It may be the case that focus on profitable areas has led to a lack of control over other projects that do not offer the same rapid rewards such as the power station construction project. This evidence of a lack of control or rather a refocus of interest and management control on profitable areas reinforces the importance of rapid growth and instant returns rather than steady development over time.

**B Combating risk exposure**

Risk exposure relates to the likelihood of something going wrong. In this instance the “something” would relate to a downturn in profits or an inability to meet market expectation. There are a number of ways of dealing with this.

The first reference is to the need to diversify risk through a variety of business interests. This can be seen in the vertical integration strategy developed by the company during its early years. Being involved in a number of areas reduces the impact of failure in any given area. The greater the diversification the greater the risk reduction as long as enough expertise exists to service different market demands. This is probably why the company moves into financial market trading through energy trading, because it already has expertise in this area, although it is a different business and, as stated, risks are high.

Combating risk exposure is not necessarily the same as reducing risk exposure. It may also involve raising the level of rewards required in order to compensate for the added risk that exists. The company raises the expectation of profits to compensate the market for increased risk. This ensures share price remains supported and high. The problem is in the ability to service this higher expectation over a long period.
The combating of risk through higher rewards can also be seen in the compensation of staff and, more importantly, senior management. Their belief and enthusiasm is maintained through the level of reward the market is willing to pay them through their remuneration packages in order to retain them within the firm and presumably compensate them for working in a risky venture.

Externalising problems is a way of dealing with risk. The company uses this in terms of dealing with the negative publicity from the failed venture on the Indian sub continent. Blaming others externalises responsibility and if successful leads to a negligible or non existent impact of the problem on the company, except of course for the losses that are incurred through the project.

The lack of transparency in accounting works in the interests of the firm in that it makes it difficult to determine whether the share price is or is not justified. The outcome of this is that shareholders are willing to believe in the integrity of the company and keep supporting it by buying shares. It may be the case that they are wholly justified in this belief although it is risky for the organisation to rely on a strategy that may be misinterpreted. If there is nothing to hide then there seems no useful purpose in deliberately making accounts difficult for the owners of the company to understand and use.

C Comprehensive risk management programme

It could be argued that the company does provide a comprehensive risk management programme. It defines risk in a simple way, embeds that risk into the belief systems of all staff down to the screens in the elevators, and then manages that risk through its investments and communication to the shareholders. It is difficult to argue against risk management from this perspective.

The issue is in the interpretation of the word comprehensive. The current approach is comprehensive if the definition of risk is limited but entirely lacking if the scope of risk is extended.

An improved risk management programme would appreciate the full scope of risks and act accordingly. It could be argued that all other risks, except possibly the loss of human life, fall beneath the overriding demand for profit, after all this is the purpose of the organisation operating in accordance with the wishes of shareholders; even if this is accepted it should also be appreciated that lower tier risks, if not adequately dealt with, will impact on the overriding objective as much as a direct failure to focus on cost reduction and revenue growth.
Direct problems that are not being appropriately managed are the failure of the power plant projects and the lack of transparency in the accounts. The power plant write-offs must impact in some way on operating statements and this affects share price. Local project management on difficult investments such as this should be given a higher priority or the investment decision process should be re-evaluated so that projects such as this are not considered in the first place.

The risk of a lack of transparency has already been discussed. There is as much a possibility of misunderstanding as support and there seems little reason for the company to expose itself to this given that it has nothing to hide.

The most important issue in risk management for this company is overexposure. In the pursuit of profits short-term share price growth has led to the sacrifice of lower risk growth through traditional markets and steady returns to shareholders. It is a truth that no company can continue to outperform the market forever and when the downturn happens the company must manage it well or the repercussions may be severe. It is of particular interest that so much of the personal wealth of employees is tied up in stock. This may lead to unethical, unprofessional and even illegal actions by them in pursuit of maintaining the share price.

The audit committee must be aware of this and should act to slow down the acceleration since the outcome may be corporate and personal disaster.

Internet services

Answer

A Cultural factors

There are many cultural factors that impact on the mindset and process through which ethical justification is reached. Culture itself has many facets and definitions, here it is considered in terms of characteristics common to the national or ethnic psyche. Through necessity, this simplification of a complex, idiosyncratic profile lends itself to stereotypical conclusions that should in general be avoided in understanding ethical approaches.

One such facet is the regional / religious or local climate unique to a given country or people. This can be considered in terms of what is acceptable given the history and cultural norm of the population. In the scenario it refers to the difference between a mindset that holds democracy and freedom of individual thought as paramount as opposed to a different perspective based in part on Marxist doctrines in China.
An associated point is in terms of the collective belief in a predominant egotistic or utilitarian view of society. The former would be more associated with the US whilst the latter believes that actions should be taken in the common good and that the individual’s needs must be sacrificed to meet the needs of the many. Such a view would justify the imprisonment of the journalist on the grounds that freedom of speech leads to dissenting views and this in turn threatens the perpetuation of a structure designed to meet the needs of the many (the Communist state).

Other deep psychological beliefs include the degree to which cultures differ in terms of their need to avoid uncertainty in societal functioning. Strict religious states, or tighter control by the governing body as associated with China, has a positive outcome in that it removes some decision making power from the individual and replaces it with a degree of certainty over how state controlled functions will operate. This can be seen, loosely, in the limitations placed on the use of the internet and the scope of search engine capability. One interpretation of this is that by removing access to some information this reduces the scope for thought concerning alternatives and creates greater certainty through the ability to only consider limited options.

The general acceptance of power distribution is another cultural difference. The stereotypical view of the US model is one of abhorrence towards government interference in life whilst the Chinese model is based on a generational acceptance of the need for power to be placed at the centre and used to guide/control individuals. It is never a consideration of right or wrong since, in the ethical decision making process, every decision is right, at least for the individual making the decision.

A cultural factor that impacts on most countries is the extent to which national culture is willing to accept the western cultural model as appropriate. This becomes a dominant issue in the expansion of globalisation with its accompanying use of these cultural beliefs and symbols at its heart.

This is very important in this case since the internet is itself a global phenomenon that generally embraces western cultural norms. The reluctance of the Chinese government to accept the uncontrolled use of this resource could be viewed as an attempt to stop the infiltration of western cultural beliefs into its population. Whether this is considered to be good or bad is a purely individual ethical view since it requires the individual to first perceive what they mean by good or bad and this is a deeply personal issue.
In a positive sense technology and the internet, delivered through the four major players identified in the question, could be viewed as a mechanism through which national borders and cultures are transcended and the global population is brought together to exchange ideas and influences. The journalists’ communication to New York could be seen as an example of this. Viewed in this way, the internet becomes a melting pot used to reduce national or ethnic cultural dependence and difference. The differences in culture become less pronounced and people begin to transform their ethical view into more of a collective, singular, global viewpoint.

If this is accepted as a change process that the global population is exposed to the question that arises is as to the characteristic of that global cultural norm. Whose ideas/beliefs become the predominant belief system that others adopt? Whose colours will define the one country that replaces the different countries of today?

There is, of course, no agreement that this will take place or is wanted by different countries. The information restrictions within China can be viewed as an attempt to reduce this colourisation and preserve “better” cultural characteristics more attuned to the unique needs of its population.

B  Ethical position of internet companies

The US committee discussion exposes deep divisions between the ethical standpoints of different stakeholders. It would appear that the government is highly critical of US companies trading with a regime that it deems to be unfriendly and undemocratic. The view of the internet companies is that they do not perceive any ethical flaws to exist in their position and wish to continue and possibly extend the trading relationship with China over time.

It would appear that the major argument is the ethical belief that the company is run for the benefit of shareholders and that their needs are for financial returns. This is also a legal standpoint and as such the government could be considered culpable in creating and supporting it. A business is an artificial legal entity whose only purpose is the creation of wealth, as such it has no need or ability to consider moral issues since it is not a human being.

The argument against is that this is a facile position since businesses consist of people and one cannot hide behind a corporate logo when there are perceived infringements to human liberty as defined by the US model on what liberty is.
A second justification for trade is that the companies would be unfairly penalised if they were forced not to trade with the country. If they retire from the commercial arena, others who are not restricted will simply take their place. This will do nothing for the Chinese population (assuming they feel disadvantaged in life) yet will affect the ability of the companies to compete globally and through this sustain domestic employment and tax payments.

This is a powerful argument although those against would state that moral justification based on others actions is an amoral stance (having no moral standpoint and being led by whoever leads). This is unacceptable since one would hope that a company would not decide to murder a population just because others have done so in the past.

A counter claim made in the case study relates to not being held responsible for the clients actions suggesting the company’s responsibility ends at the point of sale. There is justification in this since no company, no matter how big, can police its customers and anyway, to do so is an infringement of their right to freedom of action. Why should a customer listen or adhere to what a large US corporation wants if it doesn’t want to?

This seems a dubious defence since in this instance the company’s know exactly how their technology will be used through the cooperation agreement. The plurality of their moral position is hard to understand since on the one hand they exist in a society that demands basic freedoms for its citizens (the company benefiting from that society in its own wealth creation), and yet it purposefully seeks to limit the same freedoms for others. The issue here may be the ambiguous nature of the position rather than the position itself.

Finally, the reference to fighting the governments’ battles seems reasonable since governments are probably best placed to influence other governments.

---

### Ethical code

#### Answer

A **Ethical codes and ethical dilemmas**

Ethical codes may assist in resolving ethical dilemmas for the following reasons.
Provision of a framework

Ethical codes provide a framework within which ethical dilemmas can be resolved. The codes set the basic standards of ethics as well as the structures that can be applied. For example, most codes provide a general sequence of steps to be taken to resolve dilemmas. That sequence can then be applied to any specific dilemma.

Interpretation of code

As a code, it is subject to interpretation. This means that two different people could form two entirely different but potentially correct views on the same element of the code. For example, terms such as ‘incorrect’ will mean that an action should not be attempted at all by some people, while others will interpret this as a warning that the action may be attempted, as long as good reasons are given for the attempt.

Lack of enforcement provisions

Many codes have limited or inadequate penalties and/or enforcement provisions. Breach of the code may result in fines, or simply a warning not to breach the code again. Again, a code is subject to interpretation making a ‘breach’ of the code difficult to identify anyway.

B (i) Ethical threats and safeguards

An ethical threat is a situation where a person or corporation is tempted not to follow their code of ethics.

An ethical safeguard provides guidance or a course of action which attempts to remove the ethical threat.

B (ii)

Situation A

The ethical threat is basically one of self-interest. The director is using price sensitive information to ensure that a loss is prevented by selling shares now rather than after the announcement of poor results for the company.

An ethical safeguard is the professional code of conduct which requires directors to carry out their duties with integrity and therefore in the best interests of the shareholders. The director would recognise that selling the shares would start the share price falling already and this would not benefit the shareholders. As a code it may not be effective – the director could argue that selling shares prior to the results was designed to warn shareholders of the imminent fall in share price and was, therefore, in their best interests.
An alternative course of action is to ban trading in shares a given number of weeks prior to the announcement of company results (as happens in the USA where directors are not allowed to sell shares during ‘blackout periods’). This would be effective as share sales can be identified and the directors could incur a penalty for breach of legislation.

**Provision of example methods of resolution**

Ethical codes also provide examples of ethical situations and how those example situations were expected to be resolved. Specific ethical dilemmas can be compared to those situations for guidance on how to resolve them.

**Establishes boundaries**

Ethical codes provide boundaries which, ethically, it will be incorrect to cross. For example, many accountants prepare personal taxation returns for their clients. However, it is also known that, ethically, it is incorrect to suggest illegal methods of saving tax or to knowingly prepare incorrect tax returns. Maintenance of ethical conduct in this situation ensures that the accountant continues to be trusted by both his clients and by the taxation authorities.

Ethical codes do not always assist in resolving ethical dilemmas for the following reasons.

**Codes only**

Ethical codes are literally what they say – they are ‘only’ a code. As a general code it may not fit the precise ethical dilemma and, therefore, the code will be limited in use.

**Situation B**

The ethical threat appears to be a lack of independence and self-interest regarding the setting of remuneration for these directors. Not only do they have common directorships, but they are also good friends. They could easily vote for higher than normal remuneration packages for each other on the remuneration committees knowing that the other director will reciprocate on the other remuneration committee.

In corporate governance terms, one ethical safeguard is to ban these cross-directorships. The ban would be enforceable as the directors of companies must be stated in annual accounts, hence it would be easy to identify cross-directorships. The ban would also be effective as the conflict of interest would be removed.
In professional terms, the directors clearly have a conflict of interest. While their professional code of ethics may mention this precisely as an ethical threat, AB and CD should follow the spirit of the code and resign their non-executive directorships. This again would remove the threat.

**Situation C**

There is a clear ethical threat to the directors of Company Z. They appear to be being bribed so that they do not query the management style of the chairman. The threat is that the directors will simply accept the benefits given to them rather than try to run Company Z in the interests of the shareholders. It is clearly easy to accept that option.

Ethical safeguards are difficult to identify and their application depends primarily on the desire of the directors to take ethical actions. In overall terms, the chairman does not appear to be directly breaching ethical or governance codes. The main safeguard is therefore for the directors not to accept appointment as director to Company Z or resign from the board if already a director.

The director could attempt to get the matter discussed at board level, although it is unlikely the chairman would allow this. Taking any other action is in effect ‘whistle blowing’ on all the directors and has the negative impact that the director would also have to admit to receiving ‘benefits’ from the company.

**NM River Valley**

**Answer**

A  **An approach to ethical conflict resolution**

As with any decision, a structured framework probably provides the best approach to making the decision. The IFAC provides such a framework for ethical conflict resolution and this could be used in this case.

**Relevant facts**

The first step is to gain as many relevant facts concerning the case as possible. In this scenario these will relate to identification of who the real beneficiaries of the project are. Even if it is the case that they are solely corporations this will have social implications since such companies bring employment and prosperity to the region. The number of people displaced through the project must also be determined more accurately as well as environmental costs of the project. These will enhance the financial investment appraisal that has already taken place.
Ethical issues involved

One of the ethical issues involved will be a utilitarian decision where the needs of the few are sacrificed for the needs of the many. The price of a quarter of a million people compared to benefit to 40 million must be considered. Compensation for their sacrifice is another ethical dilemma as is the extent to which the corporations should pay for that compensation rather than the local government which would amount to the people simply paying themselves for their sacrifice since they originally paid the taxes of the local government.

Fundamental principles related to the matter in question

The decision making process should draw together all relevant information and this includes the need to consider fundamental principles relating to the matter in question. These would include the need for the accountant to act professionally since he is representing the company in these matters. It also raises the question of whether the accountant is operating in the public good since the public do not seem to support the project. This latter issue highlights the importance of determining the volume of people involved and the actual public benefits of the project should it take place.

Established internal procedures

There will be established internal procedures for the accountant to follow rather than the need to resort to Professor Hoi. These would include a whistleblower communication channel with direct access to the audit committee and non-executive directors should the serious misstatement of the business case prove to be well founded through examination of the above. All internal procedures must be exhausted prior to any direct action outside of the organisation.

Alternative courses of action

There are many alternative courses of action depending on the outcome to the investigation. These may include the need to independently report findings to the forum or media. They might also involve resigning from the project on ethical grounds. They might include doing nothing and simply accepting the sacrifice of the few as being necessary for the wider good. It is important for the accountant to accept the need to at least consider these issues as part of a professional ethical approach to decision making.
The reasons why corporate reporting should extend into CSR can be viewed from a number of standpoints. In a purely commercial sense corporations are able to more fully meet the needs of shareholders and wider stakeholders and in meeting this need their reputations and returns may be enhanced.

From a stakeholder perspective there are a number of deep issues relating to the structure and distribution of power. CSR could embrace full disclosure of the impact of organisational activity on society such as the negative impact on the local population and environment. This illumination leads to a wider appreciation of the costs and benefits of projects such as the dam and this in turn leads to a more frank, open and honest discussion of the real issues at events such as the World Water Forum. It would also improve the quality or appropriateness of presentations given such as that of Professor Hoi.

The illumination leads to increased visibility of corporate operations. This is a goal worth pursuing in itself since it attempts to demystify or make transparent that which is obscured from stakeholders, most importantly shareholders. The need for transparency arises through the agency relationship between management and the owners of the corporation. A lack of transparency makes it difficult for shareholders to make correct decisions on ethical issues simply because they do not know the issues involved in such decisions.

Transparency in turn leads to accountability. Accountability is a reckoning for actions taken by corporations. The immense power given and wielded by corporations must be balanced through a responsibility to use that power in a way that society deems appropriate. The dam project is a very visible example of the power to change lives and the natural world in which all citizens must live. A lack of accountability means that power can be used to the detriment of these citizens without paying an appropriate price for those actions.

Better information through CSR should lead to better decisions and more appropriate solutions to problems. The scientists, engineers, governments and corporations can then share their knowledge in order to create solutions that are most appropriate. Simply widening the scope of knowledge in the decision should increase the likelihood of a best solution being found.

This collective decision making process, extending on a global basis through forums such as that identified in the question gradually reconstructs the power that exists in society away from single corporate decision making to a more inclusive world view. This reconstruction is both political and commercial, developing the organisation away from a purely pristine capitalist structure as defined by Gray, to one that has a greater social responsibility at its heart.
This should be considered as a positive development and one that accountants should ethically consider appropriate.

C  Attributes of the accountant

Accountants are perhaps uniquely capable of developing increased CSR in the public interest. The professional skills of the accountant in reporting, disclosure and audit, adapted as part of their work to any given industry or sector enables them to collect and disseminate information to stakeholders regarding CSR issues.

Accounting also involves an essentially systems-based approach to business where staged frameworks are applied in order to achieve required goals. These system skills assist in the development of environmental management systems through which reporting and monitoring is achieved. This view requires the accountant to use project based skills to implement systems where auditing techniques can be used.

Investment appraisal is mentioned in the question as a purely financial decision. Skills in this area enable the accountant to use a foundation skills base and extend it to include CSR related information.

As a profession including millions of professional, accounting is a body large enough to take on the task. Its formalisation through accounting bodies means that accountants have the infrastructure to take on the challenge on a global scale.

Finally, an attribute of the accountant as a profession is to operate in the public interest. This scenario identifies the public in the widest context to include the very poor and disadvantaged. To meet their purpose accountants should consider who the public are and act accordingly.

C company

Answer

A  Triple bottom line

Triple bottom line (TBL) accounting means expanding the normal financial reporting framework of a company to include environmental and social performance. The concept is also explained using the triple ‘P’ headings of ‘People, Planet and Profit’.
People

The people element of the TBL expands the concept of stakeholder interests from simply shareholders (as in financial reporting) to other groups including employees and the community where the company carries out its business. Actions of the company are therefore considered in light of the different groups, not simply from the point of view of shareholders.

The C Company appears to be meeting this objective of the TBL for its own staff. The provision of flexible working hours, staff restaurant and sports facilities all indicate a caring attitude towards staff.

However, the ability of C Company to take into account other stakeholder interests is unclear. Specific areas where C is not meeting the TBL include:

- Delaying payment for raw materials will adversely affect the cash flow of C’s suppliers. Some discussion or negotiation of terms may have been helpful rather than simply amending terms without consultation.
- Moving the administration headquarters ‘out-of-town’ does not necessarily help the community. For example, there will be increased pollution as C’s employees drive out to the administration building (note that there is no public transport). Also, while flexible working time is allowed, this may mean travel time has increased. This may place pressure on workers regarding collection of children on ‘school runs’ and mean more cars on the road increasing the risk of accidents. Provision of company buses out to the new headquarters would help decrease pollution but would not necessarily assist with the working hours issue.
- Finally, the proposal for the re-development of the old administration headquarters into a waste disposal centre is unlikely to benefit the community. There will be additional heavy lorries travelling through residential areas while the burning of rubbish provides the risk of fumes and smoke blowing over residential properties. Finding an alternative use, even if this was less profitable, would benefit the community overall.

Planet

The planet element of TBL refers to the environmental practices of the company to determine whether they are sustainable or not. The TBL company attempts to reduce the ‘ecological footprint’ by managing resource consumption and energy usage. The company therefore attempts to limit environmental damage.
It is not clear that the C company is meeting this section of the TBL. Specific areas of concern include the following.

- Lack of an energy audit. A review of energy consumption could identify areas for energy saving, even if this was only the use of low wattage light bulbs.
- The relocation of the administration office to an out-of-town district may enhance working conditions for staff, but it also means that public transport cannot be used to reach the offices. This increases fuel use as employees must use their own transport.
- Finally, the insistence of the chairman in holding all divisional review meetings in person rather than using newer technology such as video-conferencing means increased use of air travel and therefore carbon dioxide emissions.

**Profit**

Profit is the ‘normal’ bottom line measured in most businesses. As noted above, a non-TBL company will seek to maximise this measure to improve shareholder return. A TBL company on the other hand will balance the profit objective with the other two elements of the TBL.

At present, the C Company appears to be placing a lot of importance on the profit motive. Two specific decisions to increase profits are:

- delaying payment to creditors to provide additional cash within C and therefore decrease the need for bank overdrafts, which in turn decreases interest payments
- the proposal for the redevelopment of the old administration headquarters into a waste disposal site, which appears to be focused entirely on the amount of profit that can be made.

As noted above, involving creditors in the discussions and finding alternative uses for the old administration site (even at a lower profit) would show C’s commitment to the TBL.

**B Sustainability**

Sustainable development can be defined as development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (World Commission on Environment and Development 1987).

Sustainability is an attempt to provide the best outcomes for the human and natural environments both now and into the indefinite future with reference to the continuity of economic, social, institutional and environmental aspects of human society, as well as the non-human environment.
The three perspectives of sustainability are economic, social and environmental.

The economic perspective recognises that the earth’s resources are finite and so economic development must be limited. Sustainability means that the organisation must plan for long term growth and be neutral in its use of resources. There is no evidence that the C Company has considered this perspective apart from the fact it is profit motivated and wants, therefore, to survive as a company.

The social perspective recognises that organisations have an impact on their communities and may also change the social mix of a community. By moving the administrative headquarters out of town, the C Company has had an impact on the community – in effect C is denying jobs in its headquarters to those members of the community who do not have access to private transport.

The environmental perspective recognises that organisations have an impact on the environment and that lack of environmental concern means an overall decrease in earth’s resource base. The lack of video-conferencing for example, means that C’s executives use air travel unnecessarily, decreasing the amount of fossil fuels and increasing carbon dioxide emissions.

Answer

A The importance of social responsibility

PP is a large global organisation with enough associated resources to be aware of its social impact and need for accountability. However, size brings with it complexity and diversity in operations across the world. This can be difficult to manage effectively and will, almost inevitably, lead to problems in terms of environmental and social impact.

Increased communication and awareness of company operations through NGO’s, the media and internet means that today organisations must ensure that ALL operations are well managed since failure in one area can have major impact on brand perception across the world and subsequently reputation. The negative impact of publicity regarding the case will affect customer perceptions and possibly sales. The Parliamentary ban on the company’s product is a local example of a situation that may be repeated in other areas of the world.
Competitors are identified through the related problem of product composition. Poor publicity regarding this issue and the campaign for factory closure provides competitors with weaknesses that they may choose to exploit. This in turn will affect revenues as customers switch to competitor brands.

Shareholders may also be concerned about the situation. Social responsibility is important because the owners of the company may decide that they do not wish to invest in a company that does not operate with the appropriate level of ethics. This affects share price and could even lead to shareholder resolutions regarding board members identified as being responsible for the situation.

Government and legal action is also identified as a factor affecting the company and this in turn suggests that social responsibility is important because an appropriate approach tends to reduce the likelihood of cases being brought before the courts and governments no longer wishing to support the company despite its large investment in the country.

Local stakeholders should not be ignored. In this case they have proven to be a powerful voice in forcing change. A socially responsible approach is important in order to placate their fears and hostility towards the factory. Sustained campaigns such as this tend to come to the attention of both local and global media. This affects all factories around the world increasing the likelihood of other campaign groups being formed. It would seem likely that this is not an isolated problem since the environmental damage caused by operations must be common to a number of factories around the world.

B Development of an Environmental Management System

An Environmental Management System relates to a comprehensive approach to continuous improvement in this area. It begins with raising awareness prior to the development of strategies for improvement. These must then be implemented and reviewed regularly, adapting as required to meet changing environmental conditions.

An environmental impact study or environmental survey is the first step in the process. This involves determining the extent to which the company affects the natural and social world in which it exists. Areas for investigation would include the extent to which water resource usage is having an effect on the surrounding water table and the impact of this on the local population, economy and natural world. The second problem of possible product contamination requires an immediate assessment of the extent to which this is occurring and the likely impact on the population if the allegation is found to be true.
The analysis should move beyond the scope of the two issues raised in the scenario to include pollution to atmosphere and local rivers, habitat destruction, waste and waste disposal, community relations and employment, energy and the ability to recycle products (bottles). The scope of the analysis is determined by the company’s objectives in terms of social responsibility and this may have reference to external standards.

Following analysis, strategies will be developed to assist in the change process. These might include identification of other sources of water and the ability to build pipelines for supply. The company should investigate rainfall capture systems to ensure better use of water rather than simply relying on the well beneath the factory.

Social concern would lead to the need to deliver water to the local population using tankers and even consideration of canal building and irrigation systems to transfer water from other locations. It is likely that a combination of measures will be needed in order to address the problem.

Actions regarding the second problem of contamination will depend on the results of analysis and any court case ordering the company to divulge its sensitive product data. It is not inconceivable that the company decides to close the factory and relocate to another area where water supplies are better and contamination less likely. The costs and benefits including the social impact of this should be considered carefully.

Measures will be implemented in a controlled way over time and then monitored through social audits. It is possible that the company may adopt standards associated with ISO14000 environmental management systems certification. This being the case the ISO can assist in ensuring appropriate control or monitoring systems are in place as part of a wider drive towards total quality management in the future.

C Sustainability

The general definition of sustainability is that:

“humanity must ensure that development meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs”

Bruntland, World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED)
Sustainability is about ensuring the company’s use of resources do not compromise future generations need for similar resources. Foodstuff and water are renewable resources in as much as growing more cereals, rearing more cattle and the natural process through which rainwater is created all replenish the supply of these resources. This makes such resources available for future generations to use.

However, the operations at the bottling plant have threatened the ability of the local farmers to grow their crops and sustain their own lives. Should no action be taken to stop the removal of water they will need to move away from the area simply to survive.

This action affects their lives and that of their children who no longer have the opportunity to be farmers and pursue a way of life that may have existed for many generations. Their lives cannot be sustained because the company is not employing a sustainable form of operations given local conditions. This central issue must be addressed through the environmental strategies detailed above.

This eco-justice is one form of sustainability. Others include eco-efficiency which relates to the need to improve efficiency or reduce waste in the operational process. This is a measure to assist in sustainability rather than being part of the definition of sustainability.

Eco-effectiveness means attempting to reduce the environmental footprint or impact of operations on the environment. A reduction in impact leaves more resources available for future generations to use. An appropriate position would be one in which only renewable resources are used in production. In addition, artificial capital such as the bottles produced through the plant must become recyclable since they harm the environment in any other form.